SOMERSETSHIRE
Archeological and Natural
History Society.

PROCEEDINGS

DURING THE YEAR

1852.

TAUNTON:
FREDERICK MAY, HIGH STREET.
LONDON: GEORGE BELL, 186, FLEET STREET.

1853.
Somersetshire

Archaeological and Natural History Society.

PROCEEDINGS.

1852.
The First and Second Volumes (6s. 6d. sewed, or 8s. each, cloth) of

**THE SOCIETY'S PROCEEDINGS** during the years 1849, 50, and 51.

with 31 Illustrations, can be had of the Publisher.


**ILLUSTRATIONS.** Roman Pavement at Wadford. Inscription on Bell, at Staple Fitzpaine. Coins issued from Somersetshire Mints, 2 Plates. Sculptures, Wellington Church; Reredos, Mullion, Cross on Gable. Plan of Ancient Earthwork at Norton Fitzwarren. Bridgewater High Cross; and Old Bridge. Wells Cathedral; Ground Plan, S.E. View, and Details. Sculptures, St. Mary's, Taunton. Ancient Doorway at Keyford, Frome. Nunney Castle.


Somersetshire Archæological

and

Natural History Society.

Proceedings

DURING THE YEAR

1852.

TAUNTON:

FREDERICK MAY, 67, HIGH STREET.

LONDON: G. BELL, 186, FLEET STREET.

MDCCCLIII.
Contents.

PROCEEDINGS, &c.

Fourth Annual Meeting.—Secretary’s Report - 1
Treasurer’s Report.—The Williams Museum.—Corn-
nish Hill Castles.—Worle Hill Camp.—Castle de
Sancto Laudo.—The Museum. 12 - - 18
Conversazione Meetings. - - - - 20

PART II.—PAPERS.

On the Perpendicular Style, as exhibited in the
Churches of Somerset, by Edward A. Freeman,
M.A. - - - - - - - 1
On the Perpendicular Towers of Somerset, by the
Rev. F. Warre. - - - - - 47
On the Palaeontology of the Middle and Upper Lias,
by Mr. Charles Moore - - - - - 61
On the Roman Remains discovered in Bath, by the
Rev. H. M. Scarth. - - - - - 77
On Farleigh-Hungerford Castle, Somerset, by the
Rev. J. E. Jackson. - - - - - 114
On The Cannington Park Limestone, by Mr. W.
Baker. - - - - - - - 125
CONTENTS.

A List of Fungi, found in the neighbourhood of Bristol, Bath, and Taunton, by the Rev. W. R. Crotch. - - - - - - - 133

ILLUSTRATIONS.

Old Inn, Norton St. Philips, to face the title page. Pottery, etc., Worle Hill. - - - part i. 10
Iron Weapons, etc. " " " " 10
Bruton Church. - - - part ii. 2
Martock Church, interior. - - - " 40
Tower of West Monkton; and The Cam-
panile, St. Mark’s, Venice. - - - " 51
Towers of Wellington and Wrington. - - " 57
" Taunton St. Mary Magdalene, and
Bishop’s Lydeard. - - - " 58
Head of Minerva, Bath. - - - " 89
Roman Altar, " " " 103
Farleigh Castle—Gateway. - - - " 115
" " Tower. - - - " 118
" " Ground-plan of St. Leo-
nard’s Chapel. - - " 120
" " Ground Plan. - - " 124
FOURTH ANNUAL MEETING
OF THE
Somersetshire Archaeological and Natural History Society,
Held at the Assembly Rooms, Bath, September 21st, 1852.

W. H. P. GORE LANGTON, ESQ., M.P., IN THE CHAIR.

The meeting commenced, as usual, at eleven o'clock, for the transaction of the formal business. The President, Vice-Presidents, general Secretaries, and the district or local Secretaries, were severally re-appointed. The Worshipful the Mayor of Bath (for the time being) was elected a Vice-President. A. C. Ramsay, Esq., F.R.S., C. C. Babington, Esq., F.R.S., F.L.S., and Professor Owen, were elected as honorary members. Thomas Patton, Esq., Dr. Metford, and Dr. Kelly, were newly elected, and W. F. Elliot, Esq., W. E. Gillett, Esq., and Rev. Dr. Everard, were re-elected, members of the Committee.

The Rev. W. R. Crotch read the Report of the Committee, as follows:—

"The Committee, in presenting this, the Fourth Annual Report, have the satisfaction of announcing the accession of 105 members since the last published list.

1853, PART I."
This fact is gratifying, not only because it evinces the growing interest taken in the proceedings of the Society, and justifies the anticipations of those who called it into existence, but because it will be impossible to follow out satisfactorily the numerous fields of research and investigation which this county offers, without such an increase of subscriptions as they trust they are now justified in looking forward to.

"The cost of publishing the Annual Volume of Proceedings, together with the constant expenses of the museum, absorb so much of the Society's income, that little is left for other and most desirable purposes. Hence when an opportunity offered for the Society to become purchasers of the Williams Geological Collection, it was found necessary to raise the amount by an independent subscription amongst the members and others, by which means this valuable collection of specimens and maps is deposited in the Society's museum. It will not be necessary for your Committee to enter into detail regarding the important contents of this collection, since Mr. Baker has kindly undertaken to read an account of it before the meeting.

"The Committee feel that it would be superfluous in them to call attention to the interesting papers contained in the Volume of Proceedings just published; but they cannot refrain from congratulating the Society on being the means of bringing such an amount of valuable information regarding the county of Somerset into a distinct and condensed form; since, had it not been for the Society, it is probable that many of the papers would never have been written, or they must have been sought for and separated from the contents of some periodical or general publication.
"The delay which has attended the issuing of this volume has been a great cause of regret to the Committee, but it was wholly unavoidable. The illustrations of such volumes as this, while they are the life of the work, are a serious drain upon the funds of the Society, so that the Committee cannot express too strongly their sense of the courtesy of those gentlemen who have aided them, particularly of B. Ferrey and E. A. Freeman, Esqrs., who have supplied the views of Wrington Church, and the sculptures of St. Cuthbert's. An arrangement has been entered into with the publisher, by which the Society is freed from the encumbrance of the remaining stock of its publications, and the inconvenience of having to dispose of them in retail.

"The examinations on Worle Hill, Weston-super-Mare, a full account of which is given in the paper by the Rev. F. Warre, are being continued, through the kindness of Mr. Pigott, the owner of the property, under the superintendence of Mr. Warre.

"The Conversazione evening Meetings of the Society, at Taunton, were resumed during the winter months, with manifestly increased interest on the part of the members and their friends. To prevent any possible misunderstanding on this subject, the Committee beg to repeat that none of the expenses of their meetings are suffered to trench upon the general funds of the Society.

"In closing this Report, the Committee have the pleasure of acknowledging various donations to the museum, of important books and objects of scientific value, both in the department of Natural History and of Archaeology; and they cannot but feel, with such a spirit abroad, the Society must succeed in its object, of becoming a public benefit to the county and kingdom."
Mr. R. Badcock presented the Treasurer’s Report, an abstract of which is subjoined, viz.:

The Treasurer in Account with the Somerset Archaeological and Natural History Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1852.</th>
<th>£ s. d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By Balance of former Account</td>
<td>3 5 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Life Member</td>
<td>10 10 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; Donations and Subscriptions</td>
<td>235 1 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; F. May, for Surplus Stock etc. of Vol. 1850</td>
<td>52 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1852.</th>
<th>£ s. d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Curator’s Salary</td>
<td>27 1 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent of Museum Room</td>
<td>25 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing, Plates, etc. of 2nd Vol. of Proceedings</td>
<td>109 14 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationery, Printing, etc.</td>
<td>22 5 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage, Carriage</td>
<td>9 7 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses attending General Meeting at Weston-super-Mare</td>
<td>13 11 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses fitting up etc. etc. Williams’ Museum</td>
<td>14 10 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimens, Cases, Repairs, etc</td>
<td>30 18 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coals</td>
<td>5 10 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission for collecting Subscriptions</td>
<td>5 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petty Disbursements</td>
<td>3 19 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>33 18 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

£300 16 7 £300 16 7

R. G. BADCOCK, Treasurer.

The Rev. H. Street read a paper on the Necrology of Egypt, which drew on the accomplished writer a warm eulogium by Mr. Markland.

The Rev. F. Warre read a paper on the Perpendicular Church Towers of Somersetshire, which is given in Part II.

The Rev. H. M. Scarth read a paper received from Capt. Chapman, on a Tomb lately discovered near Shockerwick. Mr. Scarth made allusion to the value of the collections made by Capt. Chapman, illustrative of the antiquities of the neighbourhood of Bath. A huge stone, which had formed for generations a great obstruction to the plough, on being broken up by a new tenant of the farm, at Shockerwick, was discovered to mark a place of
burial, human bones and black mould being discovered in a small stone trough or coffin. The rev. reader, at the conclusion of the paper, made allusion to the frequency of the discovery of stone coffins in the neighbourhood of Bath.

Mr. C. E. Davis read a paper containing some judicious remarks on Church Restoration.

Mr. W. Stradling made some remarks on relics which he had secured in the neighbourhood of his residence.

The morning meeting was followed by the Ordinary.

---

The Evening Meeting.

Mr. W. Baker read an interesting paper on the Williams Museum, which has recently been purchased by the Society. The paper first enumerated the contents of the museum originally possessed by the Society, and then proceeded:

"It is now my pleasing task to speak of the large and highly interesting addition to our museum lately obtained, viz., the geological collection of the late Rev. David Williams, of Bleadon, which was procured by means of a liberal subscription, raised amongst the friends of this Society. Some of the most striking specimens are now set in frames, and displayed on the walls of the museum; and thousands of fossils are stored away in drawers and boxes, to be exhibited as we can procure proper cases for the purpose. Perhaps the most valuable part of this collection is the great store of Palæozoic fossils, from West Somerset, Devon, and Cornwall, which, after amply furnishing the Society's museum, will supply several of our national museums with numerous required
species, and will, I trust, help us to the means of displaying our collection to good advantage.

"Many of these fossils of the ancient strata are figured in Professor Phillips's 'Illustrations of the Ordnance Survey of Cornwall, Devon, and West Somerset,' and many are unique. The collection contains other interesting Palæozoic fossils, from different parts of the kingdom, as well as numerous species from the Mountain Limestone of Mendip, from the Coal Measures, the Lias, Oolites, Green Sand, Chalk, Tertiary beds, and other strata.

"The specimens displayed on the walls of our museum are ichthyosaurus tenuirostris, intermedius, communis, parts of the huge platiodon, and a large and almost unrivalled plesiosaurus dolichodirus, which was found near Watchet. Besides these, there are numerous portions of saurians of the different species. One of our specimens is an infant tenuirostris; another has the sclerotic, the bony ring, beautifully preserved, one part of which laps down on what appears to be the crystalline lens; another has two masses of food preserved between the ribs; and one is especially interesting, being the identical tenuirostris represented on plate 9, fig. 1, of Dr. Buckland's 'Bridgwater Treatise.'

"Most of these Saurians were obtained by the late Mr. Williams, from the Lias quarries of Street, near Glastonbury.

"A very important part of the Williams collection is the multitude of remains of animals from the bone caverns of Mendip. Some of the caverns were explored at the expense of Mr. Williams, and he was enabled to procure good and abundant specimens to supply the museums of London, Oxford, Bristol, etc., besides retaining the large collection which now enriches the museum of this Society.
We have bones and teeth of the rhinoceros, elephant, wolf, fox, hyæna, tiger, bear, buffalo, stag, deer, horse, hog, and many other animals. The occurrence of vast accumulations of bones in the caverns of Banwell, Bleadon, Hutton, and elsewhere, is a circumstance of great interest, and, I think, not satisfactorily accounted for by all that has been written on the subject.

"Most of the species of these huge creatures are now not only removed from England, but are altogether extinct. It is difficult to picture to our minds our rural hills and vallies, much more so the sites of our populous towns and cities, thickly occupied by hippopotami, rhinoceri, elephants, lions, tigers, wolves, hyænas, bears, boars, etc., although there can be no reason to doubt that these animals were once denizens of our beautiful and peaceful county."

"With this collection, the Society came into possession of an important unpublished work, by the late Mr. Williams, on the Geology of Somerset, Devon, and Cornwall. It is a work of great research, and contains new views of the order of stratification in the western counties. The manuscript book is accompanied by Mr. Williams's field maps of the counties, geologically coloured, and large and extensive diagrams of the district in various directions, with the strata numbered, so that the Palæozoic fossils, which are also numbered to correspond with the numbers on the diagrams, can be readily referred to their proper beds.

"The interest evinced by the public, encourages confidence in the steady progress of the Society, and its museum."

Mr. Dickinson said that he was one of those gentlemen who had assisted in obtaining the museum, and it
was on the understanding that the Society should retain such portions of it as would be useful and interesting to the county, but that the remainder should be sold to other museums, to obtain the means for supplying the Society with things which they needed for the proper display of the museum. A hint had, however, been thrown out that some difficulty had arisen in taking this course. He begged to ask Mr. Baker if this were really the case.

Mr. Baker said there would be no difficulty whatever in carrying out the object sought. He had a letter in his pocket, which he had received since he had been in Bath, announcing that a gentleman would visit the museum on the part of the Museum of Practical Geology, to purchase articles of which there were duplicates. He (Mr. Baker), with the valuable assistance of Mr. Moore, had selected the species which he thought ought not to be parted with to any Society. The directors of the Museum of Practical Geology had been informed that they could not have any specimen of which the Society did not possess a duplicate. (Hear.) The authorities of the British Museum, he might add, had applied to purchase the duplicates of the Society, also the Cambridge Museum, and a private gentleman. The reply of the Society had invariably been that only those articles of which there were duplicates, would be disposed of. He was glad to say, too, that such was the liberal feeling displayed by the authorities in London, that the Society would obtain the means, by the sale of duplicates, not only of fitting up their museum, but of adding many rich and valuable specimens which it did not now possess, and thus carrying out the designs of the Society, to increase the importance of the collection.

Mr. C. Moore read a paper on the Palæontology of the Middle and Upper Lias, which is given in Part II.
A paper on the Fungi of Somersetshire, by Mr. C. E. Broome, was read by the Rev. W. R. Crotch, who took the opportunity of exhibiting a series of beautiful illustrations of fungi, and added some further information. (See Part II.)

The evening's proceedings terminated with a Conversazione, at ten o'clock, in the Octagon.

**Second Day.**

*Wednesday, September 22nd, 1852.*

The proceedings were resumed at half-past ten o'clock, when Mr. Markland was requested to preside.

The first Paper read was by Mr. Freeman, in continuation of that presented by him at the last meeting of the Society, on the Perpendicular Churches of Somersetshire, which is given in Part II.

The Rev. F. Warre followed with a Paper by Mr. H. G. Tomkins, containing remarks on some Cornish Hill Castles, as compared with the ancient fortress on Worle Hill, instancing various points of resemblance, and referring the probable date of both to the Celtic period. He then alluded to the investigations which have been carried on at Worle Hill, since the publication of the Society's former volume. During the last spring, he had opened several hut circles, with great success. Various articles of pottery had been found, and three vessels had been thoroughly restored. Amongst other articles discovered were remains of burnt grain, wheat, barley, and a small pea; many bones of a large bird; a ball and socket joint, apparently of a human subject; a piece of horn shaped like the mouth-piece of a musical instrument; a heap of corn, burnt more at the
top than at the bottom, showing that the fire came from above. Several skeletons had also been found in a position in a pit, which showed they had either fallen in, or were carelessly thrown in. Fragments of Roman remains had been removed from a spot five or six yards in diameter, sufficient to fill thirteen or fourteen large baskets. These were the only remains of undoubted Roman date, which had been discovered. The constructors of these curious habitations appeared to have been unable to work through the solid limestone, and had, therefore, followed the strata of the stone. He was of opinion that the huts now opened were neither granaries, nor tombs, nor permanent residences, but simply places of shelter in time of danger; that the roofs had been destroyed by fire; that the place was not occupied after the Roman invasion; that a very considerable time elapsed between the destruction of the roofs and the deposits of the skeletons; and that a desperate struggle once took place there. (Some of the most curious of the above articles are figured in the accompanying plates.) Much remained for further investigation, which he trusted to be able to follow up in the course of the next summer.

At noon the company proceeded on an Excursion to Histon Abbey, Farleigh Castle, and Norton St. Phillips. At Farleigh, the excursionists were hospitably entertained at the Vicarage, by the Rev. S. Clarke, who read a Paper on the Antiquities of the Castle, by the Rev. J. E. Jackson, which is given in Part II.

At Norton, Dr. Tunstall gave a short description of the old Inn and the Church. (For the former of these, see the frontispiece).

After a dinner at the York House, the Meeting was resumed at the Assembly Rooms, at Eight o'clock.
Third Day.

Thursday, September 23rd, 1852.

After an early Meeting at the Assembly Rooms, the company proceeded on an Excursion, to Wellow, where Mr. Paul read a short Paper on the Church, prepared by himself and the Rev. J. E. Jackson; thence, to the old Manor House, the Keltic Kist, and through the valley to the opposite side of the hill, to the tessellated pavement, displayed for the occasion by the kindness of Mr. Gore Langton, who, at Newton Park, hospitably entertained the party to a collation.

After the repast, Mr. C. E. Davis read a Paper on the Castle of St. Loe, or de Sancto Laudo, which, dating prior to the Conquest, passed from the possession of the Bishops of Coutances to that of William de Sancto Laudo, the representative of a family who came from St. Loe, in Normandy, with whose descendants it remained nearly 200 years. The present remains are interesting.

On Friday morning a Paper on the Roman Antiquities of Bath was read by the Rev. H. M. Scarth—Mr. Britton in the chair. It is given in part II.

The Chairman, in putting the vote of thanks to the able Author, read a letter respecting the ancient British and other remains preserved in the collection at Stourhead, and which, it is to be feared, is but little known.
The following are some of the objects contributed to the temporary Museum.

The Drinking Cup of Etienne Mangin, who was burnt to ashes at the stake, 1546, as a Martyr to the Propagation of the Reformed Religion.—This cup, which is of silver, is an object of considerable historical interest and regard. It bears this inscription: "October, 7, 1546, Stephen Mangin, for professing the Reformed Religion, resolutely suffered death in front of his house, at Meaux, ten leagues from Paris. At the stake he desired his wife to give him water in his usual drinking cup, which he emptied to the welfare of his friends, and the success of his cause. This is that cup, handed down from father to son, to Edward Mangin, who had this inscription engraved on it, 1820;" in whose possession it has remained, and by whose kind permission it was shown at the Museum. The Rev. E. Mangin also contributed some curious tops of dishes used at meals, from impressions taken on the spot by Sir W. Gell, from the original white clay forms found at Pompeii. These tops are three in number. They respectively represent a kind of fish, pheasants, and snipes. They were, probably, attached to covers of dishes resembling the modern corner dishes, and thus indicated the contents, without requiring the covers to be removed. Modern workers in china may, perhaps, take a useful hint from these curious copies of the antique. To these contributions from Mr. Mangin were added specimens of Roman pottery, a grant of land, temp. Edward IV., and some mummy linen, brought from Egypt by Belzoni.—Mr. R. Withers contributed a small, but
interesting; collection of the rarer plants indigenous to the vicinity of Bath.—Mr. Green, of Holcombe, exhibited a large and curious picture of Bath, of which the date and painter are unknown. We understand that it was formerly the property of Mr. Ashman.—A Norwegian mug, made of birch wood, with carved handle, cover, and feet; and also a specimen of the kind of knife with which the carving of such vessels was done. These knives are now extremely rare; the present bears date 1742, and around it the following legend: "Quis Vias Domini Nosit." These contributions were made by Lieut. W. H. Breton, r.n., who also furnished several specimens of fossil wood from New Zealand and South Australia, and, from the latter Continent, impressions of fossil ferns in shale, and various other objects of geological interest. We must not, however, omit to notice specimens of the spheria, or caterpillar plant, a peculiar species of fungus obtained from New Zealand and Van Dieman's Land; and last, though not least, a most beautifully and intricately-carved spoon, representing the various prominent occurrences in the life of our Blessed Saviour, and which, from evidence in the possession of Lieut. W. H. Breton's family, is known to have belonged to Martin Luther.—Mr. George Wood, of Prior Park Buildings, contributed a jew lizard, the paw of an old man kangaroo, and a snapping turtle, all from South Australia.—Mr. W. Clark forwarded a very magnificent specimen of madrepore.—Mrs. Dubois sent a very pretty collection of Roman coins, found in Bath, an antique seal, some preserved fishes, a portion of asphaltum from the Mare Mortuum, and a good specimen of Endogenites erosa, a species of fossil wood.—Dr. Spry contributed a large collection of minerals and fossils; among the former, the beauty of the varied collection of agates
is noticeable, and also the very perfect and delicate fossils taken from the chalk formation, and a small case containing chitons.—To the first of these, Mr. F. Field made valuable additions, in his choice collection of minerals of various kinds.—Among the objects contributed by Mr. H. Lawson, was a napkin once belonging to Henry VIII, also a model of the ancient ducking stool.—Several ancient deeds, with a MS., in a glazed drawer, being the reflections and prayer of King Charles I, on the occasion of his Queen’s departure from England, dated A.D. 1642, and said to be an autograph of that monarch. These interesting relics were contributed by the Rev. F. Lockey, of Swainswick, whose collection also included a curious iron vessel, which was found on the shore, off Charmouth, Dorset, imbedded in conglomerate; and some well-executed rubbings of brasses, from Banwell, Hutton, Dyrham, Rotherfield Greys, and other places. Among the smaller objects in Mr. Lockey’s collection was an admirable electrotype copy of a medallion of Pompeia, the wife of Julius Caesar, which was dug up in Bath.—A case of coins, the property of Mr. G. Robbins, presenting a valuable series of English coins, from the time of William the Conqueror to the present period; also numerous specimens of tesserae from Carthage, earthenware lamps from Syracuse, some beautiful heads of small figures from the same place. This collection, contained in a glazed case, attracted much attention, from the variety and extreme beauty of the specimens.—Among the objects of rarity and interest, the property of the Rev. J. Murch, was a finely-executed silver ring, dug up in the Victoria Park.—Well-delineated plans of several churches in Somersetshire, by Mr. C. E. Davis, architect; also drawings of the Castle de Sancto Laudo, executed by G. F. Rosenberg. The same gen-
tleman also contributed a large collection of Calotypes, and some admirably painted drawings of birds.—A varied and rare collection of prints, contributed by Mr. Britton.

—A highly interesting collection of Palaeontological specimens, brought by Mr. C. H. Moore, and collected in the neighbourhood of Ilminster—Mr. F. Dowty, of Bridgwater, sent several rare books, and a curious Sacramental Service of mother of pearl, also a portion of brass with an inscription, stated to be an Etruscan amulet, a pair of Egyptian bracelets, and many other objects of interest.—The Misses Frere contributed a small but interesting collection of fossils, etc., from India and elsewhere.—Mr. Empson selected from his rich and varied cabinets many specimens of considerable attraction. The egg of the cepiornis, and the birds' nests in this collection—those, especially, attached to a branch of yew—attracted much notice.—Messrs. Wright and Co., of Milsom Street, contributed a large silver and most elaborately-worked Lutheran Cross, concealed within the chased pedestal of which is a reliquary. The history of this valuable piece of antiquity (described as being from the private chapel of Hill's Court, Gloucestershire) is not perfect, but its workmanship and general character invest it with much interest in the eyes of the archaeologist.—Several most beautifully pictured figures of birds, originals by the hand of Edwards, an ornithologist of no mean reputation, who flourished a century and a half ago. A notice of this talented naturalist, well deserving perusal, will be found in the "Biograph. Britann." They were contributed by Mr. Soden.—Five large volumes, the property of Mr. E. Hunt, containing a most valuable and, we are disposed to believe, almost unique collection of its kind, consisting of plates, plans, and portraits, of
the most remarkable views, buildings, and persons, connected with Bath, and extracts from the current journals of the date of each engraving; all these arranged in the best taste, and with great care combine to constitute a work illustrative of the present state and past history of Bath, without the aid of which no future account of the city can, we believe, be faithfully compiled.—Mr. Collings contributed two rare views of Bath, done in body colours; also portraits of Ralph Allen, Esq., and of Dr. Harrington.—As a whole, the largest contribution to the Natural History department, was that made by Mr. W. Sainsbury, consisting of a hundred or more specimens of the rarer stuffed birds, and nearly as many preserved specimens of foreign reptiles. This collection has been greatly commended. Mr. Sainsbury also contributed two paintings of rural subjects, by John Cranch, one of our foremost antiquaries in Bath, in past years.—We must not omit to mention several rare books, contributed by the Rev. A. Townsend, on the fly leaf of one of which is an autograph letter written by the martyr, John Bradford; also his autograph, on the title page of a copy of “Æcolampadius on Daniel,” printed at Basil, 1530.—Mr. Synge contributed four coins, found at Banwell, viz., a silver British coin, a Romano-British, bearing the sacred monogram, a penny and a farthing, of the reign of Edward I.—Before completing our report of the Museum, which must necessarily be an imperfect and general one, mention must be made of the varied and highly interesting contributions made by Messrs. Rainey, of Etruscan and Roman pottery, among which are ampullæ of all kinds, lamps from Pompeii, and a numerous assemblage of other objects of a similarly antique character, a sepulchral slab with its inscription, and a beautiful figure of Minerva,
which was, some few years ago, dug up in Bath.—An elegant lamp, recently discovered in Rome, was forwarded to the Museum, by Mr. Willson Brown.—Among the drawings exhibited, we must not omit to mention a few in pencil, of Bellott’s Hospital, and of other objects of antiquarian interest, by Mr. Alfred Keene.—The walls of the room were hung with several very good rubbings of brasses from neighbouring churches, and some from more distant ones, contributed by the Rev. F. Locket, Mr. C. Empson, Mr. C. E. Davis, Rev. G. Dance, and others.—Among the other contributors were the Rev. C. Paul (who sent drawings of the Roman pavements at Wellow), Mr. J. Wood (some interesting fossils), and Dr. J. H. Pring, of Weston-super-Mare, who sent a daguerreotype view of crania, pottery, and bones, by Freeman, lately of Bath, and a bottle of luminous sea water, from the Bay of Weston-super-Mare, containing specimens of the noctiluca miliaris, which was, through the aid of Mr. Quekett’s microscope, shewn to the meeting on Wednesday evening. —We regret that our limits do not admit of a minute notice of the very many other objects of extreme interest and rarity, which were gathered together by the exertions of the Society’s local officers—exertions which the Committee here gratefully acknowledge.

The Abbey Church, Hetling House, the Museum of the Literary Institution, and the Baths, were thrown open to the members of the Society. Mr. W. Bush also obligingly permitted them to inspect the extensive geological museum which he has formed at his residence, 7, Circus; and the Rector and Churchwardens afforded them the opportunity of inspecting the “Churchwardens’ Accounts” of St. Michael’s parish, (“Ecclesia Sancti Michaelis extra portam borealem”) which, extending from A.D. 1349, the
23rd of Edward III. to A.D. 1571, the 14th of Elizabeth, are believed to be more than a century older than any similar records in the kingdom, and have been pronounced to be unrivalled both for antiquity and completeness. It is to be hoped that these documents may be carefully preserved in Bath, the most proper place for them, and not parted with to swell collections in localities with which they have no connection.
Conversazione Meetings.

Second Season.

At the Conversazione Evening Meetings of the Society, held at the Museum, in Taunton, during the winter of 1851-52, Papers on the following subjects were read.

October—1st Meeting.
On the Structure and Formation of Pearl; by Mr. T. Quekett.

November—2nd Meeting.
On Raby Castle; by the Rev. H. M. Scarth.
On English Birds; by Dr. Woodforde.
On Remains found at Worle Hill; by the Rev. F. Warre.

December—3rd Meeting.
On the History of the Art of Painting; by Mr. W. F. Elliot.
On Ancient Residences, and Manners; by the Rev. F. Warre.

January 1853—4th Meeting.
On Ancient Residences, and Manners, continued; by the Rev. F. Warre.
On the Aquatic Birds of the Coast of Somerset; by Mr. C. N. Welman.
On the Fishes of the Coast of Somerset; by Mr. W. Baker.
February—5th Meeting.
On the Divers of the Coast of Somerset; by Mr. C. N. Welman.
On Ancient Residences, and Manners, continued; by the Rev. F. Warre.

March—6th Meeting.
On the Limestone of Cannington Park; by Mr. W. Baker.
On Sea Gulls; by Mr. C. N. Welman.
On the History of the Art of Painting; by Mr. W. F. Elliot.
On the History of Printing; by the Rev. W. R. Crotch.

April—7th Meeting.
On Egyptian Hieroglyphics; by the Rev. W. R. Crotch.
On Ancient Residences, and Manners, concluded; by the Rev. F. Warre.
On the Perpendicular Style, as exhibited in the Churches of Somerset.

PART II.

BY EDWARD A. FREEMAN, M.A.

In the paper which I had the honour of reading before this Society at its last annual meeting, I endeavoured to point out the chief general characteristics of the local Perpendicular style of Somersetshire; and I further examined in detail the most magnificent of its features, its stately and elaborate western towers. On the present occasion, I propose, with your indulgence, to continue the subject with regard to the other portions of the churches. But before I directly enter on these questions, I will make a few desultory remarks supplementary to my former discourse, as, since its delivery, I have made a very extensive tour through various parts of the county. I have seen
many magnificent buildings with which I was previously unacquainted, or which I knew only by drawings; and I am only glad that the result of my inquiries has been, that very little modification of my former views is required. I have not found any distinct class of enriched towers besides those which I before endeavoured to classify; but I have seen so many fine individual examples, that I cannot help bestowing a few words upon them. I may also mention that a third church of the cathedral and abbatial type must be referred to the local style. The Minster at Sherborne, which I examined in the course of my journey, although situated beyond the limits of the county and diocese, must be considered as being, in all its most essential characters, a Somersetshire church.

Of the first, or Taunton type, I have seen several very splendid examples; two especially—Bruton and Huish Episcopi, which may fairly dispute between themselves the first rank in their own class. Huish is one of the most majestic of towers; I shall never forget the effect of my first twilight glimpse of it. But I may add that at no subsequent moment did I admire it so much as at that first glimpse; whereas, in the case of Wrington, I always find that the first feeling, when I revisit it, is one of disappointment, but that its super-eminent beauty gradually grows again upon me. But to return to our present competitors: Huish is by far the grander and more enriched; but Bruton has a simple dignity about it approaching more nearly to the exquisite grace of Bishop’s Lydiard. The battlement and pinnacles of Huish are a marvel of elaborate work, but I must confess that those of Bruton please me much better, as being more truly the natural finish of the tower; and I am not sure that the horizontal bands of foliation at Huish do not carry the principle of
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contrast* too far. Neither of these towers is very conspicuous for loftiness; they rise but two stages above the roof, and the treatment of the lower stage in each has much boldness and originality. Kingsbury Episcopi is a third noble tower, of much the same proportion and general treatment. It resembles Huish in its foliated bands and in its battlement, but the latter has still less connexion with the parts beneath, owing to the distance at which the pinnacles crowning the buttresses are set from the angles. This gives the belfry-stage a look of too great hardness and squareness. Mark, Long Sutton, and Langport, are also towers of the same class; handsome steeples, and which, out of Somerset, would command great admiration, but immeasurably inferior to the three magnificent structures which I have just been describing.

Of the Bristol type, I before stated that though its ideal excellence is greater, its actual specimens are commonly of inferior merit to the Taunton class. I have not found this remark belied in my present travels. Montacute is the best tower of this kind that I saw, but no one would compare it to Huish or Kingsbury, though it has borrowed from them their characteristic bands of foliation. The turret is at the north-west angle, so that it stands out very boldly and prominently; it lacks, however, the small spirelet common nearer Bristol. Of Bleadon I spoke somewhat disparagingly, on the strength of an engraving which I find was far from doing it justice. It is not a first rate tower, but is still a bold and handsome structure; the turret is crowned with a spirelet; and we may remark the diagonal buttresses, unusual in Somerset, except in much smaller towers. Of these last, Hutton is a very pleasing example, closely resembling its neighbour.

* See History of Architecture, p. 348—50.
Locking, which I mentioned in my last paper. Mudford is also a pretty little tower of the same class, chiefly remarkable for foliated bands on each side of its belfry windows. Muchelney is a tower of more pretension than any of these, except perhaps Montacute, but less pleasing, the stages being awkwardly managed, and the belfry-windows placed too low down.

I believe I am right in referring these two last towers to this class; my drawings at least do not show any buttresses at the angle occupied by the turret, but I have no view from the other side, where they may exist, especially at Muchelney. If any one blame me for not having made more extensive drawings or notes, I must plead what I consider the very valid excuse, that I visited Muchelney when it was very nearly dark, and Mudford during a violent storm of rain.*

Of the class represented by Temple church at Bristol, where buttresses do exist at the angles, and yet the turret soars conspicuously above all, Yeovil is a very grand example. It is indeed comparatively plain, and without pinnacles, but its solidity of mass and strongly projecting buttresses produce a most striking effect. South Brent, in like manner, has a turret rising above the buttresses; but here all the buttresses terminate below the belfry-stage, so that the latter is somewhat bare.

Yeovil leads the way to a group of towers, chiefly in the western part of the county, some of which might be referred to the first, and some to the second class, but which seem to have more in common with each other than with either of them. I allude to certain towers of considerable height and great boldness of outline and dignity of general effect, in which there is nevertheless an entire

* At Mudford I have ascertained that the turret does stand free without buttresses.
absence of the usual elaborate detail. They are well built and finished, but have hardly any ornament of any kind; instead of the usual rich parapet, there is a mere plain battlement, with small or no pinnacles. Indeed, where they existed, they have been mostly knocked off, rather, according to my taste, to the improvement of the tower. Of these, Minehead and St. Decuman's have the turret connected with buttresses, after the Taunton and Lydiard fashion; at Martock and Queen Camel the upper part of the turret stands free, but the lower part is cloaked with buttresses; at Cannington alone have we the true Bristol arrangement, though without the spirelet. It may be remarked that none of these plain towers are attached to very large and elaborate churches, except Martock, which is consequently unpleasing, while none of the others are. The tower there seems nearly as unworthy of the church as at Huish the church is unworthy of the tower.

Of the third class, I have found no fellow to add to the small band I enumerated on a former occasion. The nearest approach to it I have seen is at Lympsham, where the belfry-stage and the large corner pinnacles are treated exactly as at Wrington, but then that belfry-stage is only the uppermost of three which rise above the roof, and the two lower of which are treated quite in the ordinary manner. This tower is most beautiful at a little distance, but on a nearer approach it is rather disappointing; partly because the gradual increase of lightness is not sufficiently observed, partly because the rough masonry of its walling does not harmonize well with its ornamental portions. In the distant view also it has a great appearance of massiveness, which, on a nearer approach, is found to be very far from its real character. I have now also minutely examined Backwell, and see no reason to retract
the observations I made on it on the strength of Mr. Barr's engraving.

Spires I find to be, especially on the eastern border of the county, a little less rare than I had imagined, though still very far from common. I have examined Frome, Castle Cary, Trent, East Brent, and Worle, besides two or three which I saw in the distance, but could not reach. But to one used to the glorious spires of Northamptonshire, none of these seem of much beauty or grandeur. They are mostly quite unconnected with the tower either by broaching or by flying buttresses; they are of no great height, and without crockets or prominent spire-lights. Generally, as far as any unity of effect is concerned, they might just as well be away. Their most remarkable feature is a small band of panelling, at about half their height. Trent, however, is a pleasing Decorated tower and spire of quite another character.

I am now brought round again to my main subject, and will now proceed to the consideration of the central towers.

My observations have hitherto been confined to western towers; but the prevalency of genuine cross churches affords considerable scope for the introduction of that still nobler feature—the central lantern. We have already mentioned several of earlier date, as the small square tower of Whitchurch, and the octagons of North Curry and Stoke St. Gregory. But we have also several noble specimens of Perpendicular central towers. For the Perpendicular architects, as we have already seen, often carried out, after their own fashion, cruciform designs commenced at an earlier period; and they even erected from the ground, in their own style, such magnificent cruciform piles as Crewkerne and Ilminster. It is indeed probable
that the plan of those churches may have been greatly
influenced by the fabrics which doubtless preceded them;
but that the architect chose thus to reproduce the forms of
an earlier period, shows an appreciation of the noblest
outline which a church can assume, one which, with all my
preference for the Perpendicular style, I must confess to
have been comparatively rare during the period of its
prevalence.

The whole position and circumstances of a central tower
combine to give it a character very different from one
which stands free from the ground. Consequently, though
even the central towers of Somerset retain much of the
general local character, we must not look among them for
the same typical specimens as among those which occupy
the west ends of the churches. The central steeples, in fact,
have far more individuality, and cannot be so well ranged in
classes. I have already mentioned the singular occurrence
of the diagonal buttress in this particular position, where, to
my mind, it is singularly out of place. We have, however, a
very striking example at Othery, and others of inferior merit
at Dunster and Yatton. This last, which supports the trun-
cated spire, I must confess to be quite common-place, and
altogether unworthy of the extraordinary splendour of the
nave and west front; but that at Othery has a boldness
about it which disarms criticism. All three have diagonal
buttresses at three corners, and a prominent turret at the
fourth, so that they may be considered as approximating
to the second, or Bristol, type of western steeples. At
Yatton the buttresses run up and support the pinnacles;
at Othery they are finished somewhat lower down, which
is perhaps more pleasing where the buttresses are diagonal
and the pinnacles of no great consequence, as the slope of
the buttress has a very bold effect. Probably the reason
for the difference is constructive, one having to support a spire, and the other not; the arrangement at Dunster is intermediate. This Othery steeple is, in fact, one quite sui generis, and deserves attentive examination. Its height, for a central tower, is extraordinary, rising fully as much, in proportion to a smaller church, above the main body of the building, as the tallest of the western towers. The belfry-stage contains one tall, broad, four-centered window—window, that is, in the Somersetshire sense, as only a small portion is pierced—the effect of which is very striking, and to my mind not altogether unpleasing. The intermediate stage contains niches.

The usual double buttress, with a turret at one angle, occurs in several central towers. To this head we may perhaps refer the tower of Bristol Cathedral, a low and massive, but singularly venerable structure, and for which, as for the rest of the church, I must confess a special affection. There is something extremely effective in the five windows side by side, and the broad space above in the parapet, with its numerous small battlements. At Axbridge is a noble tower of this class, of remarkable height, with pinnacles at the three corners, and a bold turret at the north-east. It has, however, very much the effect of a western tower. Wedmore has another slightly resembling it, but having no pinnacles, and being altogether inferior. But there is a far more stately tower, though of somewhat smaller elevation, at Ilminster, which is evidently a Perpendicular version of the central tower at Wells. It is, indeed, one of the very noblest parochial towers I know; and the only approach to a fault that I can discern in it is, that the single angle-turret breaks in upon the regularity of design more than is desirable in an erection of such great architectural splendour. This steeple
rises two considerable stages above the roof of the church, and is divided into three bays by slight buttresses running up the whole height, and finishing in pinnacles. The great corner-pinnacles approach somewhat to the Wrington and Glastonbury type, but their finish is rather a dome than a spire; the domical form comes out still more clearly in the top of the stair-turret. Each bay of both stages contains a long transomed window of two lights. The whole effect is most admirable; I do not know a more majestic composition of its own class.

Crewkerne is, in most respects, a grander church than Ilminster; but its tower will not bear comparison. This however partly arises from the arrangements of the church. At Ilminster the four arms of the cross are nearly of the same height, the difference being so small as scarcely to bear upon the proportions of the tower. At Crewkerne the nave is far higher than the choir, which I cannot but think an inexcusablc fault in a cross church, and that one nearly of an uniform date. The result is that the tower from the west looks too low, from the east too slender; and it has not sufficient merit in other respects to counterbalance this original defect. The part which rises clear above the nave must, I suppose, be considered as forming one lofty stage, as it contains only one long two-light window; but at the centre of its height there is a set-off in the buttresses, a string along the face of the tower, somewhat like the band in the same position at Mudford, and a break in the window greater than an ordinary transom. The appearance is that of a window which has somehow or other broken through into a stage below its proper one. If I am not intruding on Mr. Ruskin's province, I would compare it to an unwelcome visitor who has thrust
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his legs through the ceiling, while his body remains in the room overhead.

The double buttresses at Crewkerne finish, each in its own pinnacle, at a little distance from the angle, which certainly produces an effect of weakness. The like is the case with the angle-turret, which terminates in an array of small pinnacles, instead of a single spire or dome. Between these two splendid fabrics lies the little church of Kingston, which I have already mentioned as an example of the Iffley type, a nave and chancel with a tower between them. Plain and unpretending as is this little steeple, it exhibits the genuine Somersetshire feeling in its double buttresses away from the angle. Its staircase-turret is placed on the south side, near the east end, but it cannot be said to occupy a corner.

There is another central tower which I must mention, in the desecrated Priory church at Woodspring. The ground plan is very singular; a nave and north aisle, a choir, now destroyed, and a central tower; there are no transepts, but a lantern is formed by arches in the thickness of the wall. The tower itself is of the same class as Dunster and Othery, except that the angle-turret is wanting, and that the work generally is more elaborate. The character of the belfry-stage is unusual in Somerset, there being a single large window in each face, so far resembling Othery, but with no likeness whatever in the individual windows actually employed.

Of the noblest form of central towers I can only produce from Somersetshire a very unworthy representative, though as there are several grand churches in the county which I have as yet been unable to reach, I would fain hope some of them may contain specimens fit to
maintain the credit of Somerset in this respect also. The form I allude to is that in which the tower is supported by four equal polygonal turrets, one at each corner. This, when the tower rises from the ground, I must, maugre the malison of Mr. Ruskin, consider very inferior to the ordinary buttressed form; but for a central tower, borne up by the four arms of a great cross church, it is surely the grandest that can be devised. Buttresses in this position never look natural; they almost always, even at Ilminster, involve some awkward shift or other; but the turrets rise from the centre with much less impropriety, seeming in some sort to be the external prolongation of the four great piers on which the tower is supported. No one, I think, can fail to recognise the infinite superiority of this arrangement who compares the great tower of Canterbury with that of Gloucester, or the smaller examples at Cricklade and Ashford with the extremely beautiful, but far inferior, erections at Wolverhampton and Melton Mowbray.

Of this form I can here produce nothing better than the tower of Bath Cathedral. I am far from entirely depreciating that church, which certainly possesses great majesty of effect both within and without; but there are few buildings in which the architect seems so often to have gone wilfully wrong. The unusual proportion between the aisles and the clerestory was a bold experiment, and how far it may be thought to have succeeded is, to a great extent, a matter of taste; but there really was no reason why the tower should not have been made square, or why its windows should have been set in square panels. Still, from any point where the peculiar shape is not very conspicuous, there is a good deal of dignity and justness of proportion about this steeple. But the addition of spires to the turrets
here and elsewhere has very much affected the general character of the building. I am by no means clear that the change was not an improvement; still it seems too hazardous an experiment to be altogether justifiable.

WEST FRONTS.

In those churches where the tower is central, scope is thereby given for a regular façade at the west end, which otherwise is in most cases sacrificed to the western tower. Now no one who has given much attention to our old churches, can have failed to remark that in no respect are they generally more defective than in this. No real architectural design is commonly extended to it; the naves and aisles are left, as it were, to finish themselves as they can: their terminations, in fact, remain a mere end, and do not aspire to the dignity of a front. This is seen very conspicuously in St. Giles', Northampton, and still more so even in a church in every other respect so magnificent as that of Stafford. Such cases as Felmersham and Berkeley are indeed very superior; but even here, though the terminations of the two naves are beautiful in the extreme, the ends of the aisles are entirely unworthy of the rest, and exclude anything like a regular architectural design. In the Perpendicular of Somerset we often find this blot removed. Certainly in many cases, even in Somerset, we find good opportunities thrown away. At Wedmore there is little pretence to a regular front, and at Dunster none at all; while at Axbridge, where there is a little more, it is greatly concealed by the parapets. But, on the other hand, even in such comparatively plain west ends as North Curry and Stoke St. Gregory, there is a real design, though a very simple one, and a degree of finish elsewhere unusual. Wood spring Priory has only the termination of a nave, (the single
aisle not reaching to the extreme west) but something more is attempted by the addition of turrets. Still the incongruity between its high gable and the depressed arch of its west window must have been fatal to its general effect. The west ends of Crewkerne and Yatton rise far above this standard; we have here genuine fronts, quite worthy of forming the entrance to any small cathedral or abbey. Crewkerne in fact at once suggests Bath as its fellow, and there can be little doubt as to which of the two fronts should claim our preference. Between Crewkerne and Yatton it is less easy to strike the balance. The general notion of both is the same; a west front without towers, with the natural terminations of the nave and aisles left undisguised, but with the gable of the nave flanked by polygonal turrets. Thus far the main elements are the same; but a more entire diversity is effected in their treatment than perhaps might have been considered possible. Thus at Yatton the turrets are hexagonal, and crowned with small spires; at Crewkerne they are octagonal and embattled, with vestiges of small pinnacles, like those on the angle-turrets of the tower. I cannot but think that their loss has been a gain; but the arrangement of Yatton is more dignified still. The pitch of the gable at Yatton is not satisfactory; it should have been either higher or lower; at Crewkerne it is very flat and embattled. This battlement is also carried along the ends of the aisles, while at Yatton they are far more elegantly finished with one of the elaborate open parapets of that district. At Yatton the ends of the aisles have more dignity given to them by being finished with small turrets at the angles supporting pinnacles, while at Crewkerne there is nothing but the common double buttress. Both have west windows as large as the space will allow; in neither perhaps is the tracery of the very first order; but that at
Crewkerne is decidedly preferable, as the heavy central mullion has a very awkward effect at Yatton. Both have large and magnificent western doorways, that at Crewkerne at once suggesting the portal of King's College Chapel. Either front is a most noble and magnificent design, of a character quite unsurpassed among our parochial edifices; indeed their bold and harmonious simplicity might read a lesson to several of our proudest cathedrals, including the stately fabric of Wells itself.

Of west fronts of other kinds I have hardly anything to say, as the two most remarkable, that last mentioned and St. Mary Redcliffe, hardly come within my direct province, as their main peculiarities are entirely owing to architects earlier than Perpendicular times.

GENERAL EXTERIORS.

From towers and fronts I must now proceed to the bodies of the churches. The subject of their external appearance I have to a certain extent forestalled in speaking of their general character. I there observed that the clerestory is by no means so universal in Somerset as in many other districts, even where the Perpendicular style is far less prevalent. We find it absent even in very large and magnificent churches, as Axbridge, Dunster, Wedmore, and Yeovil. I conceive this partly to arise from the predilection of the architects throughout the whole west of England and South Wales for various modifications of the coved or cradle roof. This necessarily involved an external high pitch; and it is of course only in structures on a very magnificent scale that sufficient elevation is afforded for both a high roof and a clerestory. That this was the cause I imagine is pretty clearly shown from the very slight appreciation of merely picturesque beauty shown by the Perpendicular architects in Somer-
setshire. It is not usual, when the clerestory is absent and the nave has a high roof, to find a covering of the same sort added to the aisles, so as to produce the effect of varied groupings among the numerous gables. Dunster is the only example which occurs to me on a large scale. There are smaller instances at Minehead, St. James in Taunton, Bishop's Hull, and Whitechurch, in which last case, as we have seen, the Perpendicular enlargement was conducted with a most unusual regard to the former character of the building. But even where the aisle has a high roof, it is often disguised with a parapet or battlement, as at Crowcombe and the two Lydiards; more frequently still does the high roof of the nave rise above aisles with a lean-to, finished with a parapet of various degrees of richness. This somewhat unpleasant contrast is conspicuous at Trull, Burrington, Portishead, Portbury, Churchill, St. Werburgh's at Bristol, and even in such stately fabrics as Temple in the same city, as Yeovil, Wedmore, and Axbridge. The peculiar arrangement in the choir of Bristol Cathedral is in a manner analogous, but, as we have seen, does not directly proceed from a similar cause.

Among churches without clerestories, I must not omit to mention the very remarkable edifice at Cannington. This is an uniform Perpendicular building, very short and very lofty; there is no constructive distinction between nave and chancel, within or without, except that the aisles do not run to the east end. A single external roof embraces nave, aisles, and chancel. The arrangement then is identical with that of some of the worst modern churches; and my first momentary impression was that the church was modern, or greatly modernized, but such is not the case. It is rather like Whiston in Northamptonshire, only with a steep roof. The general external effect is, of course, not good, but the height of the east end is magnificent.
When the clerestory is present, it is generally of moderate elevation, quite sufficient inside, but very frequently, as at Crewkerne and Stoke St. Gregory, precluded by the large parapet of the aisles from having its due effect without. It is not usually so thickly set with windows as is frequently the case in Perpendicular churches in other districts; the aisle is commonly much more “diaphanous” than the clerestory. Thus at Wrington, Yatton, Banwell, North Curry, Glastonbury, and Cheddar there is only a single window of moderate size in each bay, so that they are by no means thick together. At Crewkerne there are indeed two windows in each bay, but the immense width of the bays absolutely required it, and it in no degree approaches to the appearance of Newark and other churches where a similar arrangement is used. At St. Mary Magdalen, Taunton, St. Cuthbert’s, Wells, St. Stephen’s, Bristol, and at Bruton and Martock, there is a single window in each bay of greater breadth, but still nothing at all out of the way. At St. Stephen’s the clerestory is strangely enough concealed by a compass roof to the aisle, reversing the ordinary defect.

In the three great churches, however, we find the clerestory far more conspicuous. At St. Mary Redcliffe the clerestory is indeed much larger than is usual in churches of any kind, but I do not think that any one can call its size disproportionate either within or without. Within it certainly is not. At Bath the designer seems to have imitated Redcliffe without much discretion, and has produced a clerestory of decidedly disproportionate size, throwing the aisles into complete insignificance. The Redcliffe arrangement seems also copied in the choir of Christ Church, Hampshire. It is also to be found in an exaggerated form in Sherborne Minster, where the clerestory is decidedly the most important portion of the building, and occupies a still larger
share of it than at Redcliffe. This is still more conspicuous within, owing to a cause which I shall mention when I come to speak of the internal architecture of the churches.

Bath Cathedral appears also to have aped Redcliffe to its own prejudice in another respect. It involves, I trust, no lack of respect for what, I suppose, we may safely call on the whole the most magnificent parish church in England, to say that the position of the tower and the narrowness of the transepts at Redcliffe are decided faults. A church of that size, and one which, in every other respect, affects the cathedral type, ought unquestionably to have exhibited the genuine cross form, and the predominant central tower. Next to that, a pair of western towers, like Llandaff, would have been desirable; next to that, one vast tower at the west end, like Boston or St. Michael's, Coventry; anything rather than the tower thrust into a corner, depriving the church of all outline, and throwing the remainder of the west front into the most ludicrous insignificance. But, the tower being banished to this strange place,—not, be it observed, by the fault of the Perpendicular architect,—it was thought good to make a wonderful display of height and narrowness in the transepts. In this case of Redcliffe the freak was comparatively harmless, both because the tower had been thus banished, and because the addition of transept aisles prevented the notion of narrowness from being pushed to an extreme. But our Bath friend again imitated Redcliffe with still less success; he made his transepts as narrow or narrower than his model, though there was to be a central tower, and no aisles to the transepts. Hence the narrowness is ludicrous without, and absolutely painful within, and that strange shape is given to the tower on which I have already commented.

I am inclined, on the whole, to set down the nave and
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aisles of Banwell as, externally, the most thoroughly beautiful I know among churches of its own kind—that is, churches of considerable size, which neither make any approach to cathedral character, nor yet exhibit the common parochial type on the exaggerated scale of Boston or Coventry. The proportions of the aisles and clerestory are absolutely perfect. I have hinted that the Perpendicular clerestories are, if anything, a little too low, and the windows a little too small. Banwell has hit the exact mean; its range of three-light windows with pointed arches is most stately. It surpasses both Wrington and Yatton in its proportions, and also in the pinnacles, which divide the bays of the clerestory, instead of merely rising from the parapet. Again, the turrets at the east end of the nave are extremely noble, and as the chancel in its roofs and character does not harmonize with the rest, it is a gain that the aisles are not continued beyond the chancel-arch, so that we are spared the lean-to roofs abutting against space, as in Wrington and other cases. I also prefer the porch rising to the full height of the aisle rather than the smaller one at Wrington. The only defect is the important one of the masonry, where we miss the fine ashlar of Wrington. On the whole, I have no doubt in assigning Banwell the first place in these respects; but Wrington, even in the body, comes so very near to it, and so infinitely surpasses Banwell and every other church of its class in its inimitable tower, that I must, on the whole, assign to it the highest rank among genuine parochial churches in Somersetshire, and, therefore, in England.

Yet I must here mention two very formidable rivals, Bruton and Martock. Wrington nave is, like so many others, cramped at both ends; an addition of a bay or two to its length would have been a decided improvement.
At Martock the nave is longer, having six bays, with a well developed clerestory; there is also a much larger and finer chancel. But the tower, as I have mentioned, is very unworthy of the rest of the fabric; and, even in the aisles and clerestory themselves, though increase of size produces an increase of general majesty, we do not find the same exquisite delicacy of treatment. The battlement, though it appears in a graceful and elaborate form, is a finish decidedly inferior to the straight pierced parapet of the northern type. And I am not sure that the break in the aisle, marking the presence of distinct chapels, is any improvement in external effect.

Bruton, with the exception of its modernized chancel, is certainly one of the best churches in the county. I have already mentioned its beautiful western tower; I hardly know whether to find a fault or a beauty in the presence of a second smaller tower over the north porch. This erection is of a form intermediate between a belfry and a gateway tower, and, while it of course adds much variety and character to the outline, it manifestly hinders the due effect of the very fine clerestory to which I have already alluded. The aisle, especially on this north side, is quite unworthy of it. The clerestory has the pierced parapet on both sides, the aisle on the south side only.

CHANCELS, ETC.

I have already mentioned that the chancel, or part of it, is very often retained from an earlier building; so that, as the earlier building was also, in most cases, smaller and less elaborate than its successor, comparatively mean chancels are attached to some of the most magnificent naves and towers, as is very conspicuously the case at Wrington. [In any case the arrangement usually adopted of continuing
the aisles along a single bay of the chancel is one not calculated to give any great dignity to that portion of the church, which often remains somewhat disjointed and inharmonious, being prevented from assuming the form either of the distinct chapel-like chancel, or of the regular choir with aisles. The most interesting chancels are therefore those which contain portions of earlier work. At Ditcheat is a beautiful Geometrical chancel, which the Perpendicular architects have endeavoured to bring into harmony with the rest of the church by the infelicitous expedient of an upper range of windows in the same wall. Bleadon also retains some pleasing work of the same era. Martock has a grand high-roofed chancel, almost entirely remodelled in Perpendicular, but retaining, externally at least, a superb quintuplet of lancets. Within it is barbarously blocked by an incongruous reredos, a disfigurement which I observed in several other churches, as Burnham and Yeovil.

Of chancels essentially Perpendicular, the best specimens occur in the south. North Curry may be practically classed under that head, though a great proportion of its walling is of Decorated date; North Petherton and Langport are also above the average, but for a truly noble example of a chancel in the true Perpendicular style, we must go to Ilminster. I know no parish church which externally approaches nearer to the cathedral type, although neither choir nor transept is furnished with aisles. This appearance must be mainly owing to its glorious central lantern, but the choir forms no unimportant feature in the view from the north-east. It is of three bays, well buttressed and windowed, but offering nothing for especial comment; its beauty lies in general harmony of design and execution. We may however remark the vestry projecting below the east window, which is certainly a Somersetshire localism, as it
occurs also at North Petherton, Langport, and Kingsbury, and it clearly has been also the case at Crewkerne, although there the building itself has been destroyed. There is another at Hawkhurst in Kent.

Both at Ilminster and Crewkerne the north transept is the most enriched and elaborate portion of the church. At Ilminster, though more ornamented, I cannot consider it as rivalling the simpler beauty of the choir. A square spandril is not generally a desirable finish for a window, and I cannot but think that crocketting, as in the north front, is by no means a suitable enrichment for a gable. The similar view at Crewkerne, from the north-east, is very striking, but I cannot think it is equal to Ilminster. There is an affectation of irregularity about it which does not suit the Perpendicular style and low roof; nor is the effect improved by the actual presence of a high one in the choir itself. Regular aisles to the choir and transepts would have been effective one way; a thoroughly picturesque structure, with distinct chapels and apses, would have been equally so, another. At present neither effect is gained; it is irregular without being picturesque, and that while the whole character of the architecture cries for the strictest regularity of design. In detail and masonry, however, these portions of Crewkerne church are much the best that I have seen in the southern part of the county, and, except in the use of a heavy battlement instead of an elegant pierced parapet, they approach very nearly to the beauty of Wrington and Banwell. The work, however, in its general character, and especially in the forms of its windows, some of which are very broad, with excessively flat arches, struck me as not being strictly of a Somersetshire type. It rather reminded me of some of the best Perpendicular work elsewhere, as at St. Mary's in Oxford, at Fairford, and at Whiston and Brington.
in Northamptonshire. But possibly the resemblance may only consist in the fact, that at Crewkerne we see some of the distinctive features of late Perpendicular work more clearly displayed than is usual in Somerset. I do not think any of the churches I mentioned have any windows of the extreme flatness of those in the transept at Crewkerne, where there is no pretence at a point at all, the arch being completely elliptical or three-centred; which of those two it is I leave to mathematicians to decide.

I may mention, as analogous to the additional care expended upon the north transept at Crewkerne and Ilminster, the great splendour bestowed upon the north aisle in the churches of Mark, Lympsham, and the two Brents, all lying near together, and the three last presenting a striking similarity. Importance is also often given to the north side by the presence of a turret, which sometimes receives great prominence; I have mentioned the little spire at Burrington; there is a similar one at Worle. It is however sometimes found on the south side, as at Minehead and Dunster; but the other is decidedly the more usual position. We have also seen the addition of a second tower on the north side at Bruton; in the somewhat similar case of Wedmore it occupies the south. All these manifest an inclination to have some secondary tower or spire besides the grand western or central one; and I only wonder that I have not come across any Somersetshire church exhibiting the peculiar arrangement of Purton and Wimborne Minster.

CROSS CHURCHES.

Crewkerne and Ilminster are decidedly the finest parochial cruciform churches which I have seen in Somersetshire; but there are some other very noble examples. Dunster is a very large and striking building, but, to say
nothing of its present miserable and disgraceful condition, there is something unsatisfactory in its original design. In so large a church, and that too one connected with a conventual establishment, we should certainly have looked for some approach to the architectural character of a minster, whereas it has decidedly less of that mysterious effect than either Crewkerne or Ilminster. There is nothing about it different from an ordinary parish church, except the enormous length of its western limb. This was apparently owing to the choir running considerably west of the tower; the rood-screen remains two bays down the constructive nave, and that this is its original position is shown by the staircase turret. The whole church is an example of opportunities thrown away; there is neither clerestory nor west front, and there is a general appearance of irregularity about it hardly pleasing in so large a church.

Ditcheat is its exact opposite; all its four limbs cluster round a massive central tower with the most exemplary regularity; the way in which the chancel is reduced to uniformity I have already mentioned. It is a handsome church, with a clerestory, and some approach to a west front; but it is rather spoiled by an enormously heavy battlement running all round.

Wedmore is a large and striking church, to some of whose features I have already alluded. It is very irregular, but in a different way from Dunster. The latter has the irregularity of a small picturesque church on an exaggerated scale; that of Wedmore is essentially the irregularity of a large building. On the south side the appearance is most singular. The tall and somewhat bare central tower rises from among a mass of buildings which seem to have no sort of connexion with each other. Some rather curiously arranged chapels and sacristies cluster around the chancel, but both
chancel and transept are thrown into utter insignificance by the group of structures attached to the south aisle. I have mentioned that the porch grows into something like a college gateway; east of this, on the same line, is a large chapel, with enormously lofty windows, stretching east so as to join the transept, but projecting far in front of it. The west and north sides offer nothing very remarkable.

Another very fine cruciform church is that of Axbridge. It has, externally at least, no individual feature which can be compared to the grander portions of Ilminster and Crewkerne, but I am not sure whether it is not a more harmonious whole than either of them. And this, notwithstanding some palpable defects. A building of this class certainly wants a clerestory, and we feel the lack here more acutely than at Wedmore, from the very cause that this church is a compact whole, gathered closely around its predominant centre, and not, like Wedmore, a collection of unconnected fragments. The four main limbs have high roofs; the aisles, with much the same height in the walls, have lean-to roofs, adorned on the south side with the pierced parapet. Hence, as the transepts project scarcely at all beyond the aisles, the distinction is left to be made almost entirely by means of the roofs, so that, especially on the south side, the gable of the transept has rather the air of a mere interruption to the horizontal line of the aisle than of a distinct portion of the church. Perhaps the effect rather resembles that of such churches as Fairford and Magor than of the complete and genuine cruciform structure. The extreme east end is here also unconnected, and unworthy of the rest of the building. Nevertheless, the general effect of the whole is both striking and satisfactory; to the noble central tower I have already alluded.

Yeovil is a very large and fine church with transepts,
but its only tower being western, it can hardly claim the rank of a genuine cruciform church. It is, however, a magnificent building, and in its general effect singularly combines (of course on a considerably smaller scale) the distinctive features of the two principal churches in Bristol. Viewing its whole length, especially from the north side, so long a range of uniform Perpendicular work, with tall narrow transepts and western tower, can hardly fail to suggest the notion of St. Mary Redcliffe. On the other hand, in another important point, it resembles Bristol Cathedral. The clerestory is absent, or, to speak more truly, the aisles are the full height of the nave and choir. I mean that the positive height of the aisles is so great that there is nothing felt to be wanting, as in most cases where there is no clerestory. At Axbridge, for instance, a clerestory could be added to the nave; it might, or might not, be an improvement, but the proportions of the church would admit of it. At Yeovil nothing of the sort could be done; like Bristol, the building forms a regular design on another principle. The church is uniform throughout, and the walls are of the same height in aisle, choir, and transept. The effect of the arrangement, as at Bristol and Dorchester, is to produce a magnificent series of large and lofty windows. I need not say that here we have a large and stately choir; aisles are attached to its two western bays, but the two eastern stand free, forming a noble presbytery. The absence of a clerestory gives peculiar facilities for this arrangement. Of the tower I have already spoken; a low ancient building, now at least used as a school, is attached to its south-west angle. This is far from improving the appearance from that side; it makes the tower quasi-central, and suggests the notion of an aggregate of buildings like Llantwit or St. 1853, Part II.
Wollos at Newport, whereas the leading idea of Yeovil church is clearly that of the most perfect regularity. The best point of view is from the north-east.

Before I quit the subject of cruciform and quasi-cruciform churches, and therewith of Somersetshire exteriors generally, I must revert for a moment to the earlier type of church which preceded those which form my more immediate subject. I mentioned that in these cases a side tower was by no means unusual. Under these circumstances the church seems generally to be cruciform, the tower forming one of the transepts. This is the notion at Frome, but it comes out much more distinctly at Somerton and Stoke Hamdon. In the former, the tower becomes octagonal, as soon as it is clear of the aisle; in the latter, it is square throughout, and its belfry-stage is a beautiful specimen of Early English masonry. This whole church is, as a record of architectural changes,* one of the most interesting in Somerset, but it contains little or nothing illustrating the local Perpendicular. This position of the tower is by no means an unpleasing one, producing a varied and picturesque outline, and slightly sharing the effect of a real central tower. There is surely a strong affinity between the appearance of Somerton and of North Curry. Indeed, for a side tower, I think it by far the best position; better than a porch tower, which can hardly fail to be unconnected; far better than one terminating an aisle, which naturally suggests the idea of an

* It would be a still more important record of doctrinal changes, could we believe a piece of information which I received from its sextoness, namely, that "this church was built for the Roman Catholics, but was never occupied by them." The church is a Norman one, with Early English and Decorated alterations. Are we to suppose that, during so long a period, this parish was blest with unknown precursors of Wickliffe, whom ecclesiastical history has ungratefully forgotten to record?
unfinished west front with two towers. The Somerton arrangement indeed stands in the same relation to Exeter and Ottery which St. Mary Redcliffe bears to York and Beverley, that is, a tower might be conceived forming the other transept; but the Exeter plan is so unfamiliar, and, indeed, so grotesque, that it is not likely thus to present itself to the mind.

INTERIORS.

I now come to the second main portion of my subject, the interiors of the Somersetshire churches. The excellence of the local style is shown in the best interiors fully as much as in the towers, but, from some cause or other, first-rate interiors are by no means so usually met with as first-rate towers. Nevertheless they are decidedly common in proportion to their frequency in parochial work in most other parts of England. It is certainly by no means common to find the interior of the nave and aisles of a parish church forming a really grand architectural whole during the Early English and Decorated periods. Warmington, in Northamptonshire, is well known as a glorious exception; but, unless it be the nave of Berkeley, I am unable to provide it with a fellow. St. Mary's at Haverfordwest has indeed an arcade of perhaps unparalleled magnificence, but it is only one arcade; there is no other aisle to match it, and the clerestory and roof are of a later date. It is in the Perpendicular style, and, above all, in the Perpendicular of Somerset, that we first find the interiors of parochial churches systematically constructed so as to deserve the name of great architectural wholes. Elsewhere, and at an earlier period, the impression on entering a church is usually one of disappointment. The exterior may, by dint of a picturesque outline, or even of a certain kind of proportion,
produce a stately or elegant effect; but the interior seldom exhibits any really great architectural coup d'œil. That picturesque effect, which is a fair external substitute for real artistic design, can hardly extend to the interior; so that in many cases it is simply common-place and uninteresting; in others it is a valuable repertory of architectural or ecclesiological curiosities, of individual portions, it may be, of extreme beauty, but the whole does not constitute one great work of art. The grand churches of Northamptonshire, even such buildings as Higham and Rushden and Oundle and Irthlingborough, can hardly claim a higher place; such interiors as Islip and Fotheringhay exhibit the Perpendicular style, and some slight approach to its Somersetshire perfection. But with those whom I now address the case is widely different; in your most typical parish churches, no less than in the grandest minsters, the exterior is but the husk and shell of the higher beauty which is in store within. And this, because both of them are works of art in the highest sense; it is no mere picturesque outline, no mere collection of interesting details, which gives their charm to the magnificent naves of Taunton and Bruton and Martock and Wrington, and perhaps still more perfect in its own kind, though of a decidedly inferior kind, the lofty, and spacious, and thoroughly harmonious church of Yeovil. Here we do not immediately note down some individual capital or window which attracts our attention; the eye is not drawn away to contemplate a font of singular design or sedilia of unusual arrangement; the most gorgeous display of monumental splendour is postponed for subsequent and secondary consideration; it is the real triumph of the noblest of arts which rivets the attention; it is the one grand and harmonious whole which lifts the mind in admiration of an effect as perfect in its own way, as
truly the work of real design and artistic genius, as Cologne or Winchester or St. Ouen's. The graceful arches rise from the tall and slender columns, with just as much connexion as Continuous effect requires, just enough distinction to hinder the ascent from being too painfully rapid.* Above, the windows of the clerestory agreeably relieve the recesses of the massive timber roof, and unite it into one whole with the arcades beneath. The roof itself, borne on shafts rising uninterruptedly from the ground, is proclaimed as no botch or afterthought, but an essential portion of the great design; or else it rests on the more elaborate support of angels and niches, once exhibiting the choicest display of the subsidiary arts. The stone vault alone is wanting to rank such piles with cathedrals and mitred abbeys; it is, however, represented in the main body by its noblest substitutes, and its own splendours are reserved for the western belfry or the central lantern. Here, supported on its four lofty arms, it forms the crown of the whole edifice; there, the soaring panelled arch, the spreading fan tracery beyond, the tall and wide western window finishing the whole vista, make us feel that the stately towers of Wrington and Axbridge and Kingsbury are but the beacons to guide us to the still higher splendours which are reserved for those who shall tread within the consecrated walls.

I do not feel that I am drawing an ideal picture, because it is only in a very few instances that it is realized. Of course such magnificence, though less rare than elsewhere, is still rare, even in Somerset; but the few first-rate naves (even without counting Redcliffe and Sherborne, as belonging to a higher class of buildings) do really merit almost any amount of commendation which can be bestowed

* See History of Architecture, p. 389.
on them. Among these, I think, we must, on the whole, give the first place to Martock, though my old favourite Wrington, decidedly superior without, forms a very formidable rival within.

In my paper of last year I spoke of the distinguishing and characteristic merit of Somersetshire work, as consisting in the combination of the unity and grandeur peculiar to the Perpendicular style, with much of the delicacy and purity of detail more commonly distinctive of the earlier styles. I also referred to St. Mary Redcliffe as exhibiting this character in its highest perfection, and as having probably been the model after which the smaller edifices were designed. But we must look for the germs of the local Perpendicular style at a much earlier period than this. We can trace them up to an early stage of the Lancet style. Somersetshire does indeed contain examples of a noble variety of that style quite alien from our present purpose, but of which I shall hope to treat on some other occasion, and to show its influence on other parts of our island, by tracing the relation in which Wells and Glastonbury stand to Llandaff and St. David's.* But Somersetshire contains at least one noble example of an Early Gothic interior of widely different character, and in which, I think, we may fairly recognize the first parent of the local Continuous. Every one knows the superb church of St. Cuthbert at Wells, with its magnificent Early English arcades and its Perpendicular clerestory superadded. Now here it requires a technical eye to see that it is super-added; the Early work has quite the general effect of the ordinary Perpendicular of the county; the immensely tall shafts are utterly unlike the generality of Early English pillars, and especially unlike those in the neighbouring

* See History and Antiquities of St. David's, p. 64.
ON THE PERPENDICULAR OF SOMERSET.

The Early English arcades of the nave do not seem to differ more widely from the Perpendicular ones of the choir and side chapels than the latter do from one another. The general effect is the same throughout.

Coming on further, the Decorated work in Bristol Cathedral is another step towards the local Perpendicular. It is intensely Continuous; indeed it is so to an exaggerated extent, which the Perpendicular builders did not generally imitate. We must take it in connexion with the Decorated work at North Curry and Frome. In these cases the impost of the piers are continuous; the moldings, among which the wave-moulding is predominant, being carried uninterruptedly along pier and arch, unbroken by any shaft or capital. At Bristol, the pier itself is of this character, only the members which are attached as vaulting-shafts are provided with capitals. But no arrangement can be more thoroughly Continuous; and this is the more remarkable, as the tracery is rather behind-hand in its development, whereas generally we find the tracery very far in advance of the arcades.

The choir of Bristol, from its very small elevation in comparison with its width, and from the absence of a clerestory, has a general effect of massiveness, which in a Gothic church is somewhat oppressive. But looking directly across the choir, it is at once seen that the arcades taken alone have an extraordinarily light and soaring appearance. The bays are narrow, the piers slender and lofty, the arches wonderfully acute. This last feature indeed is caused by the peculiar arrangements of the roof, and is not to be found in either the earlier or the later examples with which we have compared it. But the general notion of the arcade is one which may claim very close relationship with the Perpendicular of Wrington and St. Stephen's; and
I have always greatly admired the skill displayed by the architect in its adoption. The proportions of the Romanesque church on whose foundations he built forbade any great positive elevation, or any general effect of lightness. He judiciously threw his whole strength into this particular feature, and worked out this wonderful effect of loftiness in the direct side view, to the sacrifice of everything else. Had the side elevation been cut up into arcade, triforium, and clerestory, or even into arcade and clerestory only, the necessary shortness of the piers would have exiled the notion of height from the only part in which it could take refuge, and have left it no place in the whole building. Indeed the whole cathedral is one to which justice has never been done either in an æsthetical or an historical point of view.

If then we trace up the local Perpendicular to an earlier tradition, carried on through the Early English and Decorated churches which I have mentioned, and attaining its complete perfection in the transepts of St. Mary Redcliffe, erected at the very turning point from Flowing to Perpendicular, we may easily understand the peculiar character of its fully developed form. The Early style, to a great extent, forestalled the Continuous; therefore the Continuous, not appearing as something utterly strange and new, retained a good many of the features of the Early.

Among these features I reckon the constant use of round, and very frequently of flowered, capitals, the continual occurrence of the wave-moulding in various positions, and the peculiar and very beautiful variety of Perpendicular tracery so commonly met with, compounded of the Alternate and Supermullioned forms.* I do not say that none of these features are to be found out of Somersetshire—it occurs

* See Essay on Window Tracery, p. 191 et seqq.
at once that the round flowered capital occurs in the vaulting-shafts of Winchester Cathedral,—but I think I may safely say that they are rare, except in this county and in districts subject to its influence. The Perpendicular of the midland counties is decidedly different; the capitals are usually octagonal, and not flowered; the sections of piers and arch-mouldings, especially the latter, seldom resemble what we find in Somersetshire; and the beautiful tracery of the Somersetshire windows is almost entirely unknown. Market Harborough, Oadby, Great Claybrook, Narborough, Whiston, Islip, and Fotheringhay, all in Leicestershire and Northamptonshire, have very good Perpendicular interiors; but both in composition and detail they differ widely from the Somersetshire specimens, and moreover differ much more widely among themselves than the latter do. And, to come nearer, the Perpendicular even of Gloucestershire, except in some of the southern parts where Bristol influence is at work, is widely different from that of Somerset; the Perpendicular parts of Gloucester Cathedral are clearly not of the same class as Redcliffe and Sherborne; nor does Cirencester present any marked resemblance to the great Somersetshire parish churches. Less elaborate buildings, as Dursley and even Northleach, differ still more widely from Somersetshire churches of the second order. In few of them is the Perpendicular notion so fully carried out; in still fewer do we find the same retention of earlier details.

PIERS AND ARCHES.

Nowhere is a local impress in architecture more easily to be recognized than in the pillars of the Somersetshire churches; one uniform section runs through the whole, any deviation from which is at once noted as an exception.

1853, PART II.
The idea of nearly all is a lozenge with attached shafts; in a vast majority of cases this assumes the form of a hollow lozenge with a shaft attached to each of the cardinal points; in some of the richer examples, as Wrington, Yatton, and St. Stephen's, smaller shafts are inserted in the hollows of the lozenge, making a cluster of eight. In another variety the lozenge has not a mere hollow, but the space between the shafts is occupied by a wave moulding. This occurs in four churches which I have already mentioned as closely resembling each other in various points, the two Brents, Lympsham, and Mark, as also in the more distant ones of Carhampton and St. Decuman's.

The capitals, as I before said, are usually round, and often flowered. In the latter case the form is very elegant, but, when floriation is absent, I cannot consider the round section as any gain, especially in the rather rude shape which it often assumes in the less elaborate churches. A very beautiful variety is when the capitals take the shape of angels bearing shields or scrolls. This is most common in the northern district, but it also occurs in St. Mary Magdalen at Taunton.

In the arches, the mouldings of the piers are generally continued; the hollow or other moulding of the lozenge runs on uninterruptedly, while the shafts are carried up in the form of round bowtells, which, as their position demands, are finished off with an ogee fillet.

This is the typical pier and arch; it is of course subject to exceptions. These are not uncommon in the section of the capital, but much less so in that of the pier itself. The latter, in almost all cases, retains the lozenge form under some modification or other; the plain octagonal pillar and the elongated mullion-shaped cluster hardly occur. At Crewkerne they are of a very unusual and elaborate sec-
tion, but still the lozenge form has by no means completely vanished.

As the section of the piers is the most prevalent of the Somersetshire characteristics, so it is the least distinctive; the other points are seldom met with elsewhere, while this lozenge section frequently is. For instance, the section of the piers in St. Mary's at Oxford is only a more elaborate form of that of Wrington and St. Stephen's; but as soon as we reach the capitals and arch-mouldings, the resemblance vanishes. The fact is, that what elsewhere is one not uncommon form among others, becomes in Somersetshire nearly universal.

Exceptions are more common in the capitals. The departure from ordinary practice generally consists in carrying the abacus all round the pier, instead of leaving the sides of the lozenge to be continued uninterruptedly in the arch. Sometimes, as at Mark, Wedmore, Dunster, and St. Decuman's, the capitals follow the section of the pier, (whether the usual one or any other) or some slight modification of it, as at Trull. In others, all the shafts are gathered together under one lozenge-shaped capital; this, which I believe is a Devonshire custom, occurs in a rude form in the choir of Dunster, and in a very elaborate one at Lydiard St. Lawrence. It is a form well adapted to render the capital a beautiful individual feature, but it is one completely destructive of all Continuous effect. Octagonal capitals to individual members of the cluster are by no means common, but they also occur in some parts of Dunster.

In the cases where a more elaborate section of the pier is employed, some difference necessarily follows in the mouldings of the arch. Some mouldings necessarily rise from the subordinate shafts, and even those rising from the principal
ones are often less strictly a mere continuation of the latter, the large bowtell being often cut up into several smaller members. They still however adhere to the main rule, that the principal hollows of the pier be continued uninteruptedly in the arch, and that the principal projections be represented, but with the interposition of a capital.

The proportions of the piers and arches are very various; but they depend less upon the presence or absence of the clerestory than might have been expected. This is because the height of the clerestory is, as we shall presently see, more commonly taken out of the roof than out of the arcades. The general tendency however is to a rather tall pier, and most commonly to a rather narrow arch, as at Wrington, Yatton, and St. Stephen's. At Yeovil, of course, the absence of the clerestory, or more truly the height of the aisles, introduces a still more lofty pier. Sometimes, however, the arches are very broad; thus at Crewkerne, though the pillars are extremely lofty, the arches are so wide, that a length of nave which would commonly have been divided into five bays, here contains only three. The four-centred arch is common enough in subordinate positions, as in the side arches of chancels, but it is not usual in the main arcades. Bath Abbey, as we all know, is, for a special reason,* an exception. Four-centred arches also occur in the naves of Taunton and Bruton, but though of a variety of that shape perhaps more ungraceful in itself, they seem better suited to enter into the general composition.

CLERESTORIES AND ROOFS.

I said just now that the height of the clerestory was generally taken out of the roof, not out of the arcades. I mean that, when the clerestory is absent, the nave has

* See History of Architecture, p. 351.
generally a high-pitched roof; when it is present, a low one. Thus the actual height of the whole church externally, and that of the aisles both inside and out, may be identical in two churches following the two different arrangements.

When the roof is low, that is, when there is a clerestory, we generally find exceedingly fine tie-beamed roofs, as at Martock, Somerton, Wrington, Taunton, Bruton, and, above all, St. Cuthbert's, which drips with foliations, almost like the nave of St. David's. When the roof is high, different forms of the cradle roof occur. This is the local roof of Somersetshire and the West of England in general; and I would impress on the minds of all who are concerned in such matters, the necessity of carefully preserving this noble feature, which, in too many so-called restorations, I have found destroyed; I may especially mention a bungling substitute which I found at Trent. Would that the opposite example of Banwell were followed throughout the county. This sort of roof has this advantage, that it can be made of any degree of plainness or richness, and, still more, that it allows any amount of decoration to be superadded to an originally plain design. We may have merely the arched rafters, with or without some ornament where they cross the horizontal pieces, or we may cover them with a ceiling of wood, which again may be panelled and painted to any amount of gorgeousness. Examples of all these different stages may be found in different churches. Queen Camel is a good study; there is a fine tie-beam roof in the nave, and an equally good coved one in the chancel; both increase in richness over the rood-loft and the altar respectively.

The form of the arch employed in these roofs is very various; pointed, elliptical, semicircular; the latter is the
most common, and I decidedly prefer it. Cannington, however, is a fine specimen of the pointed form.

The tie-beam roof is, as far as I remember, confined to the churches which have the clerestory, but the reverse rule will not hold good, as is shown by the cases of Yatton, Banwell, and Congresbury; but these three lie so close together that this is probably a localism within a localism.

I must here not omit to mention some rich roofs of later date, which seem to be a cinque-cento variety of the old coved roof. That of the nave of Bath Abbey is well known; but finer ones, to my mind, with tracery, pendants, etc., occur at East Brent and Axbridge, and even in the poor little church of Biddesham. That at Axbridge bears the date of 1636.

The ordinary arrangement of the clerestory windows I have already considered; I have now to speak of the connexion of the clerestory with the roof and the arcades. To bring an elevation into complete harmony, the vertical division into bays, and the horizontal division into arcade, clerestory, and triforium, (if there be any,) should both be marked in the decorative construction. There should at least be a string running over the arches; and the clerestory should be divided by shafts supporting the roof, either rising direct from the ground, or corbelled off over the piers. Where these are not found, as at Long Sutton and St. John's at Glastonbury, the interior has an unfinished look, and can hardly aspire to the name of an architectural design. When they occur, a spandril is formed by the pier arch and the roof shafts, and a further spandril is left between the roof and the clerestory window. To fill these up is a further development.

Two principal forms of vertical division occur in the great Somersetshire churches. At Wrington and Yatton
we find the most perfect of all, a shaft forming a member of
the pier carried up straight from the ground. This is the
more remarkable at Yatton, as its coved roof did not
require any roof-shafts at all; they are clearly added
wholly for the improvement of the general effect. And I
think we may fairly add St. Cuthbert's; the shafts, of
course, cannot rise from the ground, but they somehow
look as if the designer would have made them do so, had he
planned the church from its foundations.

In the other variety no shafts rise from the ground; but
a niche is placed between each bay of the clerestory, sup-
ported by a shaft corbelled off above the pillars; the same
figure, usually an angel, serves for a finial to the niche, and for
a corbel to the roof. This confusion is clearly a mistake in
decorative construction,* and, together with a certain want
of simplicity in the whole, must make us consider this form
abstractedly inferior to the other. Nevertheless it is one
of the most gorgeous magnificence, and it will be observed
that it is very nearly identical with that of the splendid
nave of St. Mary's in Oxford, the chief difference being
that the latter has no shaft below the niche, a point on
which the advantage lies on the side of the Somersetshire
examples. Of these the grandest is Martock, but the same
plan is also followed at Taunton and Bruton, which resemble
each other in so many points.

Of the means of filling up spandrils, the most natural is
by figures similar to those which are used in the spandrils
of doorways, or by other analogous processes. Of these
there is an early example in the choir at Ely, and they
seem so natural a development from the figures often
inserted in the same position in Early Gothic buildings,
that one wonders they are not more commonly met with in

* See History of St. David's.
Perpendicular work. Martock is the only strictly parochial Somersetshire example with which I am prepared. Here the design is one of singular magnificence; the spandril patterns are very elaborate, the string above the arches has a crest of Tudor flowers, and angels appear as a sort of keystones.

There is also an extremely local practice, which looks like an attempt to bring the roof and clerestory into some degree of that connexion with each other which the vault alone can completely effect. Both at Wrington and Banwell a trefoil arch is thrown across from the capitals under the roof, the rear-arch of the clerestory window fitting into its upper foil. It has quite the aspect of an arch traced out for vaulting, yet such could hardly have been its intention. In the aisles of Yatton, and the nave of Congresbury, we find arches nearly similarly employed, and the spandrils filled up with panelling, which probably was the intention in the others also, unless indeed a *timber* vault was at any time contemplated.

Between Wrington and Martock must lie the rivalry for the palm of superior internal beauty. The greater size of Martock,—Wrington, as I said, being decidedly too short,—gives it an unquestioned superiority in general effect; taking bay against bay, the case is not quite so clear. The general notion of Wrington is of a higher class; it has more of simplicity and harmony, its pillars are more elaborately clustered, its capitals are richer; while Martock suffers a little from its clerestory seeming comparatively bare between the extraordinary splendour of its arcades and its roof. Still there is such a magnificence about the latter as to disarm all criticism, and, I think, on the whole, to establish the claim of Martock to the first place among the strictly parochial interiors of the county.
NAVE, MARTOCK CHURCH, SOMERSETSHIRE
The charge of possessing a clerestory unworthy of the arcades which support it, which I have brought to a certain extent against Martock, is far more applicable to St. Stephen's in Bristol. The arcades, taken alone, are, both in proportion and detail, some of the most beautiful I know; but instead of the due horizontal and vertical divisions, we have the clerestory windows recessed from the wall, the sill being brought down to the arch, so as to leave a sort of pilaster between. If the church were vaulted, and the blank part of the recessed space panelled, it might be tolerable, but at present the effect is decidedly unpleasing.

And now for a few words on the interiors of the three great churches, Redcliffe, Sherborne, and Bath. For the first, words would fail to do justice to that noble vista, exhibiting, as it does, the most perfect form of the art carried out with a degree of individual merit which approaches to faultlessness. And yet no one can fail to recognize here the genuine local style, only carried out with more elaboration in detail, and with the changes in proportion rendered necessary by the addition of vaulting. The proportion of pier, arch, and clerestory is perfect; the clerestory is, appropriately, somewhat larger than in the smaller buildings; and from this cause, as well as from the addition of vaulting, the piers are rather less slender than at Yatton or St. Stephen's. In the nave, the quasi-triforium space is panelled, as at St. Michael's, Coventry; in the transepts there is an ornamented spandril, as at Martock; a preferable arrangement, as the lines of panelling do not rise well from the convex surface of the arch. The arcade of the transept and the clerestory of the nave would produce absolute perfection.

The presbytery of Sherborne is very like Redcliffe, and 1853, PART II.
yet very unlike it. Nothing can at first sight seem more dissimilar than the soaring clusters of Redcliffe and the huge masses of wall which divide the arches at Sherborne. Yet a little consideration will show that the style of the two is essentially the same, and even that the leading idea is the same, the differences being occasioned by the respective circumstances of the two churches. Redcliffe was a Perpendicular church erected from the ground; Sherborne was a remodelling of an earlier Romanesque minster. The vast piers of its predecessor probably lurk beneath the casing of shafts and mouldings with which the art of later days has enveloped them. They preserve their old height and their own circumference, or probably a still greater one than of old. But such piers as these could never be made part of a true Continuous Gothic range. The architect clearly felt this; he attempted no arcade; he made the roof and its supports the main feature, and thrust the arches behind them, not so much a continued range, as separate gateways attached by responds to the vast masses which bear up the roof. The vault springs from a shaft rising from the ground; the panelled rear-arch of the window also rises from the ground; everything is concentrated on the wall and the roof; the arches, timidly retiring, are only one degree more important than those which open into the side chapels of King's College. Hence the gigantic clerestory, in estimating which we must also remember that the old triforium had to be swallowed up. The triforium space is, to my mind, better treated than in the nave of Redcliffe; certainly it is better adapted to the leading idea of the elevation.

The nave of Sherborne is very inferior to the presbytery. The elevation consists of two parts utterly unconnected
with each other. The arcade, in utter contrast to the presbytery, is so very uninterrupted that it has no connexion or reference whatever to the upper portion; panelled arches also, in this position, seem to me a mistake, nor am I provided with any other Somersetshire example. But the clerestory alone is most noble, and exhibits exactly the same feeling as that in the presbytery.

I will extend that remark to the choir of Bath Abbey. After the very ingenious defence of that cathedral made at our last Annual Meeting by one much better conversant with the building than myself, I must be very cautious in my criticisms; but I cannot bring myself to admire the low piers and broad arches, with their enormous mouldings, so completely deserting the multiplying for the magnifying principle. But the grand clerestory windows, fitting into the magnificent fan vault, are noble in the extreme, notwithstanding a certain poverty of detail. The vertical division, lost in the nave of Sherborne, is here fully brought out by shafts with angel capitals supporting the vault.

**Belfry and Chancel Arches, etc.**

Those arches which do not form part of continuous arcades, and those which are in less conspicuous positions of the churches, sometimes resemble, but more frequently differ from, the main arcades of the nave. Subordinate arches, as those leading into small chapels, or from aisles into transepts, are very frequently segmental or four-centered; they are also often panelled, or furnished with discontinuous imposts. The great transverse arches, the chancel and belfry arches, cannot fail to be important features; but the same circumstances which detract from the importance of the chancel in the Somersetshire churches,
while they imply the presence of the chancel arch, necessarily diminish from its importance. It is often low, and generally disproportionately broad, and with insufficient responds. At Huntspill, for instance, the arcade is continued uninterruptedly into the chancel, and the chancel arch springs from shafts corbelled off above it. In others again, as at North Petherton, one pier of the ordinary range may be seen throwing out arches in four different directions, which is never pleasing. In others there are responds with continuous impost, or the arch is panelled, as at Weston Zoyland.

This last remark I may extend to the western belfry-arches also, but they are features of far greater importance and beauty than the chancel arches. Indeed it is clear that on no part of the church was greater attention displayed. Few architectural displays are more magnificent than a panelled arch of this kind, rather narrow, with responds of a vast height, and the space beyond vaulted with fan tracery. This is seen in all its splendour at Wrington, Long Sutton, and, above all, Kingsbury Episcopi, where the arch is double, and there is a magnificent display of niches on each side of it. The vaulting is usually, but not invariably, of the fan form; in one instance, Castle Cary, I found fan tracery wrought in wood.

In cross churches the chancel and belfry arches are brought together as members of the central lantern. Of this glorious feature Somersetshire possesses some exceedingly fine examples. Sometimes, indeed, as at Yatton and Wedmore, we find the small incongruous arches of an earlier church; but Ilminster, Crewkerne, Dunster, and Axbridge, all possess tall and stately Perpendicular lanterns. Among
them the tower of Ilminster retains its precedence within as well as without. The soffits of the four arches are panelled, but they are connected by a series of tall shafts with round capitals, almost, Perpendicular though they be, calling to mind the lantern of Merton College Chapel. They are crowned by a noble dome of fan tracery. Such is also the case at Axbridge; but the arches there are somewhat plainer, more resembling those usual in the nave arcades. Crewkerne and Dunster are of inferior character, and the latter loses much of its beauty as a lantern, much as the church gains in point of interest, by the Norman arch remaining immediately to the west of it.

I have now done with architecture, and my scheme excludes ecclesiology; nevertheless, I cannot restrain one passing word of admiration for the two forms of pulpit common in this county,—the stone ones of Perpendicular date in the north, and those of wood in the cinque-cento style in the south. Still less can I omit the magnificent rood-lofts, more closely connected as they are with strictly architectural considerations, as giving more scope to the introduction of those side turrets which often become important architectural features.

I have now concluded two main branches of my subject; the exteriors and the interiors of the Perpendicular churches of Somerset. A third still remains, the relation of Somersetshire architecture to that of other parts of the kingdom. The imitations of it in South Wales I have often alluded to, both in these papers and elsewhere, and I shall hope to work out this branch more fully. But this is only part of the subject; I should wish diligently to
compare Somersetshire work with what occurs in the bordering districts of Devon, Wilts, and Dorset. It would be also desirable to compare it with the other great land of Perpendicular, East Anglia, of which I know personally next to nothing, but where, from all I can gather, the style must assume a very different form. Whether I can make all these investigations before your next Annual Meeting is very doubtful; but I trust, that if not at that, at least at some subsequent one, I may be able to put so necessary a finish to the examination of a subject which, what with journeying, drawing, and writing, has been the business of many hours, which I am by no means inclined to regret as either unpleasantly or unprofitably spent.
On the Perpendicular Towers of Somerset.

BY THE REV. F. WARRE.

Of all the varied beauties of the county we inhabit, well worthy as it is of its Celtic name, which Hearne translates "the laughing summer field," none perhaps is more striking to the eye of the traveller, or more essentially connected in the mind of the native with its scenery, than the church towers,—Dundry crowning the peak of its lofty hill; Backwell relieved by the wooded side of Mendip; Norton nestling among its elms; Yatton, Brent, Lympsham, Bridgwater, North Curry, Lyng, the two splendid towers of Taunton, Norton, Bradford, and Wellington, cannot fail to attract the notice of every passenger by the Bristol and Exeter Railway, while to the native who meets with them, now backed by the hill side, now breaking the level monotony of wide-stretched moor, now buried among the dark green foliage of surrounding elms, or rising in calm majesty amidst undulating corn-fields and richly verdant meadows,—they become as much a part of the scenery, which, perhaps without his knowing it, is almost necessary to his comfort, as the hills, fields, and meadows themselves; and if his thoughts lead him deeper than mere impressions, he cannot but confess that they are not only calculated to
raise his mind to higher and holier things than those of this world, but are also proofs of the gratitude of those who erected them to that Almighty Being, who has given to the inhabitants of this favoured district all things richly to enjoy.

Some of these beautiful edifices are no doubt of early date, but by far the greater number are of that style which Rickman has called Perpendicular; and of these the majority are comparatively of late date in the style, having been built or modernized in the reigns of the two first monarchs of the Tudor dynasty, though no doubt many of them are somewhat earlier. The question has often been asked—what was there in the circumstances of the times, to account for the great move in church building, which evidently took place between the reigns of Edward III. and Henry VIII.? Nor, as far as I am aware, has any satisfactory answer been given to it. No doubt the splendid simplicity of the works of Edington and Wykeham gave a spur to the genius of Wainflete, and the builder of King’s College Chapel; but still the circumstances of the nation at that time, occupied as it was by foreign wars and domestic commotions, do not seem to have been such as were likely to produce such works as these; nor can the local tradition, that these towers were built by Henry VII., out of gratitude for the services of the faithful West to the Lancastrian cause, be admitted as satisfactory,—that selfish and calculating monarch being more busily engaged in filling his own coffers, by the aid of such men as Empson and Dudley, than in expending vast sums in works of piety, though that elaborate specimen of stone panel work, his chapel at Westminster, is no doubt an exception.

It has always appeared to me that a more satisfactory solution of the difficulty might be found in the pious fore-
sight of the Church herself. The Romish establishment had been gradually losing its hold upon the affections of the people, even from the time of Richard II., when, under the patronage of John of Gaunt, Wickliffe preached Reformation, and endeavoured to give the Scriptures to the laity. The grasping avarice of Henry VII., and the extortion of which he was guilty, gave little hope that church property might long be respected; and might not those sagacious men, who at that time directed the expenditure of the revenues of the church, have read in the signs of the times a true warning of the fate which hung over the Romish establishment, and actually befell it in the following reign; and, by building these exquisite towers, have endeavoured to preserve to the church that part of its wealth which was available for the purpose, and being in the shape of money was in greater danger of secularization from the rapacity of the crown than their landed property, though how little even that was secure from the unbounded avarice and despotic power of Henry VIII., the fate of the monastic establishments but too clearly proves. But whatever was the cause of their erection, there they stand, the ornament and pride of the county, which a native, whose eye is accustomed to them, would probably not wish to exchange for the finest Early English Decorated steeples that ever pointed to heaven.

But however much we may admire them, still if we would be really archaeologists, and not mere antiquaries, it is our part not only to know and to admire the works of by-gone generations, but also to reason on them,—not merely to learn these things as sources of amusement, or even as subjects of curious investigation, but as things of practical utility, the knowledge of which may be productive of improvement to modern art; and though I am
not one of those enthusiasts who think that the time may come when the best decorated buildings will be thought only good specimens of transition work, or, on the other hand, that the architects of the fourteenth century had attained to absolute perfection,—still, if by criticising the construction of these beautiful towers, I may, in a very humble degree, help to induce architects to take for their models the edifices of a time when the principles of Gothic architecture were more fully and correctly developed than they have ever been before or since; and by shewing that they are beautiful, not on account of, but in spite of, the principles on which they are built, help in some measure to check the taste for Perpendicular architecture, I may, perhaps, hope to prevent the perpetration of some outrages on good taste; for to educe what is beautiful from faulty principles, requires an amount of talent which, though these men certainly possessed it, falls to the lot of very few; and though a close imitation of a beautiful work will probably itself be beautiful, still the attempt to build an original Perpendicular tower, too often, as far as I can judge, ends in producing an unsightly, though, it may be, elaborate, and expensive failure.

Now I am not a professional architect, and cannot but feel that I am presumptuously intruding on the province of other persons in venturing to read this paper; but trusting to their kindness to excuse my want of technical knowledge, and to that of the audience at large, for my deficiencies of taste and judgment, I will proceed with my subject.

That excellent architectural antiquary and very learned mathematician, the Master of Trinity College, Cambridge, lays down the following principles as essential to complete Gothic architecture,—frame-work, lateral continuity, or wall work, spire-growth, and tracery,—of which the three first
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appear particularly to apply to towers; and if he be right, it follows that, so far as any building is deficient in these points, by so much it is defective as a Gothic design; and what I shall endeavour to shew is, that as there was a gradually increasing recognition and development of these great principles, from the Romanesque to the Decorated, so a gradual neglect of them took place from that period to the end of the reign of Henry VIII., and that our later towers, commonly known as Henry VII. towers, are in fact as completely post-Gothic buildings as those German edifices to which the learned Professor applies that term. The accompanying plates, which are intended to illustrate this, represent a Venetian campanile and five Somersetshire Perpendicular towers,—West Monkton, Wrington, Wellington, Bishop’s Lydeard, and St. Mary’s, Taunton.

Now it will, I presume, be readily allowed that unity of design is essentially necessary to the perfection of a Gothic tower;—I mean, that if any part of the building can be removed without injury to the general plan, it is clearly an excrescence; and though this excrescence may be in itself beautiful, it is a faulty principle for any important part of a building to be independent of the other parts, or, in other words, there should not be a pile of independent buildings one upon another, instead of one building standing on a sufficient base, and rising naturally as it were from it, continuously, and without break; and it is to produce this unity of design that the principles above mentioned are absolutely necessary in the construction of a tower.

That they are necessary will, I think, appear from a slight inspection of the campanile, which, however beautiful it may be as a campanile, is certainly the very reverse of what a Gothic tower ought to be. It has no defined base,
but rises at once from the ground like an ancient Doric column. It has no frame-work, except its own outline against the sky. Its lateral continuity is destroyed by the fluting, and it is a square pier, or a shaft which, according to its size and the material of which it is composed, might serve for a thousand other purposes. Owing to the absence of frame-work, there is no necessary connection between its parts. The spire which crowns it cannot possibly grow out of its base. It is, in fact, a square fluted shaft, having at one end a sort of shrine, a square box, and a spire, all perfectly distinct and independent of each other, and altogether forming what, being of marble, of great size, and standing upright, is, I suppose, a very good campanile; but which, if about two feet long, made of wood, and furnished with a handle at the end, (which, as it has no defined base, may easily be imagined) would only require the Doge's cap at the other end to make it quite as good a design for the staff of a Venetian constable, as for anything else; in fact, it is a Romanesque campanile, and not a tower at all, in the Gothic sense of the word. I have spoken of this campanile in what may appear a slighting tone, not with any intention of depreciating Italian architecture, of the merits and demerits of which I candidly own myself to be a totally incompetent judge, but merely to shew the immense importance of the principles above mentioned to that unity of design, which is indispensable to the construction of a perfect Gothic tower.

That a frame-work enclosing the tower will conduce to the appearance of unity of design is obvious, but it is not of itself sufficient, for it is very possible to fritter away the wall work enclosed, so as totally to counteract the effect of
the frame; and it is manifestly impossible to enclose a spire within the same frame as the tower, which frame in fact is formed by the buttresses.

Buttresses, then, are essential to a perfect tower, and ought to extend, at least apparently, as high as the cornice moulding. Great care should be taken in the arrangement of the windows and the treatment of panel work, ornamental niches, etc., lest the continuity of the wall work be frittered away; and the spire ought to grow as it were out of the base of the tower,—that is to say, if the lines of the spire be continued to the ground, the points at which they touch it ought to coincide with the external lines of the bases of the buttresses.

I am not sure whether this is exactly the case or not with any spire; but it will be found that those of the fourteenth century, at all events, approach nearer to it than those of any other period, while in many of our most admired Perpendicular towers, the principle of spire-growth is altogether abandoned, and those of frame work and lateral continuity very imperfectly carried out. Those early Romanesque towers, which are probably of Anglo-Saxon date, being destitute of buttresses, and having generally each story of rather smaller area than the one below, cannot really be said to have any frame work; for the pilaster-like strips of stone which we observe at Earls Barton, Sompting, and elsewhere, are in fact a mere matter of construction, performing the same office to the rubble masonry as the wooden frame, in what in these days is called a brick noggin, does to the brick work set in it; and have rather the effect of frittering away the lateral continuity, by dividing and subdividing the wall into small compartments, than of conveying any idea of unity in the design of the whole building; while
each story, occupying as it often does a smaller area than
the one below, is in fact an independent building, which
might be removed without much alteration of the tower,
beyond diminishing its height. In this, as well as in the
Norman style, which I hold to be perfectly distinct from it,
there are no real spires. That at Sompting, as well as
many to be met with on the Continent, being in fact
roofs, in the construction of which there is no attempt at
spire growth whatever, though the height of some of them
may almost give them a title to the former appellation.

In many Norman towers, the principle of frame work
seems to be more completely developed, the broad flat
buttress at the angle of the tower being frequently carried
up to the cornice-moulding, though in some cases it ceases
below the belfry story, which in that case becomes an ex-
crescence—a fault very characteristic of the latest, and, in
general, most admired, type of our Perpendicular towers.
The small size of the windows, the arcades running round
all four sides of a story, the plain square, or semi-hexa-
gonal string-courses, and the cornice, which has often the
same projection as the buttresses, all conduce to the effect
of lateral continuity and general unity of design.

As we approach the close of the twelfth century, the
Gothicizing element of the Norman Romanesque becomes
more and more developed. In the place of walls of enor-
mous thickness, and broad flat buttresses, the system of
vaulting now introduced brought in, almost as a necessary
consequence, thinner walls, and deep buttresses, while the
vertical lines, gradually gaining the mastery over the ho-
rizontal, step by step converted the Romanesque into
Gothic, until, in the thirteenth century, we have the well-
developed Early English, with its deep buttresses, slender
windows, and lofty spires.
It is to this period that we owe such buildings as Wells, Lincoln, and Salisbury. Still, however, though during the prevalence of this style, the frame-work and lateral continuity of the towers may perhaps with truth be considered quite equal to those of the fourteenth century, the principle of spire-growth had not as yet attained its complete development. There are, I believe, not more than three or four instances of Early English diagonal buttresses in existence; and the effect of the buttresses being placed at right angles to the walls of a complete steeple is, that either the lines of the spire, if continued to the ground, fall outside the bases of the buttresses, causing an apparent want of stability in the whole fabric, and at the same time rendering the tower and spire independent of each other; or, when this is avoided, the depth of the buttresses is so much increased as to appear exaggerated, and out of proportion to the rest of the building; or else the spire is so much diminished in bulk, as to appear mean and insignificant.

But during the next century this error was corrected, by placing the buttresses diagonally at the angles of the tower, by that means suggesting an octagonal base, within which the whole tower stands, and from which the spire rises naturally in the form of a slender octagonal pyramid; and whatever means may be adopted to relieve the junction of the square tower with the octagonal spire,—whether a simple parapet, clusters of pinnacles, or a plain broach,—the effect of complete frame-work, unbroken lateral continuity, and good spire growth combined, is such that tower and spire together form a whole, rising naturally from a sufficient base, essentially connected in all its parts, and bearing throughout undoubted evidence of unity of design.

Of the five Perpendicular towers, in the accompanying
illustration, the three first, West Monkton, Wrington, and Wellington, may certainly be termed Early, in contradistinction to the other two, Bishop’s Lydeard and St. Mary’s, Taunton. And though I have not been able actually to ascertain their dates, I believe I have mentioned them nearly in the order in which they were built, and I am inclined to think that neither of the first three is later than the reign of Henry VI., and neither of the two last earlier than that of Henry VII.

I do not wish it to be supposed that these five specimens include every type of Perpendicular tower to be met with in this county, but they will be sufficient to illustrate what I wish to shew, namely, the difference of design which exists between the early and later towers of the Perpendicular period, and that our Henry VII. towers, such as Bishop’s Lydeard, St. James’s, Taunton, Chewton, Huish Episcopi, Kingston, Staple Fitzpaine, and particularly St. Mary’s, Taunton, which is frequently mentioned as the finest tower in the county, however beautiful in themselves, are in fact post-Gothic buildings, inasmuch as the great principles of frame-work, lateral continuity, and spire growth are altogether neglected in their construction, though this neglect may perhaps be more striking in some of them than in others.

The first of these towers to which I shall draw your attention, and which I believe to be the earliest of the group, is West Monkton. It is, though very simple, a beautiful design, and having no spire, the effect of unity is very well preserved. It consists of three stories above the west door, separated by string courses, and contained within a frame-work composed of rectangular buttresses and a bold cornice moulding. In the belfry-story is one small window of two lights, and above the
door is a larger one of three lights, while the wall work of
the second story being quite plain and unbroken, the effect
of lateral continuity is in no degree destroyed: did not the
position and size of the buttresses shew that the principle of
spire-growth was neglected, it would perhaps present as
perfect a development of the principles of a Gothic tower
as could easily be found even in fabrics of the fourteenth
century.

In the next, Wrington, the buttresses are rectangular, but,
extending quite to the cornice-moulding, they form a per-
fecf frame-work to the whole tower, which consists exter-

nally of only two stories above the west door, in the lower
of which is a large window, while the upper is occupied by
the mullions and tracery of two narrow windows, separated
by a sort of buttress, or rather pinnacle, rising from the
string-course between the stories. The upper part of these
windows being pierced, gives light to the belfry, having
altogether the effect of a very fine lantern rising from the
top of the lower story, but which, having its base so low
down, and being contained, together with the rest of the
tower, within a perfect frame-work, forms, with the lower
part of the tower, essentially one design; while the effect of
lateral continuity is in great measure preserved by the
mass of unbroken wall between the top of the large win-
dow and the base of the lantern.

Wellington tower, though much plainer, is in design very
similar to Wrington. As there, there are externally only
two stories above the west door, but the lantern being
quite plain, with the exception of two small windows in
the belfry, and the wall-work being unbroken from the top
of the large window to the base of those in the belfry,
except by one string-course, the effect of lateral con-
tinuity is perhaps more perfectly preserved than even at Wrington.

In these three towers, the only great principle which seems to have been neglected is that of spire-growth; for lines drawn from the base of the rectangular buttresses, to a point above the tower, would either extend to an impossible height, or else form a spire utterly disproportioned in bulk to the area of the square tower on which it would stand. If, however, it be required to build a Perpendicular tower, it appears to me that they would afford a model infinitely superior to any to be derived from the more elaborate and more generally admired Henry VII. towers, which I will now proceed to describe.

With all its faults of design, Bishop's Lydeard probably presents as graceful and pleasing a specimen of a Tudor tower, as can be met with anywhere. It consists of four stories, of which that at the base is much the highest, and is occupied by a door with spandrels, and a large window of five lights, immediately above which is a bold string-course. The two next stories are equal to each other in height, and each contains one window of two lights. Above these is the belfry, which stands on a sort of broach, slightly receding from the face of the wall, having two windows considerably larger than those of the stories immediately below, above which is a bold cornice-moulding, a very beautiful pierced parapet with high pinnacles at the angles, and a smaller one at the centre of each side. The buttresses stand at right angles to the walls of the tower, and only extend to the base of the belfry story, ending in pinnacles, which are carried up outside the angles of the belfry to about half its height. It is built of red sandstone, the masonry is particularly good, the mouldings and ornaments well and boldly executed; and from the beauty
of its situation, the rich colour of its material, and the elaborate workmanship of its details, is certainly a very striking edifice.

But it has many and great faults. In the first place the frame-work is incomplete, extending only to the base of the belfry story, which is, in fact, a square lantern of great beauty and elaborate workmanship, but quite independent of the design of the tower, which in reality finishes at its base, from which point a broach spire might have risen naturally enough, though even then its growth would have been imperfect, owing to the position of the buttresses. The string-courses of the second and third stories are at the same level as the sets-off of the buttresses, so that either of them might be removed, and little alteration would be seen except in the height and proportion of the tower. Owing to the size and height of the lower window, there is a deficiency of unbroken wall work in the west front, which is however in some degree obviated on the south side by the whole basement story being plain and unbroken, giving an appearance of firmness to that side which is wanting to the west front, where the lantern, rising above the rectangular buttresses, renders the whole top-heavy, and gives the appearance of the tower standing on too small a base for security. Beautiful as it certainly is, it has no spire-growth, its frame-work is incomplete, and there is an apparent want of lateral continuity and oneness of design. In short, if I am right in my view of what is essentially necessary to the design of a perfect Gothic tower, it is to all intents and purposes a post Gothic building.

But if this be the case with Bishop's Lydeard, it is far more so with St. Mary's, Taunton, where all these faults are exaggerated, and where, in addition to incomplete frame-work, an independent lantern, and entire neglect of
spire-growth, the lateral continuity is totally destroyed by its double windows, its top-heaviness and instability increased by the disproportionate size of its magnificent pinnacles, the base even on the south side being apparently weakened by the insertion of three niches in the mass of wall, and the smallness of the area of its base, together with the lamentably decayed state of the stone of which it is built, altogether give such an appearance of insecurity, as to render a distant view, at least to me, much more agreeable than a close one.

At the beginning of my paper I apologised for intruding upon the province of professional architects; I will, therefore, now say no more than this,—that I am quite aware that, if I have performed my task at all, I have done so in a very imperfect and slovenly manner. But if my view has any truth in it, and I cannot help thinking that it has some, I will conclude, not altogether without hope that these hints, in the hands of scientific men, may perhaps be productive of some slight good to the practice of ecclesiastical architecture.
On the Palaeontology of the Middle and Upper Lias.

BY MR. CHARLES MOORE.

In my school-boy days, my half holidays were often spent in collecting the Ammonites with which the beds of the Upper Lias in the neighbourhood of Ilminster abound, for the purpose of rubbing them down to shew their sparry chambers; but having soon to engage in the active bustle of life, this amusement was quickly forgotten.

During my residence in Bath a few years since, an occasional ramble into the quarries around it, served to revive a dormant taste for geology, a taste which when once cultivated is rarely lost. To those whom this science interests, nature presents herself in newer and more attractive forms, and whether it be in wonder at the mighty forces that have been in action in raising our mountain chains to their present elevations, and thereby exposing to our view riches which otherwise would have been unknown, and without which our favoured country could not have attained its present glory; whether we consider the more gradual operations of former seas, to the agency of whose waters the neighbourhood of this fair city especially, and
the country generally are indebted for the pleasing and varied characters they present; or whether we enter the field of organic life, and by a more minute examination, study the workings and the ways of Providence, so far as they have been revealed to us, we are enabled to see the handy-work of an all-powerful Designer, who appears to have been superintending all for the comfort and happiness of His creatures, and who when He rested from His work (if in our sense of the word He can be said to do so) could with infinite truth pronounce that all His works were good.

About the time my attention was re-directed to Geology, an incident occurred at Ilminster which more particularly caused me to consider it a field of no little geological interest. An old school house was being renovated, and two of the boys were amusing themselves with a pebble or nodule they had found in the rubbish. This in rolling from one to the other separated, and by a lucky chance the pieces were looked at and preserved. In the centre, and naturally at the point of separation, was a beautiful fish of the extinct genus Pachycormus. As my visits to Ilminster were then but for a few days at a time, it is only since my residence there, that I have been able to arrive at a general knowledge of the beds and their contents.

Until very recently these beds, which belong to the Marlstone or middle Lias and the upper Lias, were supposed to be members of the Inferior Oolite,—which was an error; for not only have they a well marked position between the lower or blue Lias, which is found at Twerton and in places near Bath, and the Inferior Oolite, which also has an extensive development there, but they have organic remains peculiarly their own, and altogether distinct from those of either formation. Some confusion may arise in
studying these beds, from the fact, that at the base of the Marlstone resting on the higher members of the lower Lias, there are beds of yellow micaceous sand, very similar to the lowest beds of the Inferior Oolite, and which may be readily mistaken the one for the other, and the more so from their containing but few distinctive organic remains. On the lower sand are the workable beds of the Marlstone or middle Lias, which have a thickness of from ten to twenty feet. Next in ascending order is a thin bed of greenish sand, principally characterized by containing innumerable Belemnites, an internal shell to an animal like the Cuttle Fish. These Belemnites also abound in the stone below. Then comes another thin bed of stone. With this the middle Lias terminates, and with one solitary exception, there is an entire change in the nature of the organic remains from those contained in the beds above. The upper Lias commences with laminated clays about two feet in depth. About the centre of these there is an occasional bed of yellow limestone, having an average thickness of three or four inches, than which, from the nature of the remains it encloses, or for the beauty of their preservation, there can rarely be a bed of greater interest. Above succeed thin bands of rubbly stone and clay, on which, above all, is seen the sand of the Inferior Oolite. One of the best sections may be seen at Shepton Beauchamp, near Ilminster.

Compared in thickness with the great series of formations, the beds I am speaking of appear insignificant, and their development is not considerable. At Ilminster they have a range of a few miles towards South Petherton and Yeovil; they are found on the Tor Hill at Glastonbury, at Radstock, in the cutting of the Railway at Box, again at Cheltenham, after which I am not aware that they are found until the Yorkshire coast is reached.
During one of my visits to Ilminster, happening to go into a quarry which had not been worked for some years, I found a small piece of stone having traces of the rib bones of an *Ichthyosaurus*. As no more could then be found, I was somewhat careless about its preservation. However, it was preserved. Next year, in the same place, I found another piece, which was also taken care of. This was the more fortunate, since two years after, in visiting the same locality, I perceived in the section of the quarry indications of more of the creature, and piece by piece I was enabled to disentomb a Saurian, the first traces of which I had four years before discovered. Owing to a considerable amount of other geological labour, I have not finished clearing this specimen, and if I had, it would have been too large to have brought with me. I have therefore been content to bring but a small part of it as its representative. In the clay in which this specimen was found are some ammonites, and I thought, when at work, I had dug up a couple, and was about to throw them away; but seeing a peculiarity in them, I was led to look more narrowly, and then I found it was part of the *Ichthyosaurus*,—actually its eyes lying loose in the clay. They display very distinctly the character of the eye of the *Ichthyosaurus*, which is made up of a number of horny plates—in fact, they served the purpose of a telescope, and, by being contracted or enlarged, enabled the creature to see to a greater or lesser distance, a provision of Providence, which the more readily enabled it to supply its voracious appetite. Nothing came amiss to it, even the young and the weaker of its own kind, being occasionally made to minister to its wants. Of these Saurians, including those from the lower Lias, I have many fine specimens. In the lower Lias the *Plesiosaurus* is associated with the *Ichthyo-
"saurus, but I have never obtained any traces of it in the Middle or Upper Lias, although it is found occasionally in the beds above.

Not the least interesting, because more rare, amongst the Saurians, is the *Teleosaurus*, which first appears in the beds I am speaking of. Unlike its relatives, the *Ichthysaurus* and *Plesiosaur*, which had soft skins, the *Teleosaurus* is covered with bony scutes or scales, and bears a close resemblance to the gavial of the present day. One specimen in my possession died with its head almost erect. The bony scales in this have been just enough displaced, to enable me to develope the vertebral column, and other parts of the skeleton, a work requiring no little care and labour. The vertebrae are much more elongate than those of the *Ichthysaurus*. Another specimen, a head only, has its jaws well armed with sharp teeth, nearly one hundred and fifty in number, and it is as perfect as the first moment it was covered up. A third is a *baby Saurian*, and although but thirteen inches in length, is nevertheless in most perfect preservation. Its bony scales are undisturbed, except where covering its stomach. Their loss in the latter case was a fortunate circumstance. I have before mentioned that animals of this class are voracious. This one has not eaten one of its own young, but there is now in its stomach the last meal it was destined to devour—a small fish of the genus *Leptolepis*. The *Teleosaurus* in some instances attained considerable size. By way of comparison with my little specimen, I would notice one belonging to the Museum of the Literary Institution of Bath, found in the Oxford Clay of Wiltshire. It is a head, and looks at first sight as much like the trunk of a tree as the head of an extinct
creature. The specimen to which this belonged must have been twenty feet in length. With these Saurians are associated fishes of several genera. The largest prevailing form is the *Pachycormus*. Hugh Miller, in his "Footprints of the Creator," vividly describes the perfectness of some of the specimens disintombed by him, in the Old Red Sandstone, which at one time was considered to have but few organic remains. With them what was once the blood, and muscles, and nerves of the ancient fish, still lie under their bones, sometimes assuming the appearance of thick tar, at others being more indurated, so that it may be used tolerably well as wax for sealing a letter. He says the specimens may have been broken ere they were first covered up, or in being disentangled from their rigid embrace, but that they have caught no harm under its care.

This may be said of the *Pachycormus* of the Upper Lias, and although the specimens retain no traces of animal matter, there does not, in some instances, appear to have been a scale disturbed, and even their fins are extended as if at the moment of their destruction they were in the act of progression. It would seem as though they had been sporting in a tranquil estuary, until by an irruption of muddy water they were suffocated, for in most instances the fish of this genus have perished with their mouths open, as if gasping for the element necessary to their existence.

Perhaps the specimen of most interest amongst these fishes, is one which has been in the hands of several eminent Ichthyologists, who as yet have been unable to determine its affinity to any fossil or existing genus. In clearing it, I at first worked out the upper part of the head, which is remarkably flat, and when only partly uncovered, it looked not unlike a toad. Being unable to ascertain what it was,
I commenced operations on the other side, and I then found it was part of the head of a fish, which I succeeded in completely removing from its matrix, clearing the roof of the mouth, and luckily preserving three or four small teeth in the upper jaw, which appear to have been all it had left when it was covered up. The lower jaw is entirely wanting.

The genus of fishes most abundant is the *Leptolepis*. They are of small size, and the specimens may be seen to vary from an inch to three or four in length. In the whole, I have about 100 of this genus, some of them being new species. One has been described by Sir Philip Egerton, in the Sixth Decade, published by the Geological Society, under the name of *Leptolepis concentricus*. There are also traces of the genera *Pholodopheras* and *Dapedium*.

The discovery of the remains of insects of several species was noticed by Dr. Buckland, in his Bridgewater Treatise; but it was not then known, or even suspected, that anything like an enlarged and correct data of the entomology of a former world could ever be arrived at. And no wonder. One can account for the enamelled scales and bones of fishes, and the testaceous coverings of other animals being preserved; but how could it be supposed that an organization so delicate as is presented in the forms of some of the insect world, could be preserved through ages, of the duration of which we can form but little conception. Nevertheless such is the case. In the bed containing these fishes and saurians, there are indelibly impressed the remains of insects in great variety. The gaudy dragon fly, the ephemera, with its short day of life, and the minuter creatures whose sportive dances may be noticed in our daily walks, are there. The order *Coleoptera*, with their hard wing cases, too abound,
but the description of Collins in his "Ode to Evening," could not be correctly applied to them. He says:

"Now air is hushed, save
Where the beetle winds
His small but sullen horn;
As oft he rises 'midst the twilight path,
Against the pilgrim, borne in heedless hum;"

for these creatures were not seen by mortal eye, nor was their hum music to mortal ear. Upwards of 1000 insects have been obtained by me in this bed, belonging to various orders. So perfect are they in some instances that the nervures of the wings are to be distinctly seen, and some of the Coleopterus insects seem to be staring at you, their eyes being at times well defined in the stone. They are found in all stages, from the caterpillar, the larva, to the perfect insect. Contemporaneously with my obtaining these insects, the Rev. Peter Brodie had been employed in the same work in Gloucestershire, which he has recorded in his book on the "Insects of the Secondary Formations;" but those described by him are principally from the Lower Lias, and the Wealden, although he notices their presence in the bed from which I have obtained my series.

Of the order Crustacea, animals having hard crusts or coverings, there are remains of various families; but in a perfect state they are not numerous. They are represented by the lobster, the prawn, and the shrimp. In the beds of clay of the Upper Lias the loose claws of crustaceans are very frequent.

All the specimens I have hitherto mentioned have been found in the thin bed of Yellow Limestone, which may well be designated the saurian, fish, and insect bed. It was no doubt deposited either in an estuary, or near a coast, and
during a time of comparative quiet. There are frequent traces of carbonized wood, and one piece had evidently been floating on the surface of the water before it was covered up, for a colony of Cirripides, to which family our Barnacle belongs, had made it their resting place, and were covered up with it. That the bed has not been subject to any violent action of the sea, may be inferred from the fact that the nodules are not rolled—they are generally flatter on their under side, shewing that they have not been moved out of their position. The bed was probably once continuous, but now it is found in fragmentary and detached parts, of greater or less size, the softer parts having given way to the continuous action of the water. Where any organic remains were enclosed, the stone has become more indurated; the nodules are then generally worn down so as to assume the form of the skeletons they cover; from this cause I have in some instances been able to tell the genus of a fish enclosed in one of them, without seeing any part of it. Another reason why it must have been deposited near a coast, would be arrived at from the state in which the insects are preserved; for had they been carried far out to sea, they could not have been in the same condition. Vegetable remains are not uncommon, and now and then a fruit is to be found.

Those of you who are in the habit of frequenting the sea side may know a fish which the fishermen call the Ink Fish. It is the Cuttle fish, and the Cuttle bone may frequently be picked up on the sands of the sea shore. It is related to the Nautilus, but not like it, having an external shell, Providence has provided it with another means of defence, in giving it a bag containing a black fluid, which, when in danger, it discharges, darkening the water in its immediate neighbourhood, and thereby endeavouring to es-
cape its enemies. The Cuttle fish is an ugly looking, and sometimes a formidable creature. It is provided with arms, which are arranged around its mouth, covered with powerful suckers and horny hooks; with these it firmly lays hold of, and endeavours to secure, its prey. In the Indian seas it attains considerable size, and an instance is recorded of its climbing up the side of a boat, and fastening itself upon one of its occupants, who could only be released by cutting off the arms of his formidable antagonist. It is from these the sepia used for painting is prepared. In a nodule I have opened, there is the ink bag of a Cuttle Fish with its ink perfectly preserved, which, with a little trouble, would be ready for use.

In the bed whose contents have so far formed the subject of my paper, there have not yet been found any traces of the *Pterodactyle*, a flying reptile, the remains of which have been found in the Lower Lias, and more frequently in the Oolite and chalk above, nor are there any traces of birds, although during the deposition of the New Red Sandstone, if we may judge by the impressions of footsteps, left in numerous instances when it must have been in the state of a soft mud, they must have abounded. But what is remarkable, none of their bones have ever been found in it. Another important class is wanting; viz: Mammalia, the first remains of which are found in the Stonesfield Slate above. I do not despair that these may some day be found to have their representatives in the Upper Lias.

Leaving this bed of Yellow Limestone, we will shortly consider the organic remains that are to be found in other portions of the series. In the Marlstone, are several species of Ammonites and Nautili, but they are more frequent in the beds of the Upper Lias, where thousands are to be found. One species, and the most abundant, is *Ammonites*...
Walcottii; and, although so numerous, the species appears to have found its last resting place in these beds, as it has never been found in those of a later age. The class Brachiopoda, animals having long extensile arms, to which the Spirifer and Terebratula belong, are numerously represented.

The state in which some of the Spirifers are found, has enabled dissections to be made of their interiors; and an enlarged sketch of the remarkable structure they present, may be seen in the volume of the Palæontographical Society for 1850. Having paid more than ordinary attention to shells of this family, I have been able to add materially to known forms.

Until lately, only fourteen species belonging to the genera Lingula, Orbicula, Spirifer, and Terebratula, were published, from all the Lias beds of this country. Three new genera, viz: Leptaena, Thecidea, and Crania, including in the whole nineteen species, have since been figured and described by my friend Mr. Davidson, in the last year's volume of the Palæontographical Society, from my collection, since which I have discovered about ten others, thereby increasing the species in this family, from the Lias from fourteen to forty-three.

The Leptaena were supposed to have become extinct at the termination of the Palæozoic period; but as five or more species existed at the time of the deposition of the Upper Lias, this was not the case, but they have become much degenerated in size, one species, the Leptaena Bou-chardii, not being much larger than the head of a good sized pin. These shells are found in the beds of clay intervening between the Marlstone and the fish bed. They seem to have been deposited very slowly; and although they are of inconsiderable thickness (in the whole but
twenty-four inches), almost every inch of clay seems to have a shell peculiar to itself, not found higher or lower; the deposition of such a minute part of the earth's crust being the period during which a new species was introduced, again to become extinct. For instance, the Leptaena Mooreii, with which is associated Leptaena Bouchardii, is found only in the first inch, resting on the Marlstone; the latter passes a little higher, and is then lost. Above these is found a new species, and the smallest known Spirifer—Spirifer Ilminsteriensis, which has its habitat, if I may so speak, in a higher band of clay. These shells can only be obtained by washing the beds—a process somewhat similar to that pursued by the Australian gold seekers, but unfortunately not so profitable. I mentioned that I had found but one species of shell common to the beds of the Middle and Upper Lias, that was a solitary specimen of Spirifer rostratus, which is as yet the last Spirifer.

There is a remarkable persistence in the distribution of organic remains, in beds of the same age, over large areas. If a piece of clay were sent to me from however remote a country, and it contained a single specimen of a species of Leptaena identical with one in the Upper Lias, the conclusion would at once be arrived at, that the beds were equivalents. Since these Brachiopods have been described, they have been sought for on the continent; and I have lately been informed that M. Deslongchamp, an eminent French geologist, has obtained them from beds in the neighbourhood of Caen, in Normandy, but associated with some new forms not yet found here. I have also heard that one of my species of Thecidea, a shell not so large as a pea, has been obtained at the Kitzburg, in the Austrian Alps.

In a paper such as the present, it is impossible to notice
in detail all the organic remains such beds yield. The *Echinodermata* enter largely into the composition of some of them. Their spines are innumerable, but I have not been able to obtain more than seven or eight perfect specimens of the shell. This may be partly accounted for when its complicated structure is considered. It is made up of upwards of 2,000 plates; and if the shell was washed about before being covered up, the plates would readily be disjointed and scattered. There are also fragmentary remains of the Star Fish. One of this family has the power of breaking itself to pieces. Professor Forbes mentions how he was taken in by one of them. He had been dredging off the coast, and caught a *Luidia*, which he got into his boat perfect. When about to remove it, to his surprize, he found it had dissolved itself. The next time he went out, he was determined not to be so cheated; he therefore carried a bucket, which, when a *Luidia* came up, he sank to the mouth of the dredge, and gently proceeded to raise the specimen. Whether the cold air was too much for him, or the bucket too terrific, is not known, but in a moment he proceeded to dissolve his corporation, and at every mesh of the dredge his fragments were seen escaping. In despair, he grasped at the largest, and brought up the extremity of an arm, with its terminating eye, the spinous eyelid of which opened and shut, with something exceedingly like a wink of derision.

In the Marlstone, *Sponges* are occasionally found; and two new species of *Corals* have been described, from my collection, by M. Milne Edwards, the Director of the Garden of Plants, at Paris. Not more than two other species have, I believe, been found in the Lias.

From the investigations of scientific men, it is now known that organic life exists in beings so inconceivably small, as 1853, Part II.
to require the most powerful microscopes for their development; and they have also proved to us that such beings have existed in much earlier times, and that their skeletons have added more to the crust of the earth, than those of animals of a greater size and higher organization. In the tertiary beds, and the chalk, they have for some time been known to abound. So inconceivably minute are some of them, that in an article, which is called Tripoli powder, used by servants for polishing plate, and which is wholly composed of shells of these creatures, as many as forty thousand millions are congregated in the space of a cubic inch; and they form beds of considerable thickness and extent. When those monuments which have so long withstood the levelling hand of time, the Pyramids of Egypt, were erected, they were probably intended as memorials of their designer's glory; but in their erection more than this was done, for they are monuments displaying the wonderful works of Providence. The stone of which they are built is an aggregation of the remains of creatures once endowed with life. It is a limestone, composed of the Nummulite, a small shell belonging to the family Foraminifera. On the microscopic shells of this class, from the tertiary basin of Vienna, a work has been edited by D'Aubigny, for the Austrian Government. He mentions the occurrence of several species in the Oolites and Lias, and they are also stated to have been found by Mr. Phillips, in the Limestone of Cannington Park, near Bridgwater. If so, this would be the oldest bed in which they have been found. For some years I have been occupied in forming a series from the Lias, and with considerable success. It now consists of many thousands of specimens, amongst which fifty new species have been determined; but their description is a work yet to be done. Owing to their size, this is not to
be effected without some trouble and perseverance. Notwithstanding that all the animals of this class are of a simple kind, and low in the point of organization, nature has been lavish in the eccentricity and beauty of their outer coverings. As it is not probable you can clearly distinguish the forms of the shells themselves, I have prepared some enlarged drawings of a few of the genera, which will shew you how varied and curious are their structures.

I need scarcely tell you, that neither the remains of man, nor his works, are to be found in the beds of which I have been speaking. The fossilized skeleton of a negro is in the British Museum, but this was found in a bed now in course of formation, on the coast of one of the West India islands. In the year 1725 some remains were found, about which a German philosopher wrote a treatise, in which they were described as an antediluvian man, one of the wicked beings who perished at the flood. Unfortunately he overlooked several important facts, that the specimen had no teeth, that there were no ribs, and, worst of all, that attached to the body there was a very long tail. This specimen would have been a very lucky one for the author of the "Vestiges of Creation," who could have argued most learnedly, that, in our higher development from this period, we had left this important appendage behind us. These remains have since been proved to belong to a Salamander.

The world, then, on which proud man has now his brief resting place, has long been a scene of life, and a manifestation of eternal wisdom and benevolence. The grand object of Providence seems to have been to provide the greatest happiness and enjoyment for His creatures. But why should mortal man be proud? for he only shares, in common with all God's creatures, in His benevolence; and if he refuses an acknowledgement of his Creator's goodness, there
shall still ascend, as there has during the mutations of time, a hymn of gratitude and praise, from universal nature, to His throne.

"Was ever faltering tongue of man, Almighty Father! silent in Thy praise, Thy works themselves would raise a general voice, Even in the depths of solitary woods, By human foot untrod, proclaim Thy power; And with the choir celestial Thee resound, The eternal cause, support, and end of all."
On the Roman Remains discovered in Bath.

BY THE REV. H. M. SCARTH.

Mr. Wright, in his work called "The Celt, the Roman, and the Saxon," p. 143, says:

"The extensive and rich district between Sorbiodunum, Old Sarum, and Glevum, Glos'ter, was covered in every direction with extensive and rich villas, marking it out as the most fashionable part of the island. In its centre stood a city, remarkable for its splendid edifices, its temples, its buildings for public amusement, and still more so for its medicinal baths. For this latter reason it was called Aquœ Solis, the waters of the sun, and for the same cause its representative in modern times has received the name of Bath. Remains of the Roman bathing houses have been discovered in the course of modern excavations. Among its temples was a magnificent one dedicated to Minerva, who is supposed to have been the patron Goddess of the place. From inscriptions found at different periods, it appears that military commanders, high municipal officers, and other persons of rank, frequented this city for the benefit of its waters, and perhaps to mix in its fashionable society."
The following paper is intended to contain a brief account of some of the Roman antiquities of Bath, which have been dug up at various periods in and around the city, and which have been described by various eminent writers, as Guidott, Governor Pownall, Warner in his Illustrations and his History of Bath, Mr. Whitaker in the Antijacobin Review, and drawn and engraved by Lysons, with descriptions, and which have also been treated of by Leland, in his Itinerary, Camden, and Horsley. Some engravings of these antiquities have been given by Warner, in his History of Bath, and a plan of the Roman Bath discovered some years since. Engravings are also found in Horsley's Britannia Romana, and in Guidott; but owing to the great improvement in the art of engraving, these illustrations are very inferior to what might be made at the present day; and it is much to be wished that an illustrated catalogue could be published in the best style of the art of modern engraving. Mr. Lysons' illustrations are beautifully executed, and very faithful, but the work is too large and expensive for general use, and contains only a portion of the remains still existing. Mr. Hunter has with infinite care and neatness arranged a catalogue of the various Roman antiquities now in the museum of the Institution. This catalogue is most valuable, as containing not only a faithful list and correct account of the Roman remains, but references to all the notices which have been made by Guidott, Warner, Lysons, Whitaker, and others, and is the work of a very learned and accurate scholar. It is by this catalogue that I have chiefly been guided, in endeavouring to draw up a succinct account of the Roman remains of Bath.

The question of the first colonization of Bath by the Romans is involved in much obscurity, and nothing certain is known respecting it. Warner, in his History, regards
it as first colonized in the time of Claudius, who made an expedition into this island, and he fixes the building of the town about the year A.D. 44, and supposes that it is to Scribonius, the Physician of Claudius, that we owe the discovery of the medicinal properties of the waters, and their subsequent general use. He conceives that Claudius first gave orders for the building of a city, and on his return home left a portion of the Second Legion to build the town, and to collect the hot springs, and render them available for bathing and medical uses. This is merely conjecture, and as no proof is given of the fact, we must in this instance rest contented with the probability. According to Whitaker, the country was not reduced before the year 50 of our era, six years after Warner's erection of the town and station, "As in that very year a battle was fought betwixt the Romans and Britons, a few miles south of Bath, sufficiently important to cause the fabrication of a coin, and the erection of a trophy. (Camden 168, Edit. 1607) We do not find a single memorial of Claudius, among all that have been dug up of Roman relics at Bath. The highest that any of those relics ascend, is the Emperor immediately subsequent to Claudius. In digging the foundations of a new hot bath, near the Cross Bath, and in removing the rubbish to get at the head of the spring of the hot bath, and to make a new reservoir, a great number of Roman copper and brass coins of the Emperors were found, many of them in fine preservation. They were of the Antonines, Trajan, Adrian, and Nero. The last is a proof of the antiquity of these baths. We infer from this that the baths were first formed in Nero's reign, but enlarged or ornamented in the reign of Adrian, Trajan, and Antonine." All towns were but stations at first, and only a few became colonies,
or colonial, afterwards. Of these Camalodunum was the first, and not Aquæ Solis, or Bath.

Camalodunum was made a Roman colony, A.D. 52, having been established by Ostorius, one of the generals of the Emperor Claudius. The late Rev. John Skinner has written a very able treatise, in the form of a letter, which is published in Phelps's History of Somerset, vol. II., in which he argues with much learning and ingenuity, and, I must add, with great appearance of truth, that the site of the ancient Camalodunum was neither Colchester nor Malden, in Essex, as Leland and Camden suppose, but Camerton, in Somersetshire, not far from Bath.

In this spot, which had been previously occupied by the Kings of the Belgæ, as the capital of the district, Ostorius established a strong colony of veterans. From this point he marched to the conquest of the Silures, who were a bold intractable race, inhabiting South Wales. The position of Camerton suits better for such an enterprize than Colchester or Malden. Both these places, as Mr. Skinner observes, were situated beyond the bounds of the Roman province, and far away from the Severn and Avon rivers, and the scene of the subsequent operations of Ostorius. We read in Tacitus that he established a line of fortified camps along these rivers, as a curb against the irruptions of the Silures. Pliny asserts that Camalodunum was distant 200 miles from Mona, or Anglesea, which Ostorius was preparing to attack when the news of the insurrection of the Britons, under Boadicea, obliged him to desist. Now Colchester and Malden are distant from Anglesea more than 320 miles. Before the Romans settled in Britain, there were forts to guard the passes of the Avon. Ostorius found all these boundary camps established, which ren-
dered the district of Camalodunum, in the time of Cynobelin, a strong position; but he made it still more secure by connecting them together by military roads. Camalodunum was destroyed by the Queen Boadicea full fifty years before Ptolemy, or the author of the Itinerary of Antonine, wrote, and there is little doubt that the head quarters of the legionary soldiers in these parts were transferred to Bath as soon as the victory of Suetonius Paulinus, by the destruction of the Brigantes of Gloucestershire, added that territory to the Roman conquests.

Very striking traces of the uses made by the legionaries and other inhabitants of the city, of its healing waters, were discovered in the year 1755, (in clearing the foundation of the Abbey-house,) at which time a building, conjectured by some to be the ancient Baths, was laid open. These remains were found at the depth of twenty feet below the surface of the earth, four feet deeper than any other remains discovered here, which leads to the supposition that they were amongst the oldest and most striking works in the place. Their position occupied nearly the centre of the Roman city, which was in the form of an irregular pentagon, having five walls, and four gates, facing the cardinal points, and connected by two streets, running in direct lines, and intersecting each other in the centre of the city. Some remains of these walls were discovered in 1795. From what was then laid open, the masonry appeared to be of the best style. They were about fifteen feet in thickness, widening gradually as they descended, of extreme hardness, and most compact consistency. They were constructed like similar Roman walls, in the style which Vitruvius calls Diamicton. Those, however, who are curious in Roman masonry, are referred to Mr. Bruce's excellent account of the "Barrier of the lower Isthmus," or the great wall running
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between Carlisle and Newcastle,—a work of the greatest interest, and full of carefully arranged antiquarian matter.

The walls of the city are said to have had five angular towers, one at each corner of the wall. The Building supposed by some to be the Bath, occupied nearly the centre of the space, on which the city stood. The length of the foundation discovered, was about two hundred and forty five feet from E. to W., and the breadth one hundred and twenty feet at the broadest part from N. to S. Warner has given a description of what he calls the Bath, taken from the History of Somersetshire. The remains did not long continue open to the public, as modern buildings were soon erected over them. A plan of these Baths was made by Dr. Lucas, who published a good account of what he saw, which was afterwards improved and enlarged by Dr. Sutherland, for his work published in 1763, entitled, " Attempts to revive ancient medical doctrines," 2 vols. In this work are many curious particulars respecting Bath, and in it first appeared that engraving of the remains which is copied into Gough’s Camden; many of the tiles of which the pillars were formed, that supported the floor of the Sodatory, and the hollow tiles for flues around the walls of the same apartment, are preserved in the crypt of the Institution. Whitaker is of opinion that the remains of the building usually considered to be the ancient Roman Baths, was the Pretorium. He says, (p. 125) "the whole appears to have been a large building, erected by the Romans, on the site of the Abbey-house, and containing a centre with two wings." The eastern wing was discovered first; and Dr. Lucas examined it, with the assistance of Mr. Wood, the architect. "Under the foundation of the Abbey-house," he tells us, "full ten feet deep, appear traces of a Bath, whose dimensions are forty-three feet, by thirty-four feet. Within, and adjoin-
ing to the walls, are the remains of twelve pilasters. This Bath stood north and south. To the northward of this room, parted only by a slender wall, adjoined a semicircular Bath, measuring from E. to W. fourteen feet four inches, and the other way, eighteen feet ten inches. In this semicircular Bath was placed a stone chair, eighteen inches high, and sixteen inches broad. To the Bath were two flights of steps, the flight divided by a stone partition, and the steps seeming to have been worn by use three inches and a half out of the square. Eastward of these stairs was an elegant room on each side, sustained by four pilasters. To the eastward of this were other apartments, consisting of two large rooms, each measuring thirty-nine feet by twenty-two. Each had a double floor; on the lower stood four rows of pillars, composed of square bricks. These pillars sustain a second floor, composed of tiles, over which are laid two layers of firm cement mortar, each about two inches thick. One of these rooms was northward, the other southward. These rooms were heated by means of flues. Remains of the furnace by which they were heated were also discovered. About the mouth of the furnace there were scattered pieces of burnt wood, charcoal, etc. On each side of the furnace, adjoining the wall of the northernmost stove, is a semicircular chamber, of about ten feet four inches by nine feet six inches. After the time that Dr. Sutherland wrote his description, further discoveries were made of a similar building to the southward, of the same dimensions as the former, and answering exactly in position. It was further discovered that these buildings were only the wings of a much larger central building. This central building had wings at each end, as appears by the plan of the discoveries which have been made at different times. "The whole," says Whitaker,
"was the palace assuredly of that Roman who was the commandant of the colony at Bath. This perhaps became afterwards the mansion of the provincial Præses, and certainly the palace of the Saxon kings afterwards."

ENTRANCE TO THE INSTITUTION.

These remains were found on the site of the present Pump Room, with a great number of other fragments, some of great curiosity and importance, and which may be referred to a great Temple which formerly stood on that site. They were disinterred in 1790. These remains excited very strongly the attention of the antiquaries of the time. Sir Henry Englefield, who happened to be in Bath soon after their discovery, transmitted an account of them to the Society of Antiquaries, who published it in the Archæologia, with a restoration of a portico of the Temple, (vol. x. p. 325). Governor Pownall published, in 1795, a quarto pamphlet, entitled "Descriptions and explanations of some remains of Roman Architecture, dug up in the city of Bath, A.D. 1790." Mr. Warner has much respecting them in his "Illustrations" and his "History." Whitaker has many ingenious remarks in his elaborate review of Warner's "History." Mr. Lysons has four plates of these remains, and a fifth, in which is a restoration of the portico.

GREAT TEMPLE.

Mr. Whitaker endeavours to prove that this Temple was in the form of a rotunda. He compares it to the Pantheon at Rome, which was dedicated to Minerva, as the Temple at Bath can almost with certainty be proved to have been. He says: "The Pantheon of Minerva Medica, an agnomen very similar in allusiveness to our prænomen of Sulinis for Minerva, is noticed expressly by
Rufus and Victor in their short notes, concerning the structures of Rome. . . In this very quarter is still standing a decagon structure. . . Thus the whole consists of ten sides, in one of which is a door, as in the other nine there were so many niches, the greater part of them still standing, and all of them (as Montfaucon supposes) furnished with so many images of deities. . . Such as this we believe was once our Temple of Minerva at Bath.”

There is also another remark of Whitaker’s well worthy attention. A Temple of Vesta still remains, where it stood in the days of Horace, which is a Rotunda, like the Pantheon. In this was kept a fire continually burning, similar to what Solinus relates of the temple at Bath. All the round temples of heathenism had an opening in the centre above; but that of Vesta, as Ovid attests, had this opening closed with a casement, from regard assuredly to the sacred fire burning immediately under the opening. The temple of Minerva at Bath, therefore, by analogy, had an opening in the centre of the roof, that was closed by a casement, to protect the fire below. The altar bearing the fire, says Whitaker, we believe remains to this very day. The earliest mention of this temple is more than two centuries later than Agricola.

The uninscribed remains which are placed in the vestibule of the Institution, consist of the base part of the shaft and capital of a Corinthian column, fluted and cabled, many fragments of the tympanum of a pediment, sufficient to indicate the entire design, and a great collection of pieces richly carved. (The capital, and other portions, are engraved in Lysons.)

Solinus, in a remarkable passage of his “Polyhistor,” or, as he himself calls his work, “Collectanea Rerum Memorabilium,” c. 25, has informed us that there was a
From the description of Solinus, we gather the following important conclusions, says Whitaker:

1. The hot springs had been collected into elegant basins, and furnished with accommodation, for the use of bathers.

2. The words, "opiparo exsculpti apparatu," even mean more than elegance, as they mount up into magnificence.

3. That Minerva was considered by the Romans as presiding over the springs, and a temple built to her honour. This is only known of one more town in Britain, viz., Camalodunum, where a temple stood within or near the town.

4. Constant fire was kept burning within this temple, like that in the Temple of Vesta, at Rome. That in the Temple of Vesta, at Rome, had very surprisingly a relation to Minerva, equal with this at Bath. Yet Minerva, of Bath, was not, like Pallas, of Rome, served only by virgins, and beheld only by the head virgin. The Bath Minerva appears to have been served by men, and married men too, as appears by an inscription on the tomb of a priest.

5. The fire was fed with fossil coal, which is found about Newton. This is the first mention of coal used by the Romans in Britain.
In the Red Book of Bath, a memorandum is entered by some unknown hand, but of the year 1582, that there was then to be seen an epitaph of the middle ages, which is given, "In ostio ruinosi Templi, quondam Minervae dedicati et adhuc in loco dicto, sese studiosis offerens." This is also good for a tradition that such a temple once stood here; and as the writer is speaking of Stalls Church, which stood near the angle of Cheap Street, and Stall Street, close to the present Pump Room, it affords the traditionary evidence of its site.

As these fragments evidently belong to a fabric of great extent and magnificence, such as might well be described as "opiparo exsculpti apparatu," and as they were found near the traditional site of the Temple of Minerva, they may fairly be presumed to be the remains of that Temple, especially as the design of the Pediment appears to point at the attributes and symbols of that Goddess. We have in the centre, not the cherubic emblem of the sun, as Governor Pownall regards it, but a head of Medusa, as is evident from the snakes which are intermingled with the hair. We have the helmet, appropriate to the Goddess in her character of Pallas, and a very distinct exhibition of her favourite bird, the owl.

"In the Pantheon," says Mr. Whitaker, "the only one of the round temples remaining at present, are seven niches or chapels, the entrance into every one of which is ornamented with two pillars and two pilasters, Corinthian and fluted. Opposite the entrance gate is the niche for the great altar, as in the other parts of the circle, to the right and left, are the niches for the other altars. The central niche was reserved for Jupiter, as the side niches were for Mars, Venus, Julius Caesar, and the other deities. In the same manner we believe was the temple at Bath
disposed within, only what were statues at Rome, shrunk up into mere altars at Bath. In the common niches were lodged the altars of Jupiter Cetius, Mars, and Nemetona—three deities honoured by one altar only. The altars to Jove and Hercules, honoured together upon one; and to Sulinis, in the greatest niche of all."

An Ancient Inscription was found amongst the fragments of this temple, which has exercised the learned ingenuity of antiquarians, and which has been restored and placed in the passage of the Literary Institution. From the ancient portion which remains, it may be gathered that "Aulus Claudius Ligurius, having dug up a pitcher containing money, expended it in restoring and repainting this temple, which was ready to fall, through extreme age." This inscription contains several literae nexus. In this temple, it is conjectured by Mr. Whitaker, that many of the altars which have been found in and about Stall Street, were originally placed; and that the bronze head which has been engraved by the Society of Antiquaries, found in Stall Street, near the corner of Bell Tree Lane, is the head of the principal statue of the Goddess Minerva, formerly standing in her own temple.

This splendid relic is now in the library of the Literary Institution, and is well worth careful examination. Much has been written about it; it was dug up in the month of July, 1727, where it lay buried sixteen feet under the surface of the ground. It is called by Mr. Warner a head of Apollo, but Mr. Hunter regards it as a head of Minerva.

Mr. Whitaker observes: "It appears to have been cast in a mould; the form of it is very fine, and the features are truly Minerva's. This military Goddess has been expected by some to be like Venus, the mere Goddess of smiles and loves. She is a Goddess very different, wearing a helmet
BRONZE HEAD OF THE GODDESS MINERVA—BATH
on her head, wielding a javelin in her hand, even carrying a Gorgon's head of snakes upon her breast-plate, and thus mixing in fight with men. So acting, she must necessarily shew a manliness of muscularity in the face, superior perhaps to any even in the Belvidere Apollo, yet not superior to what we behold in this head. There is indeed a softened manliness, and a chastised femality in our Minerva, that has occasioned all the puzzle about the sex, that yet is the very characteristic of this very Goddess."

In the year 1714 a colossal head of a female was discovered, and sent by Mr. Francis Child, of Bath, as a present to Dr. Musgrave, who then resided at Exeter. Dr. Musgrave named it the Britanno-Belgic Andromache, and it was set up in his patch. It is not known what became of it after his decease. He has made this head the subject of the 19th chapter of his Belgium Britannicum, and has given a front and back view of it, shewing the convolutions of the hair. The statue of which this was the bust, must have been eight feet two inches in height. It probably stood upon a pedestal, or perhaps a column; and this bust, it may be presumed, gave Mr. Lysons the hint of the obelisk crowned with a statue, which he has introduced in his general view of what Bath may have been in the most flourishing times of Roman grandeur. (Catalog. p. 80).

With the bronze head of Minerva were found at the same time several Roman coins. Horsley visited Bath about 1730, and he tells us that a very beautiful and elegant figure stands in the Town Hall, and beside it are preserved in a box some coins, that were found at the same time. The box and the coins are no longer forthcoming. Neither of them are noticed by Mr. Warner. They were (as the Bath Guide informs us) of Marcus Aurelius, Maxi-
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Milian, Diocletian, Constantine. Horsley says they were of Marcus Aurelius, Maximinus, Maximilian, Diocletian, Constantine, and some other Emperors. Aurelius appears to have been the earliest Emperor acknowledged in these coins; and his coin could be preserved in the temple (says Whitaker) or continued with the head, merely to mark the erection of the statue some one year between A.D. 163 and 181.*

Upon an oblong stone was found, in the year 1790, in excavating the ground for the foundation of the present Pump Room, an inscription, which, by filling up the letters wanting in the fragment, may be read

**CPROACIVS**

**DEAE. SVAE. MINERVAE.**

Many other fragments were found at the same time, which did not appear to belong to the great temple, but to some smaller edifice, which stood near it.

These remains are now in the Literary Institution. They are placed in the vestibule, and opposite the Temple of Minerva. Mr. Lysons was the first to give any clear interpretation of these fragments. Out of them he has composed the principal front of a small temple, and he places the inscription given above over the door. He supposes a line to be wanting, which made up the sense, that "Caius Protacius built, or restored, this temple to the Goddess Sul-Minerva." There have been found in Bath several altars inscribed to the Goddess Sul, and again Sul-Minerva. In Mr. Lysons' restoration, the head of the Goddess herself is represented (as on the fragment in the Institution) in the tympanum of the pediment, with a serpent twisted round a staff, on one side of her. The hair is tied in a knot on the top of the head, and behind her

is a crescent. This crescent led Mr. Warner to consider the temple as dedicated to Luna. There are other fragments remaining, which are supposed to represent the Seasons. Thus we see that there formerly stood two temples, on or near the site of the present Abbey Church, dedicated to Minerva, or Sul-Minerva, the Goddess who presided over the waters.

Whitaker observes that the name Aquaë Solis does not imply the dedication of Bath or its waters to the sun, as a Deity, because it is rendered in Greek by the very Romans, ὑδατα θερμα, simply "hot waters," not ὑδατα ἀνευ, "waters of the Sun." It was called Aquaë Solis to mark the heat of the waters, and to discriminate it from the "Aquæ," a little distance from it, now called "WELLS."

A gentleman who has given much attention to the study of the Roman Antiquities of Bath, has favoured me with the following observations on the origin of the name Aquæ Solis, or Aquaë Sulis, which I here insert:

"Since the discovery of the votive altars preserved in the Institution, various distinguished antiquaries, as Lysons, Sir R. C. Hoare, the Rev. J. Hunter, the Rev. Canon Bowles, and others, have been of opinion that a deity was anciently held in great veneration here, under the name of Sul, or Sulis; and that the name given by the Romans to the city, in consequence of this divinity being so venerated, was Aquaë Sulis, and not, as commonly considered, Aquaë Solis. These gentlemen, however, are not agreed on many points, in their pathway to this opinion. Lysons assimilates the British deity inscribed on the altars, under the name of Sul, with the Roman Minerva; and he is probably right, as we always find Sul alluded to as a female divinity: it is always Deæ Suli, not Deo Suli. Mr. Hunter notices this fact, (see his letter in the Bath Chronicle, June 14th, 1827)."
Sir R. C. Hoare (Anc. Wilts. vol. 2) thinks, though the word is feminine, that it was not equivalent with the Goddess Minerva, but that it was the Celtic Sol; and we know the sun, in Teutonick, is masculine, and the moon feminine. Sir Richard says (letter in Bath Chronicle, July 19th, 1827), 'that the name of Sul was Celtic, there can be no doubt, and it was afterwards latinized into Sol by the Romans—a custom they adopted on many other occasions, and it appears, by the inscriptions preserved at Bath, that they added their own deity, Minerva, to that of Britons' Sol.'

"The Itineraries of Antoninus, and of Richard of Cirencester, will of course be cited in confirmation of the old appellation, Aquae Solis. Mr. Hunter's remarks on these, may, however, be deemed worthy of consideration. (See his letter.) 'The term Aquae Solis occurs only once in any undisputed remain of the Roman times. It is in one of the Itineraries of Antoninus, and it may be observed that there is no question whether the station indicated by it be not the place now called Bath.'

"'We find Aquae Solis in two of Richard's Itinera; but till the genuineness of his work is more completely established, any evidence, which it may be disposed to offer in this enquiry, may be disregarded.'

"Mr. Hunter afterwards states his opinion that Antoninus was ignorant of the Goddess Sul, and that he was misinformed as to the name of ancient Bath, 'and knowing that at the station in question were springs celebrated on account of their natural heat, and being familiar with Heliopolis as a local appellation, was thus induced to write Aquae Solis; or that some early transcriber of Antonine finding Aquae Sulis which he could not understand, ventured, on his own authority, to substitute Aquae Solis, a name
which he could understand, and which appeared to him aptly to describe a place celebrated on account of the natural heat of its waters?

"I believe the authority of Richard has been sufficiently established by Sir R. C. Hoare, and others. A specimen of the original MS. was submitted to Mr. Casley of the Cottonian Library, and that gentleman immediately pronounced it to be 400 years old. Now, as Professor Bertram published the Itinera in 1759, the MS. discovered by him is likely to be Richard's autograph. If so, it would be desirable to ascertain whether it has o, or u, in the debated word. And, after all, the suggestion of Mr. Hunter, with regard to Antoninus, or his transcriber, might be extended to Richard.

"In the copy of Richard's Itinera, in the library of the Institution, is the following marginal note, in the handwriting of the late Rev. T. Leman:

"'The original name of Bath was Aquae Sulis (and not Solis), the British Goddess whose influence extended over the greater part of the S. W. of England, whose chief place was Sulisbury hill, near Bath, and from whom Salisbury plains have probably derived their name. All the altars found at Bath are dedicated to the Goddess Sulis.'

"Mr. Leman (with others) seems to regard the name of the British Goddess, as Sulis Sulinis and not Sul Sulis; but as we find DEAE SVLI, it seems more likely that Sul was the original appellation, for I can hardly think SVLI, a contraction for SVLINI."

**THE Goddess Sul, OR Sul Minerva.**

The British characteristic of Minerva imports something adapted to her attributes, says Whitaker. The British characteristic of Minerva, in its transition from Sulis,
lengthens out into Sulinis, and deviates into Sulevis. Yet what is the import of this varying appellation in the British language? It is the same in general with the appellation of *Minerva Medica*, at Rome. *Minerva Sulis*, or Sul-Minerva, is one that was medicinal, from the influence of the sun, the *Solar Minerva*. Thus, *Heul, Syl* is the sun in Cornish; *Haul, Heyle, Heyluen*, in Welsh, are the sun, as *Sul* is the Sunday. *Soil-bheim*, in Irish, is a flash or bolt of light; a thunder bolt, *Solas*; *Solus* is light; *Sul the sun*; *Dia Suil the Sunday*; *Suil, the eye*; *Sulbeim*, a bewitching by the eye; *Sól* in the Armoric, is Sunday; *Sul-Pask* Easter Sunday; *Sulion* are Sundays. The origin of Minerva's British title, therefore, is that very reference of the hot springs to the *influence of the sun*, which fixed upon the city itself this appellation; from thence the "Waters of the Sun."

Before passing on to consider the altars dedicated to the Goddess *Sul*, that have been discovered, we must notice an altar, or *Cippus*, as Mr. Warner terms it, which was discovered at the lower end of Stall Street, 1783. Two other altars were discovered with it, one a votive altar to the *Suleveae*, and one erected by a citizen of Treves. This *Cippus* has formed the subject of many learned dissertations. (See Prof. Ward, Phil. Tran., xlviii, p. 332; also Gough's Camden, v. iii., p. 9; Warner's Ill. ix.; History App. p. 121; and Whitaker, Antijacobin Rev., x.)

This altar commemorates the restoration, by "Caius Severus, a centurion," (who had either the additional name of "Emeritus," or was discharged from his legion,) of some place which had been consecrated to religious purposes, and which had fallen to decay. This decay had been produced "per insolentiam," which may be understood to mean "through disuse;" but that does not suit with
"Erutum," the word which follows in the inscription. This led Mr. Whitaker to search for another meaning, and he reads it, "which had been overturned by the 'Insolence,'" supply—"of the Christians." Mr. Ward supposes that the place was only one of burial. Before Severus restored it, it was "repurgatum" purified, "virtute et numine Augusti," by "the zeal and authority of the Emperor." In this inscription there are a few of the "literæ nexæ." Mr. Ward thinks that the form of the character marks it as belonging to the age of Severus.

Before passing to the votive offerings, I must mention a square stone found in 1825, in digging for the foundation of the United Hospital, which is now in the Literary Institution. It contains the inscription: NOVANTI FIL PRO SE ET SUIS EX VISV POSSVÍ. Mr. Hunter is the first who has described the inscription. It indicates that the son of Novantus erected something, probably a sepulchre, for himself and family. The term EX VISV is said of those who do any thing to which they suppose themselves to be admonished by the gods in sleep, i.e., in consequence of a vision.

VOTIVE OFFERINGS.

I now come to the votive offerings, which are full of interest, as illustrating not only the pious custom of the heathen in making offerings, but as pointing out their grateful feelings for benefits which they had received from the waters, or from other sources.

Horsley observes, in speaking of vows in sickness:

"There is one thing in these pagan votive altars that may be a shame and a reproach to a great many that call themselves Christians; and that is, the willingness and cheerfulness with which they paid, or pretended to pay, the
vows they had made. Such as have any acquaintance with these things, know how commonly these letters, V. S. L. M., or V. S. L. M. are added at the end of inscriptions that are on such altars, whereby they signified how willingly and cheerfully, as well as deservedly, they performed the vows they had made, viz: Votum Solvit libens merito, or, votum Solvit libens lubens (or laetus) merito. Much more deservedly, and therefore more willingly and cheerfully, should the vows made to the Most High, to the true and living God, be paid or performed to Him, and particularly the vows made in trouble.”*

Thus there was found, in 1792, on the site of the Pump Room, and consequently on or near the site of the Temple of Minerva, an altar dedicated “to the Goddess Sul, for the health and safety of Aufidius Maximus, a centurion of the Sixth Legion, Victrix, by Marcus Aufidius Lemnus, his freedman.” A drawing of this altar is given by Mr. Lysons and Mr. Warner.

From the inscription, it appears that it was erected by a manumitted slave, in performance of a vow made to the Goddess Sul, for the restoration of his master, who had made him free, and is thus a monument of the gratitude and piety of the Romans. The sixth legion, mentioned on this altar, was transported into Britain in the time of Hadrian, and probably accompanied that Emperor, when he took this kingdom, in the tour of his dominions.

Another altar was found at the same time and place, which in form and size resembles that first noticed. The inscription is to the same purpose as that on the last. The person by whom the altar was raised, is called Aufidius Eutaches.

The letters LEB for LIB indicate that he was a freedman, probably another slave manumitted by Aufidius Maximus.

Among the sculptures formerly to be seen in the walls of Bath, was one in which two figures were represented, which Dr. Guidott supposed to be a Roman threatening a Briton, but which, if we may depend on the engraving given in his book, appears rather to be a Roman, in the act of manumitting a slave, by placing the cap upon his head. Possibly, says Mr. Hunter, this may be Aufidius, and one of these freedmen.

Mr. Lysons concludes, from the form of the letters, that this altar, or the preceding one, was made about the beginning of the third century.

We have here, therefore, two very pleasing memorials of gratitude, which have survived to tell not only of the benefit which the waters had conferred, in restoring health, but pointing out the gratitude, first of the Roman freedman, to the Divine source from whence he conceived the healing virtue of the springs to flow; and secondly, his kindly feeling to one who had bestowed the great blessing of freedom upon him. It would be well if Christians, who, in themselves, or their relatives or friends, derive benefit from the waters, would show a like spirit of grateful remembrance, which may find expression in numberless ways, such as in supporting the hospitals, or in ministering to the spread of a purer Faith than that of the grateful Roman.

I must mention here, another altar, found in 1774 near the hot bath, on removing the rubbish, to get at the head of the spring. At the same time, many coins of the upper empire, from Nero to the Antonines, were found, chiefly of middle brass. The altar is dedicated to the goddess Sul-
Minerva. The inscription has several united letters. Another altar, dedicated to the same goddess, and to the Numina Augustorum, was found in the cistern of the Cross Bath, 1809. It bears the name of Caius Curiatius Saturninus, an officer of the Second Legion.

In the year 1753, an altar was found at the lower end of Stall Street, together with two others. It is dedicated to the Sulevæ, by Sulinus Sculptor; but who the Sulevæ were, cannot be ascertained. The most probable conjecture is, that they were nymphs of these springs, the progeny or the attendants of the Dea Sul.

Whitaker believes this to have been the altar that stood in the centre of the Temple of Minerva. He considers the focus, which is long and shallow, and nearly the whole size of the top of the altar, to have contained the copper pan in which the fire was placed, and kept burning continually. The perpetual fires of Vesta were kept burning in pans of earth. This altar is of rough workmanship; and it is conjectured from this, that the altar, by reason of the fire continually burning, was obliged to be often renewed.

"This stood, we apprehend, almost under the opening, and before a pillar supporting the roof, as our temple had no circular pillars without, and therefore must have had, at least, one pillar within. A fragment of one was found in the ruins, as has been already noticed. This, he observes, was strikingly fitted for the support of the roof, and he endeavours to prove this from its dimensions, and the manner in which it has been cut. Another altar, found at the same time and place, is dedicated to 'Jupiter Cetus, Mars, and Nemetona.' Who this latter deity was, appears very uncertain. Mr. Warner is disposed to consider it the name of the local deity of the town, Nemetotacio, which is supposed to be
Launceston; neither is it settled whence this Jupiter had his name of Cetius. Mr. Warner supposes from Cetium, in Germany. The inscription is cut in a more rude manner than most in the collection."

There was discovered, in 1776, a small altar, dedicated to no particular deity, but erected in pursuance of some vow made by "Vettius Benignus." It is now in the Institution passage. Another altar, dedicated to "Fortune Conservatrix," is mentioned by Collinson, who says that it was found at Walcot. Horsley has engraved the same inscription, but says that it was found at Manchester. An officer of the Sixth Legion, Victrix, dedicates it to "Fortune, his preserver."

The only altar that is without an inscription, is that which now stands in the vestibule of the Literary Institution, and has upon it two figures, sculptured; the one "Jupiter," the other "Hercules Bibax," or the "Convivial Hercules." It was found with the remains of the two Temples, in the site of the Pump Room. Warner has treated of it at length. The sculpture is not remarkable for elegance, having been executed when the arts were on the decline, and probably towards the beginning of the fourth century. It is worked on Bath stone. The left hand represents Jupiter, with those various emblems which distinguished him from the other deities of pagan mythology. The god grasps in his right hand the three-forked bolt, with his left he holds his sceptre, as the king or Father of all beings. At his feet may be seen the eagle. The head and countenance are much mutilated. The body of Jupiter is covered with a regal pallium.

The figure which occupies the other face of this bifronted altar, is the representation of "Hercules Bibax." The usual attributes of this deity were the lion's skin, club, and
bow. The two former are sufficiently visible in the relief; but when he was represented under his "convivial" character, instead of the latter implement of war, he bore, in his right hand, a "goblet." The association of Jove and Hercules, on the same altar, was not unusual; instances occur in Gruter and Montfaucon. The practice, however, flourished more particularly during the joint reign of Diocletian, and Maximian, the former of whom affected the name and character of Jove; the latter, those of Hercules. This circumstance is considered by Mr. Warner as an index to the date of the altar, which was probably raised to the honour of those Emperors; and he places it somewhere between the year A.D. 284, and 304, a period which comprehends the term of their dominion over the empire. The altar seems to have filled the corner of the great Temple, two of its sides being rough, and unwrought.

SEPUCHRAL REMAINS.

From the "Votive" we pass to the "Sepulchral Remains," of which not less than ten have been found, although not more than six of them now remain. Probably the most remarkable, and that concerning which most has been written, is the celebrated inscription to "Julius Vitalis." The stone is longitudinal, having a triangular top. Above the inscription, is what appears to be the representation of fruit and leaves. It was discovered in 1708, by the side of the London road, Walcot, with two urns, one large, the other small; both containing ashes. It was for many years in the east wall of the Abbey Church. It is now in the Literary Institution.

This monumental stone was erected to a person named "Julius Vitalis," a Belgian, by which is probably meant, that he was a descendant of the Belgæ, who, a little before
the time of Cæsar, had taken possession of the southern part of this island. He also belonged to the Twentieth Legion, which has the addition of V.V. The first V has occasioned some discussion; but late antiquarians have decided in favour of Valeriana. The second V is Victrix. We are further told that he was Fabriciesis or Fabriciensis, the smith or armourer of the legion. The clause EX. COLEGIO FABRICE. ELATUS, presents the greatest difficulty, and the explanation perhaps least open to objection, is that he was buried by the Company of Smiths, in the neighbouring city. He died in the twenty-ninth year of his age, and the ninth of his service.

We learn from the Theodocian and Justinian codes, what the business and the laws of this Society of Smiths were. It appears that in the latter period of the Roman Empire, the "army smiths" were erected into a formal company, under the control and management of an officer, denominated Primicerius. The employment of this body, was to make arms for the use of the soldiery, at public forges, called FABRICÆ, erected in their camps, cities, towns, and military stations. No person was permitted to forge arms for the imperial service, unless he were previously admitted a member of the society of the FABRI. It may be fairly inferred, that a company of this trade was settled in Bath, and a FABRICA established.

There is another very remarkable sepulchral stone, at present in the passage of the Literary Institution. It was discovered in 1736, in digging a vault in the Market Place. For many years this inscription and that of Julius Vitalis, were inserted in the wall of the Abbey, at the east end. This stone has occasioned much conjecture, and much has been written upon it; the inscription is surrounded by a plain moulding. There is a figure of a soldier on horseback
riding over an enemy, who is prostrate on the ground, but who holds up a dagger, as if in act to wound the horse. The stone was erected on the place of interment of "Lucius Vitellius Tancinus, the son of Mantaus, or Mantanus," a citizen of Caurium, in Spain, a centurion of the Vettonian horse, who died at the age of forty-six, having served twenty-six years. Caurium was a town in Lusitania; the Vettones were a neighbouring people, who provided excellent heavy armed horse to their Roman masters. The characters in this inscription vary in size; the stops are small triangular marks; they are peculiar to this inscription; it is without literae nexe. It is still very easily read; but, like the Julins Vitalis inscription, it has got the coating of black which the Bath stone generally acquires, after long exposure to the open air. The body of the man, and the head of the horse, are wanting. There is, however, carved on another stone, the parts which are wanting in this, cut in the same kind of relief. Mr. Hunter observes, that on a first view it might be supposed that they were portions of the same monument; but on a closer inspection, it appears that the upper part was drawn upon a smaller scale than the lower. It was the latter fragment that Dr. Musgrave undertook to shew to be Geta. It was found in Grosvenor Gardens.*

*Some time since, a similar tombstone was found at Cirencester. It is engraved in Wright's work, lately published, called "The Celt, the Roman, and the Saxon." He says the figure above is often met with on the monuments of the Roman Cavalry. The inscription must be read:

```
RVFVS. SITA. EQVES. CHO. VI.
TRACVM. ANN. XL. STIP. XXII.
HERedes. EXS. TEST. F. CVRAVE.
H S E.
```

It may be translated, "Rufus Sita, a horseman of the sixth cohort of the Thracians, aged forty years, served twenty-two years. His heirs, in ac-
ROMAN MONUMENTAL STONE—BATH
In 1795, in the Sydney Gardens, was found another monumental stone, erected to the memory of "Caius Calpurnius," a priest to the Goddess Sul, who died at the age of seventy-five, erected by his wife, "Calpurnia Trifosa Threpte," as Mr. Lysons restores the imperfect word. The word "RECEPTVS" occurs in this inscription, which may be read either as a part of the personal appellative, or in conjunction with SACERDOS, an "admitted" priest of the deity. Thus we find a monument to the memory of a priest of the goddess, whose temple had formerly adorned the Roman city.

It is hardly necessary to remark, that the places of sepulture of the ancient Romans were outside the city walls. Previous to the publication of the Twelve Tables, it was customary to burn, or inter, the bodies of the departed within the city walls; but as this custom was both inconvenient and dangerous, one article of this code expressly forbade it. And this law did not regard Rome alone, but extended itself to every city of the empire. Hence the Romans adopted the custom of burying their dead, and performing funeral obsequies without their towns, erecting the sepulchres by the side of the public high ways. These funeral remains will therefore most probably indicate the direction of some of the great highways to the city.

cordance with his will, have caused this monument to be erected. He is laid here."

Another similar monument, found at Caer-leon, has the formula slightly varied:

\[
\begin{aligned}
D M I V L I V L I A N V S \\
M I L. L E G. I I. A V G. S T I P. \\
X V I I I. A N N O R X L \\
H I C S I T V S E S T \\
C V R A A G E N T E \\
A M A N D A \\
C O N J V G E. 
\end{aligned}
\]
It would be too long to go into a minute description of those other monumental inscriptions which remain. Having touched upon the principal and most interesting, I can only enumerate the rest; Camden and Leland have given some, which are now lost. Thus there is one to Caius Murrius Modestus, a soldier of the Second Legion, a native of Forum Julii, in Gaul, or Frejus; and one to Marcus Valerius Latinus, a centurion of horse, or of the horse which belonged to the Twentieth Legion, neither of which exists at present. Another, discovered in 1797, erected to a discharged soldier of the Twentieth Legion; much of it is broken away; what remains, is in the Literary Institution. An inscription to a Decurion, of the colony Glevum, or Gloucester, formerly inserted in the city wall, near the north gate, but does not now remain; it is mentioned by Horsley. Another to Rusonia Avenna, a centurion belonging to the nation of the Mediomatrici (a people of Gaul), is in the Institution; and one to a little girl, an Alumna, which was first described by Mr. Hunter.* Two more are lost; one to Succia or Successia Petronia, formerly in the city wall, between the north and west gates, noticed by Leland; and a stone to Vibia Jucunda, which, Guidott says, was found in Walcot.

Two small urns are in the museum of the Literary Institution. The largest of them was found at Walcot, near the London road. It is of elegant form, and contains a few burnt bones. There does not appear to have ever been a lid; but it was covered by a piece of Pennant stone. A third, which is said by Mr. Hunter to be in the possession of Mr. Barratt, contained burnt bones, and a coin of Carausius.

Various stone coffins have been found in and about

* See also Wright's Celt, Roman, and Saxon, p. 317.
Bath. One is now walled up in the boundary wall of Bathwick burial ground. Some were found in making the new cemetery, at Widcombe, and a coin of Constantine, and another of Carausius, near them. Their site is marked by a pillar, with an inscription on it, in the cemetery. Several have lately been discovered in Russell Street; and, as these have been very carefully examined, it is hoped that some information may be given in due time. Two brass rings, which are in the Cabinet of Antiquities at the Literary Institution, and a wire pin, were discovered in a coffin, near Larkhall. (See Cat., p. 79.) There was found near the stone coffins, discovered in Russell Street, a silver Denarius, of Antoninus Pius; a Constantine Junior, small brass; a Gratian, ditto. These are all the monumental remains which still exist, or have been found in and around the city, as far as I am aware.

Before drawing to a conclusion, it may be well to mention what existed when Leland wrote, but have, since his time, disappeared. When the walls were standing, there were many sculptured stones inserted in them. Leland gives a cursory view of them; and Mr. Hunter observes: "It is much to be regretted that he has not described more fully the minute objects of curiosity which he saw in his journey through England. Who that has read what he has said of Bath, but must wish it!"

The following is a list of the antiquities which were once to be found in the town walls, but are now lost. These have not been mentioned before: *

A "Sol," or, at least, a large front face, with a profusion of hair.

A "Hercules," with a serpent in each hand.—A "Foot Soldier," with sword and shield.—Two "Wreaths."

A small "Pediment," on which is represented a Shepherd, known by his crook, with his Lysisca, who has a small dog upon her knee. There is considerable beauty in this pediment, and proof that the artist was attentive to the rules of design.

Two "Heads," seen in profile.
A "Greyhound," but, according to Guidott, a hare, running.
A "Man, entwined about with two serpents," which Leland supposed to be Laocoon.
A "Man, holding a club."
A "Man, grasping a serpent."
A "Hercules," club in the right hand; left raised to the head.

Two "Figures," which appear to represent a master manumitting a slave, by placing the cap of liberty on his head.

The head, breast, and shoulders of a man, full face, in a niche.
A "Medusa's Head," in profile, snakes very distinct.
A clothed figure, holding a serpent, which Camden calls Ophiucus.

Several of these were lost before the time of Guidott, and not one of them is now known to exist. Their loss is greatly to be regretted; for every fragment which can be preserved, tends to throw light upon history, which every year becomes less distinct; and it is no little honour to the antiquarian, to be enabled to glean, from the few vestiges that remain, undoubted confirmation of what history has left on record.

There remain to be mentioned two figures, now in the vestibule of the Literary Institution. They bear no inscriptions, and therefore do not come under the heads of
antiquities already mentioned. The one is a figure in a niche, with the clamys, representing, according to Mr. Hunter, some *military person*. The figure of a dolphin is carved in the frame. From this rudely-chiselled dolphin on the left hand corner, it would seem (says Mr. Warner) that a *naval* officer was intended to be represented, since that fish was considered as sacred to Neptune, and held to be an emblem of extensive maritime power. He supposes that this stone might have been erected in honor of “Carausius,” several of whose coins* have been found in and around Bath, one in making the New Cemetery at Widcombe.

The dolphin, however, is also a symbol of activity and dispatch, and therefore may properly find place on stones set up in honor of military, as well as naval, officers. The cropped hair and short curling beard, observable in this relief, bespeak (as Mr. Warner observes) a soldier of the lower empire. (See Warner’s Ill., p. 52.)

Another figure, in a niche of the same kind, is also to be

* One of the most extraordinary characteristics of the reign of Carausius (says Mr. Wright, p. 115), is the number and variety of his coinage. Upwards of 300 different types are known, and there can be little doubt that there are many others, yet unknown. These authentic monuments throw some light upon his character and history; and we have every reason to hope that, in the hands of a skilful antiquary, they will some day be rendered still more valuable. Of the great variety of reverses found on these coins, many, no doubt, refer to historical events. One of them, with the legend, EXPECTATE VENI, is supposed to have been struck on his arrival in Britain, after having assumed the imperial purple at Gessoriaeum. The figure beneath the inscription represents the Genius of Britain, with a trident in her hand, welcoming the new Emperor. A number of coins, having such inscriptions as ADVENTVS CARAVSI, ADVENTVS AVGVSTI, etc., with others, inscribed VICTORIA AVGVSTI, and VIRTVS AVGVSTI, seem to have been struck on his return from successful expeditions against his enemies.
seen in the Literary Institution. It is without a head, and has in its right hand the staff of a standard.

There are in the Literary Institution two blocks of stone, which appear to have been parts of a building, inscribed with the letters, **C O R N E I A N V.** They are cut in a large character. The **I A N V** is, perhaps, Janua; and the other word probably Cornelius, or Cornelia. Three other fragments are engraved by Musgrave, Tab. 2; see also Guidott, p. 82; and Warner’s Ill. Introd., p. 23.

There is a block of lead, cast in the usual form, which was found about 1822, near Sydney Buildings, on the southwest side of the Gardens; the weight is 1 cwt. 83 lbs. An antique key was found at the same time. The words inscribed upon the block of lead may be easily read. The character is beautifully formed, being, in this respect, very different from the lead inscriptions found in Derbyshire, of the same emperor, Hadrian. There is nothing here, as in the Derbyshire inscription, to guide us to the mine from which the lead was procured; but it may be presumed to be from the Mendip Hills.

The Roman antiquities of Bath cannot be treated of properly, unless mention be made of the coins, many of which have been found here at different periods, but none of any particular value, or that can be thought (according to Mr. Hunter) to cast any light upon British affairs. Eight are engraved by Guidott; about fifty are in the Institution. The earliest Roman money found in Bath, is of the Emperor Nero. In 1824, Roman coins were found at a house pulled down near the East Gate. In 1829, or thereabout, coins were found in Bathwick; these are now in the possession of Mr. Goodridge.

There were also found many Roman coins in removing
the foundations of the Old Abbey-house, and in preparing the site for the present offices of the Union Board. These are mostly of the time of Constantine.

A coin of Augustus, found at Wellow, is now in the possession of the Rev. C. Paul, the vicar. There has been found at Wellow, a very fine pavement, on the site of a large Roman villa. The foundations of many villas have been discovered in that neighbourhood.

With respect to the Roman coins, Mr. Morris informs me that "he has recently seen several Roman coins discovered about twelve years ago, by the workmen employed in effecting alterations at Sainsbury’s Brewery, Walcot. There are two of Nero, one of Vespasian, two of Domitian, a Carausius, and a Constantine, and a second brass of Claudius."

Mr. Hunter observes: "I have seen an account of a hoard of Roman money, said to have been found near Walcot Church, every piece of which was said to be of considerable rarity. It was given out that it must have been a collection of some Roman virtuoso; but I have been told that the whole was a fraud of a dealer in coins, then living in Bath Street."

If the length of this notice had not already been too far extended, it would have been proper to have touched upon the various articles of Roman dress which have been discovered, and to have enumerated also the specimens of household utensils, by which the habits and manners of the people may be inferred or described; but we may not omit to mention a curious brass medallion,* which was found in digging the foundation of the present Pump Room, and became the property of the Rev. Mr. Richardson, who

* It is deposited in the sixteenth drawer of the Cabinet of Antiquities, at the Literary Institution.
presented it to the Literary Institution. It is supposed by Whitaker to have hung in the Temple of Minerva, on the site of which it was discovered. It has been engraved in the appendix to Warner's History of Bath, and contains the head of a female, with the word POMPEIA, I. C. V. It is very finely finished; above the head, within the rim round it, are the remains of a silver soldering, which show a ring to have been fastened to it, for hanging it to a wall. The dress is very striking, as the head has a flat coil of curls behind, with a frontlet to the hair before, the latter of which mounts up to a peak, and carries a turn-up in front, and bears an ornament upon it, truly Roman—a fibula, or clasp, with a gem upon each of the three sides seen. This frontlet runs down sloping to both ears, then turns by an unseen fillet under the hair, and is fastened before by the fibula, or clasp. But what is still more extraordinary, a kind of love-lock (as Mr. Whitaker calls it) hangs down upon either side of the neck, braided, yet long—a sure, though perhaps solitary, witness to that fashion.

The face of "Pompeia" is a very fine one. It is one of the best, perhaps, of all matronly faces that was ever exhibited. She is supposed to have been a descendant of the great Pompey, and we know that his family afterwards became united with that of Julius Caesar, his former rival and competitor for the empire. Hence Whitaker reads I. C. V. Julius Cæsaris Uxor, and supposes the medallion to have been given as a present to the Temple, by some descendant of the family, settled in the colony at Bath.

Amongst the other miscellaneous articles which have been discovered, is a Tabula Honestæ Missionis; it was discovered about 1819; it is now, says Mr. Hunter, in the collection of Mr. Joseph Barratt; a pillar, of about the height of three feet, on which, it is supposed, a small statue
once stood. This was found with the remains of the Temple, on the site of the Pump Room.

A brass spoon, and an iron key, were found near Bath, on the site of Sydney Buildings, near the block of lead. A brass key was also discovered near Bath, and a Fibula, which formed part of the collection sent to the Institution, from Bath Street.

Three Penates, which were found in the years 1824 and 1825. The sitting figures were discovered near the borough walls. The other two near Weston.*

The Specimens of Pottery which have been found, are from the rudest, to the most perfect. On some of the pieces the names of the makers are impressed; others have borders and ornaments, which are often truly graceful. Representations of hunting are common subjects, all of which may be seen at the Literary Institution. These were partly presented by members, and partly brought from the museum in Bath Street.

ROMAN ROADS.†

As might be expected, Aquæ Solis was the centre of many roads, which communicated with every part of the island; one road went northwardly, to Corinium (Cirencester), whence the traveller might proceed across the island to Lindum (Lincoln); or he might go to Glevum (Glo'ster), and the towns on the Severn; or he might turn eastward, towards London. The road from Corinium to Aquæ Solis, continued its course southwardly from the latter place, to another bathing town, called Ad Aquas, and now known by the somewhat similar name, Wells. Here the road separated into two branches, one of which proceeded to a

* A medicine stamp was found in Bath in 1781. (See Thomas Wright's Celt, Roman, and Saxon, p. 244.)
† See, Mr. Wright's work, p. 143.
town called, from the river on which it stood, Ad Uxellam, now Bridgwater, and thence to Isca (Exeter); the other led by a town of some importance, named Ischalis, now Ilchester, to Moridunum, on the southern coast. The traveller who would proceed direct from Londinium to Aquæ Solis, followed the western road till he reached the town of Spinae (Speen), where he turned off by a branch road, which led him by the towns of Cunetio, near Marlbro', and Verlutio, near Heddington, to Aquæ Solis. From Aquæ, the same road was continued to a station on the Avon, called Ad Abonam, or Abona, which seems to be correctly placed at Bitton, and thence to another part, on the banks of the Avon, where it enters the Bristol Channel, thence called Ad Sabrinam.

Having thus given but a very hasty and imperfect sketch of those interesting relics, which have, in by-gone times, formed the subject of such profound enquiry, and which, for the most part, remain still to exercise our ingenuity, and to kindle our interest in the manners and habits of a people long passed away, but the remnant of whose labours and works of art stir up our admiration; it may be permitted me to observe that such a study, pursued in a candid frame of mind, can never be without good fruit, not merely in informing the intellect, but in improving the heart; not merely in supplying an agreeable recreation from weightier occupations, but in causing us to form true views of times present, by contemplating times past. In tracing the vestiges of old Rome, and her potent sway, we mark the relics of that Iron Empire, which was to break in pieces and tread underfoot the Empires that had preceded it; but which, in its turn, was to be overthrown by a power unlike to any of the rest; and which, not arising as its fore-runners, by man’s ambition, was, unlike them, to be imperishable.
Roman Remains in Bath.

We trace in these fragments the gradual progress of mankind, and the growth of nations. We trace the preparation for a still higher state of civilization, and, as it were, the foundation stones of that structure which it is our lot to witness, in the growth of a great Christian power. Our minds are led, not merely to meditate upon the instability of human grandeur, and upon the passing away of things present, but are taught that what has gone before has been overruled to the working out of great and glorious purposes, which we have been permitted to see in part fulfilled, and for which we should offer thanks to the Giver of all good. Let us compare the ruined Temple of Minerva with the stately fabric of our Metropolitan Church; and if Christianity has reared such a pile to the honour and glory of the One True God, it is at best an outward expression, very faint and feebly conveyed, how far the glory of Christian England transcends the glory of England in Pagan times!

"Now the fierce bear, and leopard keen,
Are perished, as they ne'er had been;
Oblivion is their home;
Ambition's boldest dream, and last,
Must melt before the clarion blast
That sounds the dirge of Rome.
Heroes and kings obey the charm,
Withdraw the proud high-reaching arm,
There is an oath on High,
That ne'er on brow of mortal birth
Shall blend again the crowns of earth,
Nor in according cry
Her many voices mingling own
One tyrant lord, one idol throne:
But to His triumph soon
He shall descend, who rules above,
And the pure language of His love
All tongues of men shall tune."
Farleigh-Hungerford Castle, Somerset.

BY THE REV. J. E. JACKSON.

The ruined castle at this place was, for about 300 years, viz: from A.D. 1369 to A.D. 1686, the principal residence, in Somersetshire, of the Hungerford family. In that county their possessions were very considerable; but in Wilts, from which the castle is only divided by the river below its walls, there would seem to be few districts with which they were not, at some time or other, connected as landowners. Some have said that the castle stands on the actual site of a Roman villa. Of this there is no evidence, from direct discovery. There are, however, Roman remains close by. In Temple Field, half a mile off towards the north, the remains of a villa were opened in 1822. A tolerably perfect bath, with several coins, and some tessellated pavement, were found. There was another villa, at the distance of a mile towards the S.E.; and on a hill, rising N.W. above the castle, are traces of an earthwork, and camp. Before Farleigh belonged to the Hungerfords, it was the property of the Montfort family, and bore their name. Sir Henry de Montfort, (temp. King John,) had a house on this spot. From the Montforts, it passed to the family of Burghersh, by whom it was sold, in A.D. 1369, to Sir Thomas Hungerford, of Heytesbury. He was one of the
FAKLEIGH-HUNGERFORD CASTLE.

earliest Speakers of the House of Commons, and steward to John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster. By Sir Thomas and his son Walter, Lord Hungerford (temp. Henry VI.) the house of the Montforts was enlarged, and converted into a castle. It probably underwent some later alterations.

The principle gate-house still remains. Over the arch is a sickle, in stone, the device of the Hungerford family; above this is a shield of their arms, surmounted by a helmet and crest, and the letters E. H., for Edward Hungerford. The single apartment above the archway was a guard-room, with a door leading on to the walls. There is no trace of a portcullis; but there are apertures for the beams of a drawbridge. From this entrance, round the southern and western sides, the castle was protected by a narrow moat, on the north a natural ravine, and, on the east, the steepness of the knoll on which it stands, defended it. As the ground falls away rapidly towards the north, the water must have been held up at both extremities of the moat by a strongly-built dam. The pipes by which it was supplied have been traced to a spring on the top of a hill nearly three-quarters of a mile from the castle.

The general area was divided into two courts. The outer one, which is the first entered after passing through the gate-house, contained the stables and offices, etc. It was formerly pitched all over with stone. Leland, who visited Farleigh, temp. Henry VIII., says that there were "diverse praty towers in the uttwarde." Of these the fragments of two still remain, in the wall on the south side of the court-yard. Crossing this first court by the road, we pass out of it between two thick walls, the remains of another entrance. Close to this were lately discovered the lower steps of the winding stair-case, by which the walls on
this side were ascended. The approach to the castle this way was through the park, which lay on the north side, including the picturesque ground between Farleigh and Iford.

The upper court ended where a line of wooden rails now crosses the castle yard. Here was an inner gate-house, through which was the way to the quadrangle forming the dwelling house. Of this gate-house Leland says, "It was fair; and there the arms of the Hungerfords richly made yn stone." On each side of it were two small round towers, the foundation of one of which is still visible. On either side of the site of this entrance is a small sunk garden, or court. At each of the four angles of the house was a high round tower, and in the intervals, from tower to tower, were the larger apartments. Leland says, "The haule and chambers were stately, and were commonly reported to have been built by one of the Hungerfords, by the prey of the Duke of Orleans, whom he had taken prisoner." This common saying was, however, incorrect, so far as regards the personal capture of the Duke of Orleans at Agincourt. Sir Walter Hungerford was certainly at that battle, and may have been enriched by the ransom of prisoners; but it is generally allowed that the Duke of Orleans was made prisoner by Sir Richard Waller, of Speldhurst, in Kent.

By an excavation made in 1845, the foundations of some of the basement rooms were brought to light. The remains of a furnace, ashpit, oven, and flue, found in the N.W. corner of the lower court, show that the inferior parts of the house must have been towards that side. The principal front, as drawn in Buck's Antiquities, faces the east. This front, as well as that on the western side, rose directly upon the edge of the castle knoll. On the north side, where the knoll ends most abruptly, and is accordingly guarded by a
strong facing of masonry, the house did not rise immediately upon the outer edge of the court, but stood back several yards within it, leaving space for a narrow strip of yard, the pitching of which is still to be seen.

Farleigh Castle was much embellished by a Sir Walter Hungerford, temp. Elizabeth. Of the coats of arms that were in the window, or on the walls, some notes were taken on the spot by Le Neve, the antiquary, who made a hasty visit here, in 1701. These are in one of his manuscripts in the British Museum. A fine hall table, said to have been part of the castle furniture, is still preserved at Hinton Abbey; and various fragments of the building, such as carved heads, mullions of windows, mantel-pieces, etc., have been recognized in the neighbouring cottages.

THE TOWERS.

Two out of the four towers which formed the square of the dwelling-house, are still left. A line drawn between them would mark the south front, as approached from the first court. In each of these towers were small rooms, a ground floor, and two stories. There was no subterranean chamber. The foundations are very strongly built, in broad circular courses of masonry, each lower course being broader than the one above, until the lowest becomes, in fact, one entire substratum. The tower at the south-west angle of the quadrangle (and which is the first that meets the eye in passing under the archway of the gate-house,) was for many years held together by a net-work of ivy, growing from a single stem, nearly two yards wide. The boughs were as thick as a cable. On the fifth of November, 1842, through the carelessness of some children, the ivy accidentally caught fire, and was entirely destroyed. The tower being thus deprived of its girders, a large part soon
afterwards fell down, showing the interior as it is now seen.

**THE CASTLE CHAPEL, DEDICATED TO ST. LEONARD,**

Stands in the upper court-yard, within the sunk area of a small cemetery, the level of which is several feet below the castle-yard. The parapeted wall around it is modern. This chapel, or an older building on the same site, was, in ancient times, the church of the parish; but when the Hungerfords converted their house into a castle, and enclosed it with high walls and a drawbridge, it became necessary to provide for the parishioners a church elsewhere, to which they might have free access at all times. The parish church then standing, was accordingly appropriated by them as a domestic chapel; and a new church, (the present parish church of Farleigh), was built on the hill southward of the castle. This was done by Walter Lord Hungerford, High Treasurer of England, A.D. 1443. The chapel porch is at the west end. The roof is of oak, embossed with sickles, and the arms of the Hungerfords. The descent into the building is by a few steps, the floor being below the level of the cemetery. There is neither aisle nor distinct chancel; but the latter is represented by a slight elevation of the pavement, for about nine feet from the east wall. The east window is plain perpendicular; the stained glass now there is of modern insertion. The west window has decorated tracery. There were formerly side windows; on the south side, five, and on the north side, three. These being much dilapidated, were blocked up some years ago. The roof seems to have had a coved ceiling. The font and piscina now in the chapel were brought from the present parish church, about twenty years ago. Of the armour suspended against the wall, some portions are relics of the old castle armoury. The
antique furniture, also, was partly found here, and partly collected from neighbouring cottages. In one of the old chests were once found some letters of Oliver Cromwell. The following is a copy of one that was stolen a few years ago. It is addressed to Antony Hungerford, Esq., father of Sir Edward, the last owner of Farleigh.

"Sir,—I am very sorrye my occacion will not permit mee to returne" (i. e. to reply) "to you as I would. I have not yett fully spoken with the gentlemen I sent to waite upon you? When I shall doe it, I shall be enabled to bee more particular, beinge unwillinge to detaine your servante any longer. With my service to your lady and family, I take leave, and rest

"Your affectionate servante.

"O. CROMWELL."

"July 30, 1652.

"For my honoured friend, Mr. Hungerford, the elder, at his house, These."

The chapel is about sixty feet long, by twenty wide. The walls towards the eastern end were stencilled in a foliated pattern. On one side of the altar is a gigantic representation of St. George and the Dragon, and near this are traces of a figure of a knight kneeling, bearing on his coat the arms of Hungerford.

THE CHANTRY CHAPEL, DEDICATED TO ST. ANNE.

This is on the north side of the principal chapel, measuring twenty feet by fifteen. It was probably built by Sir Thomas Hungerford, the purchaser of Farleigh, for private use, in what was then the parish church. After the suppression of chantries, it seems to have answered the purpose of a mausoleum. About A.D. 1650, it was embellished, and the vault underneath was enlarged, by
Margaret (Halliday), lady of Sir Edward Hungerford, K.B.
The walls were painted with coats of arms and figures of saints; the floor was inlaid with black and white marble, in lozenge; and gilded iron gates, with arms and crests, were placed between the two chapels. The stained glass now in the windows has been added recently.

THE MONUMENTS ACCORDING TO THEIR DATES.

No. 1. Sir Thomas and Joan Lady Hungerford.—This is the monument of the purchaser of Farleigh and his lady, Joan Hussey. It stands within a very handsome iron railing, of antique pattern, under the arch which divides the chantry chapel from the larger one. Sir Thomas died A.D. 1398; his lady A.D. 1411-12. On each of the sides are five coats of arms—Hungerford, Heytesbury, Hussey, both single and in combination. These effigies were formerly painted. Under the knight's head, on a helmet, is a talbot's head for a crest. On the west side of this tomb are three shields, on which are the arms, and the letters t.j. and i.j. The eastern side abuts against the pier of the arch.

No. 2. The Chantry Priest's.—An incised slab on the floor of the larger chapel, between the entrance steps and font. The inscription is now nearly illegible; but the person buried was, no doubt, one of the first chaplains of the chantry, after its endowment by Walter Lord Hungerford, the son of Sir Thomas, the purchaser. All the particulars of the endowment, as well as the names of some of the chaplains, are known from a complete set of the Chantry Deeds, which have fortunately been met with. The name of this priest is effaced; but the following words are capable of being made out:

"...... cantarie pptue ad altaie ...... M. ......"
GROUND PLAN of the Old Chapel of St. LEONARD
And the Chantry of St. Anne, within the Castle of
FARLEIGH-HUNGERFORD. Co SOMERSET.
Drawn (without measurement) by the Rev J.E. Jackson 1852.
The Monuments, according to their Date.
1. Tomb of Sir Thomas & Joan Lady Hungerford A.D. 1398 & 1412, within Iron Railing.
2. The Chantry Pews.
5. Mr. Mary Shaw A.D. 1613 with a Brass on the Wall.
A. Font Brought from the present Church of Farleigh in 1834.
B. Piscina
C. Pulpit (Modern)
D. Ornamented Iron gate dividing the Chantry from the large Chapel.
E. Painting of St. George & the Dragon.
F. A Copper Coffin Plate, referring to Sir Edward (No. 6) brought from the Vault below.

“Here lieth — Priest of the Perpetual Chantry founded at the Altar of the Blessed Virgin Mary, by Walter Hungerford Lord Hungerford . . . . . who died the 5th . . . . . on whose soul may God have mercy. Amen.” The stone was raised a few years ago, and the skeleton of a young man, with the teeth quite perfect, was discovered.

No. 3. Sir Walter Hungerford.—In the S.E. corner of the large chapel. He was seventh in descent from Sir Thomas (No. I), and died 1595. A curious engraving of this Sir Walter, on horseback, is published in Sir R. C. Hoare’s “Modern Wilts.” He was buried at first in a small vault under the monument, but his body was afterwards removed to a larger one, under the side chapel. The inscription on this tomb is curiously cut. It runs round the margin, beginning at the east end; but on the third side, next the south wall, the words require to be read backwards. It mentions also a son, who died before him. “Tyme tryeth Truth quod (quoth) Water Hungerford Knyght — who lyeth here — And Edward hys sone to Gds (God’s) mercy in whom he strust (trusts) for ever. Ano. Do. 1585, The VI of Desbr.” The latter date refers to the son’s death. The tomb is of freestone, painted.

No. 4. Sir Edward Hungerford.—In the N. E. corner of the smaller Chapel. He was brother of Sir Walter, (No. 3). The tomb is of the same pattern as the last. On the slab is this inscription:

“Edward Hungerford, Knight, sonne to Walter, Lord Hungerford, and late Heire to Sir Walter Hungerford, deceased the 5th daie of December, 1607: and lieth here
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with Dame Jane, his wife, daughter to Sir Anthony Hungerford, of Downe Amney." This Sir Edward's second wife was Cicely, daughter of Sir John Tufton, who, after Sir Edward's death, re-married Francis Manners, sixth Earl of Rutland. The Earl, in right of his wife, held for life Farleigh Castle, and the rest of the Hungerford estates.

No. 5. Mrs. Shaa.—An altar tomb in the N.W. corner of the small chapel, standing north and south. Mrs. Shaa was sister to Sir Edward Hungerford, (No. 4.) and lived at Hinton Abbey, of which she had a lease from her brother. She died 1613. On a brass tablet against the wall, above the monument, is an inscription in verse, relating to her.

No. 6. Sir Edward Hungerford, K.B., and Margaret (Halliday) his wife.—This is the latest of the Hungerford monuments at Farleigh. The Sir Edward buried here was a Colonel in the army of the Commonwealth, and commanded at the siege of Wardour Castle, when it was defended by Blanche, Lady Arundel. He died A.D. 1648. His Lady was daughter of William Halliday, Alderman of London, and brought to the Hungerfords the Manors of Corsham, Iford, Stanton St. Quintin, etc. She founded an Almshouse at Corsham, and died 1672.

This fine monument is of black and white marble; the upper slab is in a single piece, eight feet long by five wide. Against the chantry chapel wall, on the east side, opposite the foot of the monument, is a small circular copper plate, formerly the cover of a leaden urn in the vault below, and relating to the Knight whose figure lies on the monument.

THE VAULT

Is under the smaller chapel, and is entered by steps from the outside. At the foot of the steps, on the right and left,
are two doorways, built up with stone. They have been examined, but lead to nothing. Within the vault are the leaden coffins of four males, two females, and two children. The wooden outer coffins have long since perished. The individuals buried here, are, most probably, those whose cenotaphs are in the two chapels above; but others, also, of the Hungerford family, are known to have given in their wills directions to be buried at Farleigh.

In the little cemetery, outside, skeletons have occasionally been found. These may have been either persons belonging to the castle household, or parishioners, who were buried there when this was the parish church.

There were two chaplains belonging to the castle chapel, one called the Chaplain of St. Leonard, the other the Chaplain of the altar of the B.V.M. A house was built for them at the east end of the chapel, by Walter Lord Hungerford. It is still standing, and is, in fact, the only habitable part of the castle that remains.

The estates of the Hungerfords being at one time confiscated, Farleigh Castle was granted by King Richard III. to his brother George, Duke of Clarence, whose daughter, Margaret Plantagenet, mother of Cardinal Pole, was born within its walls. In the civil war, temp. Charles I., it was used as a garrison for the King, in connection with the castles of Bristol and Nunney. But, on the success of the Parliament, it surrendered Sept. 15, 1645. It is said that King Charles II. once came here, and was entertained by an extravagant Sir Edward Hungerford, who sold this and all his other estates. Farleigh, with large property in several adjoining parishes, was bought by Mr. Henry Baynton, of Spy Park. In 1702, Mr. Baynton's representatives sold the manorial lands of Farleigh to the Houlton...
family; but the castle, by itself, being then entire, to Mr. Cooper, of Trowbridge. The Bayntons used it as a residence, and they appear to have been the last family who lived in it; for, in 1730, when it was transferred by the Coopers to Mr. Joseph Houlton, ancestor of the present proprietor, it had already fallen to decay, and the materials had been converted to other purposes.
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The Cannington Park Limestone.

BY MR. W. BAKER.

The Limestone of Cannington Park has always been a geological puzzle; and, long since geology has become a science worthy the attention of learned men, it has been considered nonfossiliferous.

Nearly forty years ago, the well known Geologist, Leonard Horner, explored Cannington Park very carefully, and his observations on it are published in one of the early numbers of the Geological Transactions, in a paper entitled, "Sketch of the Geology of the Western part of Somersetshire."

In this interesting and valuable report, Mr. Horner says: "I examined this Limestone with very great care, in order to discover whether it contained any organic remains, and particularly at the decomposed surfaces, and at those places where the stone was bruised by the blow of the hammer, but I could not find the slightest trace; and some of the quarry men, who had worked there for several years, told me they had never found anything of the kind." Notwithstanding Mr. Horner failed to discover fossils in this rock, he records the following opinion, in the paper above quoted: "It is very probable that by a more
minute examination, madripores and shells will be found in this Limestone, for there are laminae of calcareous spar dispersed through it, which are strong indications of organic remains.”

In 1837, the late Rev. D. Williams read a paper, to the Geological Section of the British Association at Liverpool, on the Geology of parts of Cornwall, Devon, and West Somerset, wherein he says: “The Exmoor and Quantock group is of such perfectly simple structure, as to be briefly explained by a series of emergencies, the key to unlocking it being found in the fact that the lowest and most ancient emerged at, and towards, the north-east; thus, in the ascending order, the Cannington Park Limestone, near Bridgwater, is the lowest rock of all.” In a subsequent part of this paper, Mr. Williams intimates that he had found organic remains in this rock, but he does not particularize any.

In 1839 the Report of the Ordnance Survey of Cornwall, Devon, and West Somerset, was published under the direction of Sir Henry De la Beche, and I copy the following remarks from p. 55 of this important work: “To determine the place which the Cannington Park Limestone, near Bridgwater, occupies in the Grauwacke series of North Devon and West Somerset, is difficult. The Limestone is so surrounded by Red Sandstone, that its near connection with the rocks of the Quantock Hills cannot be traced satisfactorily.”

Soon after the publication of Mr. Horner’s paper, when I was a young geologist, my attention was called to the author’s prediction—that madripores and corals would be found in the Cannington Park rock. I commenced a keen search for them on the old walls that bound the park, and I was soon rewarded with many good examples of weather-
CANNINGTON PARK LIMESTONE.

worn corals, and fragments of encrinites; and subsequently, the loose stones, formerly the defences of the hill, and the quarries, also furnished me with many good specimens. At the first general meeting of this society, I had the pleasure of exhibiting several large and handsome polished slabs, full of corals; and some of them are still in our Museum. No discovery of a fossil shell was made known until within the last three or four months; indeed this is the first public notice of such a discovery.

In October last, Mr. J. H. Payne, one of our early members, in searching for corals and madripores on Cannington Park, cracked a stone containing a beautiful valve of a bivalve shell. The external surface only is exposed, and one side of the beak is concealed; the other side is slightly winged, and the whole shell is marked with fine, but well defined, longitudinal ridges—it is much like Cardium Aliforme. I had the pleasure of showing this interesting specimen to our Vice-President, the Earl of Cavan, and his lordship took an early opportunity of going to the hill in search of fossil shells, and succeeded in finding three distinct species, different from Mr. Payne's, viz., a large Productus, an Orthis, and a Terebratula.

On the 17th of November I met his lordship on the hill by appointment, and spent, in diligent research, a cold but bright and cheerful morning, on its sheltered southern side. We found a large Productus, and several other species of bivalves, which I believe agree with fossils in the Mountain Limestone of Mendip. When Dr. Pring, Mr. Moore, and myself, examined the Williams' Collection, at Bleadon, at the request of this society, we were surprised at finding in one of the cabinets two or three imperfect bivalves, labelled Cannington Park. These fossils were no doubt found by the late Mr. Williams,
after he had read his paper to the British Association, in 1837, and are probably recorded in his manuscript book, which is now the property of the society.

It might be asked, how was it that fossils in the Cannington Park Limestone were so long hid from the observation of good geologists? I answer, the highly crystalline nature of the stone was the cause. The organic remains are unusually concealed in these beds; but now the eye has detected these objects, although they are so obscure, we shall in future find them abundant. The crystalline character of the stone, is no doubt to be attributed to the volcanic action which uplifted the rock, for trappean Red Stone fills up many fissures in the hill; and volcanic cinders, connecting trap and altered Limestone, are not uncommon on different parts of the hill.

Cannington Park has been marked on one or two geological maps as Mountain Limestone, but without fossil evidence; and for many years it has been doubtful in what series of strata it should be arranged. In different parts of the Quantock Hills are beds of Limestone, almost composed of madripores, corals, and encrinital fragments; but hitherto no moluscous shells are recorded to have been found in these beds; therefore they may be of a very different geological age, perhaps much older than the Cannington Park Limestone.

Humboldt in his great work, "The Cosmos," says: "Some strata furnish only the impression of a shell, but if it be one of a characteristic kind, we are able on its production, to recognize the formation in which it was found, and to state other organic remains which were buried with it. Thus the shell brought home by the distant traveller, acquaints us with the geological character of the country which he has visited."
We now know more than one characteristic shell; we have many shells, corals, etc., from Cannington Park, agreeing with fossils common in the Mountain Limestone of Mendip, to guide us, besides the oolitic structure and general resemblance of the stones. Is it not likely therefore that the Cannington Limestone is an outlyer of the Mendip strata, the southwest side of which dips towards the Quantocks, and probably passes deep under the intervening valley, and is uplifted at this eastern branch of the Grauwacke Hills?

Since I had the pleasure of reading the above short paper at our conversazione, in March, I have met with some observations on the Cannington Park Limestone, in the late Rev. D. Williams's manuscript work, from which I make the following extracts:—

"The fact of the Cannington Limestone being an outlying mass, and altogether insulated in the New Red Sandstone, caused me for a time some doubt and embarrassment, as to its true position and relations. On a review of all its circumstances, however, I entertain little doubt that it is a purer variety of the Withycombe, Doddington, and Stowey Limestones, or, inversely, that the latter indicate the Cannington Limestone to be passing out to the westward, among the Old Red Sandstone, by a less pure — by coarse arenaceous and carbonaceous beds. It is on the direct roll of the Old Red, from the Quantocks towards the Mendips. . . . It commonly exhibits a very minute, concretionary-looking structure, consisting of little pale grey oviform and spheroidal granules, closely packed together. . . . Organic remains are at times abundant in this Limestone, but usually so minute, almost microscopic, that most of them, I believe, have hitherto eluded observation. They consist of minute plates and
facets of plates of encrinites, and, on a close inspection of
the weathered surfaces, I procured several remarkably
small and delicate spines, papillae and plates of an Echinus,
a little turbinated univalve, and several fine corals. The
late Mr. Anstice, of Bridgwater, informed me that a
trusty agent brought him a Productus from this Limestone,
and Mr. Baker, of that town, obligingly showed me some
beautiful corals, which he had found in it.”

In a note to the above, Mr. Williams mentions that
Mr. Anstice had accompanied Professor Buckland and Mr.
Conybeare in the survey of this Limestone, and supposes
that he was urged by these gentlemen to search it dili-
gently for fossils, in future. He also informs us that
Mountain Limestone was, about that time, shipped from
Brean Down to Bridgwater, for the repair of roads, and
suggests the probability that the Productus was found in
these stones, not in Cannington Park stone, and brought
by the “trusty agent” to Mr. Anstice, for reward. It
appears that when Mr. Williams wrote the above, he not
only did not know of any fossils in the Cannington stone,
except corals, fragments of very minute encrinites, and
echini, and a little turbinated univalve, but doubted the
discovery of the Productus in it; therefore it appears
likely that the two or three bivalves seen by Dr. Pring,
Mr. Moore, and myself, in the cabinet at Bleadon, must
have been found after the above remarks were written. I
have not the slightest thought that Mr. Anstice was im-
posed upon by the “trusty agent.”

Since I read my paper at Taunton, and the discovery of
molluscus shells in this Limestone has been otherwise men-
tioned, the Rev. W. A. Jones, of Taunton, and Mr. Moore,
of Ilminster, in a brief search amongst some heaps of this
stone, by the roadside near Bridgwater, cracked out three
or four tolerably good specimens of distinct species of bivalve shells. Mr. Morle, of Cannington Park Farm, who is alive to the interest that geologists take in the strata close to his door, and is competent to explore them, has met with others; and Mr. W. Tucker, of Cannington, a good practical naturalist, has brought me dozens of specimens, and many different species.

I fear that I have lengthened this paper to a tedious extent; but I have trespassed so far, because I am desirous of making use of the information which I have obtained on this subject, believing that a knowledge of the geological position of the Cannington rock will elucidate much that is obscure in our geological views of the Quantocks, and the strata westward; and that this obscurity will, before long, occasion another survey from the Ordnance Staff; in the western district, as they contain views different from those quoted from the Paper read to the Geological section of the British Association.
List of Fungi,

BY REV. W. R. CROTCH,

FOUND in the neighbourhood of Bristol, Bath, and Taunton, furnished by H. O. Stephens, Esq., M.R.C.S., Bristol; C. Broome, Esq., Batheaston; Rev. W. R. Crotch, Esq., Taunton.

AGARICUS.

phalloides Fr. Leighwood (Clifton)—Taunton.
muscarius L. "
rubescens Fr. "
spissus " "
asper " "
vaginatus Bull. "
procerus Scop. "
excoriatus Sch. " Weston-super-Mare.
clypeolarius Fr. Brockley "
acutisquamosus " Leighwood.—Batheaston.
cristatus Bolt. " Taunton.—Weston.
granulosus Bats. "
melleus Vahl. " "
mucidus Schr. "
sejunctus Sow. "
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agaric</th>
<th>Fr.</th>
<th>Leighwood.</th>
<th>Weston.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>flavobrunneus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot; Taunton.&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rutilans</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>columbetta</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>imbricatus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vaccinus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terreus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saponaceus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulphureus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lascivus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ionides</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgii</td>
<td>Lin.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gambosus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>albus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>personatus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nudus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grammopodius</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brevipes</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>humilis</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Bathampton.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nebularis</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td>Leighwood.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>odorus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerussatus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phyllophilus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>candidans</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dealbatus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>giganteus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infundibiliformis</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geotropus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flavidus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyathiformis</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metachrous</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fragrans</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agaric.

laccatus Sch. Leighton.—Taunton.—Weston.
radicatus Relh. " "
longipes Bull. " "
platyphylus Fr. " "
fusipes Bull. " "
butyraceus " "
velutipes Curt. " "
stipitarius Fr. " "
confluens Bull. " "
myosurus? Fr. " "
conigenus Pers. Bathampton " "
tuberosus Bull. Leighton. " "
collinus Scop. " "
esculentus Jacq. " "
dryophilus Bull. " "
clavus L. " "
atratus Fr. " "
pelianthinus " "
purus Pers. " "
lacteus " "
rugosus Bull. " "
galericulatus Scop. " "
polygrammus Bull. " "
atroalbus Bolt. " "
dissiliens Fr. " "
alcalinus " "
tenuis " "
filopes Bull. " "
acicula Sch. " "
hæmatopus Pers. " "
cruentus Fr. " "
sanguinolentus A. and S. " "

PAPERS, ETC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agaric</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>galopus</td>
<td>Schr.</td>
<td>Leightwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epipterygius</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vulgaris</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stylobates</td>
<td>Osb.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hiemalis</td>
<td>Schu.</td>
<td>Taunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>capillaris</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyxidatus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>umbelliferus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stellatus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibula</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulmarius</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>palmatus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fimbriatus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ostreatus</td>
<td>Jaq.</td>
<td>South Stoke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salignus</td>
<td>A. &amp; S. Leightwood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conchatus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tremulus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>porrigens</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>applicatus</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovciinus</td>
<td>Beck.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medius</td>
<td>Schu.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cervinus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>Weston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leoninus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chrysophoëus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phlebophorus</td>
<td>Ditm.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sinuatus (fertilis Pers.)</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ardosiacus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sericellus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rhodopolius</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sericeus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prunulus</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lampropus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>columbarius</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chalybeus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pascuus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sowerbeii</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mammosus</td>
<td>Bolt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aureus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>praecox</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radicosus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pudicus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aurivellus</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squarrosus</td>
<td>Mull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mutabilis</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relicinus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lanuginosus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plumosus</td>
<td>Bolt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyriodorus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scaber</td>
<td>Mull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacerus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rimosus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geophyllus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fastibilis</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crustuliniformis</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>melinoides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pediades</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inquilinus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>furfuraceus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tener</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypnorum</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mollis</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>haustellaria</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>variabilis</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campestris</td>
<td>Lin.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Author</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arvensis</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>Leighwood. — Taunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sylvaticus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>æruginosus</td>
<td>Curt.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>squamosus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stercorarius</td>
<td>Schu.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semiglobatus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sublateritus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fascicularis</td>
<td>Huds.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacrymabundus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fœniscii</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bullaceus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>callosus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corrugis</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spadiceo-gri-seus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>separatus</td>
<td>Lin.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fimiputris</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>Bathampton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>campanulatus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>Leighwood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>papilionaceus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boltonii</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>titubans</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disseminatus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comatus</td>
<td>Mull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>atramentarius</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fimetarius</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinereus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>domesticus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Batheaston. — Kingweston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macrorhizus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>Taunton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>niveus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>Leighwood. — Taunton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>micaceus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ephemerus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plicatilis</td>
<td>Curt.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1853, PART II.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>radiatus</td>
<td>Bolt.</td>
<td>Weston</td>
<td>hemeroobius</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Leighwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>varius</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>cyanopus</td>
<td>Sec.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glaucopus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>callochrous</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fulgens</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>prasinus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scaurus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>collinitus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>Taunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>violaceus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>cyanites</td>
<td>Sec.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulliardii</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>bolaris</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arenatus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>spilomeus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sanguineus</td>
<td>Wulf.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>cinnamomeus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulbosus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>torvus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evernius</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>limonius</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gentilis</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>brunneus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iliopodius</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>dilutus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>involutus</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td>Batheaston</td>
<td>viscidus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chrysodon</td>
<td>Bats.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>eburneus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cossus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>Bathampton</td>
<td>penarius</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**LIST OF FUNGI.**

**AGARIC.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothelus</th>
<th>Som.</th>
<th>Leightonwood.—Taunton.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>olivaceo-albus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caprinus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leporinus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pratensis</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>virgineus</td>
<td>Jacq.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceraceus</td>
<td>Wulf.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>puniceus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coccineus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miniatus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conicus</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psittacinus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>murinaceus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LACTARIUS.** *(Agaric.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scrobiculatus</th>
<th>Scop.</th>
<th>&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>torminosus</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>turpis</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zonarius</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blennius</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyssginus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uvidus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flexuosus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyrogalus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viridis</td>
<td>Paul.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piperatus</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vellereus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deliciosus</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>Flax Bonet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>theiogalbus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>Leighton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>acris</td>
<td>Bolt.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quietus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Bathampton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>victus</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>Leighton.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subdulcis</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Agaric.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>camphoratus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leighwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fuliginosus</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Russula. (Agaric.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Taunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>furcata</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adusta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sanguinea</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vesca</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linnæi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xerampelina</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emetica</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Weston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fectens</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>integra</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rubra</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aurata</td>
<td>With.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alutacea</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cantharellus.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cibarius</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tubæformis</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>infundibuliformis</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinereus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nyctalis.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asterophora</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marasmius. (Agaric.)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peronatus</td>
<td>Bolt.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oreades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fusco-purpureus</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>archytopus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calopus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaillantii</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sectidus</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amadelphus</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LIST OF FUNGI.

MARAS. (Agaric.)
ramealis Bull. Leighwood.
androsaceus L. " Taunton.
rotula Scop. " " Weston.
epiphyllus Fr. " "
Hudsoni Pers. "

LENTINUS.
cochleatus Fr. "

PANUS.
fœtens Sec. "
stypticus Bull. " "
torulosus Fr. Batheaston.

LENZITES.
betulina L. Leighwood.

BOLETUS.
luteus L. "
Grevillei Klot. " " "
granulatus L. " "
laricinus Berk. " "
piperatus Bull. "
subtomentosus L. "
pachypus Fr. " "
luridus Sch. " "
edulis Bull. " "
lanatus? Bull. { "
an viscidus L.? } "
seaber Fr. " " "

POLYPORUS.
perennis L. "
brumalis Fr. Portbury.
squamosus " " " "
varius " "
ummularius " "
Polyporus.

sulfureus Fr.  Leighwood.
adustus  "  "
caesius  "  "
hispidus  "  "
spumeus  "  "
betulinus  "  "
applanatus  Pers.  "
dryadeus  "  "
igniarius  L.  "
fraxineus  Fr.  Batheaston.
ulmarius  L.  Leighwood.—Taunton.
zonatus  "  "
versicolor  "  "  Weston.
scoticus  Klot.  "
nitidus  Fr.  "
ferruginosus  Schr.  Brockley.
Stephensii  B. & B. Leighwood.
bombycinus  Fr.  Portbury.
obducens  "  Failand.
vitreus  "  Leighwood.
vulgaris  "  Belmont.

Daedalea.
quercina  Pers.  Leighwood.
betulina  L.  "
confregosa  Bolt.  "
unicolor  Fr.  "

Merulius.
corium  "  "
rufus  Pers.  Wraxall.

Fistulina.
hepatica  Bull.  Leighwood.
LIST OF FUNGI.

HYDNUM.
repaudum L. *Leighwood.—Taunton.—Weston.*
rufescens Pers ?
membranaceum Bull. "
auriscalpium L. "
mucidum Sch. "
farinaceum Pers. "
niveum Fr. "

IRPEX.
fusco-violaceus "

RADULUM.
orbiculare "

ODONTIA.
fimbriata Pers. "

KNEIFFIA.
setigera Fr. "

CRATERELLUS.
lutescens Fr. "
cornucopioides L. "
sinnosus Fr. "

THELEPHORA.
palmata "
fastidiosa "
cristata "
laciniata "
sebacea "

STEREUM.
purpureum Pers. "
hirsutum Well. "
spadiceum Fr. "
sanguinolentum A & S. "
ferrugineum Bull. "
rubiginosum Schr. "
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stereum.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tabacinum</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>Leighwood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>avellanum</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rugosum</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURICULARIA.</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mesenterica</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORTICICUM.</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfureum</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>incarnatum</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>Batheaston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caeruleum</td>
<td>Sch.</td>
<td>Leighwood.—Taunton.—Weston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>calceum</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ochraceum</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quercinum</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinereum</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comedens</td>
<td>Nees.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aurora</td>
<td>Berk.</td>
<td>Batheaston.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sambuci</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>Leighwood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYPHELLA.</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lacera</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLAVARIA.</td>
<td>”</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>botrytis</td>
<td>Pers.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amethystina</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coralloides</td>
<td>Fr.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fastigiata</td>
<td>D.Cand.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>muscoides</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cinerea</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cristata</td>
<td>Holms.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rugosa</td>
<td>Bull.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fusiformis</td>
<td>Sow.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inaequalis</td>
<td>Fl. Dan.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vermiculata</td>
<td>Scop.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fragilis</td>
<td>Holms.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pistillaris</td>
<td>L.</td>
<td>”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**CLAVARIA.**

argillacea Fr. *Leighwood.*
glossoides " "

**TYPHULA.**

gyrans " "
erythropus " "

**PISTILLARIA.**

quisquiliaris Fr. " "
puberula Berk. *Batheaston.*

**GEOGLOSSUM.**

glabrum " *Leighwood.* Weston.
viride Schr. *Wrington.*

**SPATHULARIA.**

> flavida Pers. " "

**MORCHELLA.**

esculenta L. *Taunton.*

**HELVELLA.**

crispa Scop. *Leighwood.* "
lacunosa Afz. "

**LEOTIA.**

lubrica Scop. "

**PEZIZA.**

versiformis Fr. *Batheaston.*
œruginosa Pers. *Brockley.*
aurantia Pers. *Nailsea.*
coccinea Sow. *Wraxall.* "
tuberosa Bull. *Leighwood.*
trechispora Berk. "
saniosa " "
succosa " "

1853, PART II.
Peziza.

macropus Pers. Charlton.
vesiculosa Bull. Batheaston.
melastoma Sow. Charlton.
melaloma A & S. Wraxall.
stercorea Pers. Bathampton.—Taunton.
omphalodes Bull. Wraxall.
granulata " Batheaston. "
Schumacheri Fr. "
cerinea Pers. "
corticalis Fr. Leighwood.
firma Pers Bathampton.
melaxantha Fr. Batheaston.
fusca Pers. "
cœsia "
atrata "
apala B. & B. "
nitidula "

PateLLaria.

alboviolacea A. & S. "

AscoBolus.

furfuraceus Pers. South Stoke.

Bulgaria.

sarcoides Jacq. Batheaston.
inquinans Pers. "

Cryptomyces.

versicolor Fr. "

Tremella.

albida Sm. "
mesenterica Retz. "
terrestris Beck. "
sarcoides With. "
LIST OF FUNGI.

EXIDIA.
auricula Judae L. Batheaston.

DACRYMYCES.
moriformis Sm. "
stillatus Nees. "

PILOBOLUS.
crystallinus Tode. "

SPHÆRIA.
myrmecophila? Ces. Leighwood.
militaris L. Portbury.
pedunculata Dick. Failand.
hypoxyylon L. Batheaston.
lateritia " "
rosella A. & S. "
aquila Fr. Wraxall.
multiformis " "
concentrica Bolt. Batheaston.—Taunton.
fusca Pers. "
fibrosa " South Stoke.
confluens Tode. Portbury.
stigma Hoffm. Batheaston.
gastrina Fr. "
sanguinea With. "
cinnabarina Tode. "
striœformis Fr. "
inquinans Tode. "
hupulocystis B. & B. "
macrotricha " "
ovina Fr. "
flavida Cord. "
hirsuta Tode. Belmont.
SPHÆRIA.

hispida Tode. Batheaston.
pilosa Pers. 
episphæria Fr. 
peziza Tode. 
Sinopica Fr. 
carneo-alba Libert. 
Oomyces carn. B. & B. 
melanotis 
arenula 
pulvis pyrius Pers. 
papaverea B. & B. 
spermoides Hoffm. 
moriformis Tode. Ashton Court.
pomiformis Pers. 
myriocarpa Fr. 
obducens Schu. 
cupularis Pers. 
clypeata Nees. Leighwood. 
ribis Tode. 
spiculifera Sow. 
herbarum Pers. 
ditopa Fr. 
conformis B. & B. 
appendiculosa 
congesta Nees. 
camblyospora B. & B. 
taxi Sow. 
dochnia B. & B. 
facta 
trivialis 
Thwaitesii 
graminis Pers.
LIST OF FUNGI.

SPHÆRIA.

tomicum Desm. Batheaston.

phomato-spore B. & B. "
eucrypta " "
phœosticta Berk. "
helicospora B. & B. "
trichella Fr. "
rusci " "
nigrans Rob. "
palustris B. & B. "
culmifraga Fr. "

DOTHIDEA.

chætonium Kunz. "
ulmi Duv. "
Robertiani Fr. "

PIGGOTTIA.

asteroida B. & B. \{ "
(ASTEROMA. \}
ulmi) Grev.

RHYTISMA.

acerinum Fr. "

PHACIDIUM.

Lauro-cerasi " "

CYSTOTRICHA.

striola B & B. "

NEOTTIOSPORA.

carium Des. "

MYXORMIA.

atro-viridis B. & B. "

EXCIPULA.

vermicularia Cord. "
chaetrostoma B. & B. Leighwood.
PHOMA.
radula B. & B. Batheaston.
depressum " "
nothum " "
sticticum " "
exiguum " "
Samarorum Des. "

SPHÆROPSIS.
parca " "

DIPLODIA.
paupercula " "
vulgaris Lev. "

DISCELLA.
platyspora B. & B. "

HENDERSONIA.
(Sphaeria do-
thidea ?) Moug. near Wick.
mutabilis B. & B. "

ERYSIPHE.
guttata Schl. "

CHÆTOMIUM.
elatum Kun. "

TUBER.
cibarium Sibt. Taunton.
cœstitum Vitt. "
macrosporum " "
brumale " "
rufum " "
excavatum " " Leighwood.
maculatum " " Abbots Leigh
Borehii } Portbury.
puberulum Berk ?}
LIST OF FUNGI.

BALSAMEA
vulgaris  Vitt.  Abbots Leigh.

PACHYPHŁÆUS.
citrinus  Berk.  Portbury.

GENEA.
verrucosa  Vitt.  "

ELAPHOMYCES.
granulatus  Fr.  "
anthracinus  Vitt.  "

ENDOGYNE.
pisiformis  Fr.  Brockley.

HYMENOOGASTER.
tener  Berk.  "
olivaceus  Vitt.  Bedminster.
luteus  "  Abbots Leigh.
Thwaitesii  Berk.  Portbury.

HYDNANGIUM.
Stephensii  Berk.  "
caroteecolor  "

OCTAVIANA.
asterosperma  Vitt.  "

HYSTERANGIUM.
nephriticum  Berk.  "
Thwaitesii  "

HYSTEROMYCES.
graveolens  Vitt.  }
(Rhizopogon rubescens?)  Tul.  "

MELANOGASTER.
variegatus  Tul.  "

PHALLUS.
impudicus  L.  Batheaston.—Taunton.
caninus  Huds.  Brockley.
NIDULARIA.

   crucibulum Fr. Charlton Park.
striata Bull. Taunton.
campanulata With. Weston.

GEASTER.

   fornicatus Huds. "
   rufescens Pers. Frome.

LYCOPERDON.

   pyriforme Sch. Leighwood.
gemmatum Fr. Wraxall.—Taunton. "

BOVISTA.

   gigantea Nees. Quantox.—Mendips, &c.
nigrescens Pers. Taunton. "

SCLERODERMA.

   verrucosum Bull. Charlton. "
vulgare Fr. Leighwood. "

LYCOGALA.

   epidendrum L. Batheaston. "

RETICULARIA.

   umbrina Fr. "

PHYSARUM.

   nutans Pers. "
   hyalinum " "
   sinuosum Bull. Portbury.

STEMONITIS.

   violacea Fr. Brockley.
obtusata " "

ARCYRIA.

   punicea Pers. "

TRICHLA.

   fallax " " Leighwood.
serotina Schr. "

TRICHODERMA.

   viride Pers. Wraxall.
HYPHELIA.
  rosea  Fr.  Batheaston.
ISARIA.
  farinosa  Wraxall.
PACHNOCYBE.
  subulata  Berk.  "
ANTHINA.
  flammae  Fr.  Leighwood.
TRIPOSPORIUM.
MACROSPORIUM.
  Cheiranthi  Fr.  "
BOTRYTIS.
  macrospora  Ditm.  "
  viciæ  Berk.  "
  lactucae  "  "
  Tilletii  Des.  "
  lateritia  Fr.  "
  infestans  Mont.  "
RHINOTRICHUM.
  Thwaitesii  Berk.  "
CLADOSPORIUM.
  herbarum  Lk.  "
ASPERGILLUS.
  aurantiacus  Berk.  "
PENICILLUM.
  glaucum  Fr.  "
TRICOTHECIIUM.
  roseum  Lk.  Wraxall.
DACTYLIUM.
  macrosporum  Fr.  "
SEPEDONIUM.
  chrysopermum  Lk.  "
OIDIUM.
concentricum B. & B. Batheaston.

PSILONIA.
gilva " "

VOLUTELLA.
melaloma " "

TUBERCULARIA.

CORYNEUM.
compactum B. & B. Wraxall.
microstictum " "

STILBOSPORA.
macrosperma Pers. "
asterosperma " "
ovata " " Belmont.
pyriformis Hoff. "
magna Berk. " Keynsham.

SPORIDESMIUM.
polymorphum Cord. Brockley.
antiquum " "
atum Lk. "

DICTYOSPORIUM.
elegans Cord. "

HALYSIUM.
atrum " "

CONIOTHECIUM.
betulinum Cord. Leighwood.
amentacearum " "

TORULA.
herbarum Lk. "
LIST OF FUNGI.

Torula.

abbreviata Cord.
var. Sphœriæformis B. & B.
antennata Pers. Batheaston.
graminis Des. "

Sporoschisma.
mirabile B. & B. Brockley.

Hyperomyxa.
stilbosporoides Cord. "

Conoplea.
cinerea Fr. "

Arthrinium.
sporophleum Lk. "

Podisoma.
juniperi Fr. "

Aregma.
gracile Grev. "

Puccinia.
Lychnidearum Lk. "

Æcidiium.
compositarum Mart. "
quadrifidum Dec. "

Uredo.
longissima Sow. "
Vincae Dec. "
Violarum " "
segetum Pers. "
effusa Stra. "
Hypericorum Dec. Leighwood.
The above contribution towards the mycology of Somersetshire does not profess to contain all the species which have been observed, still less to define their distribution. Many of the more minute are either new to Britain or altogether undescribed previous to their occurrence in this district. Such have been noticed lately in the Annals of Natural History; and they are inserted here with a view to their rarity or novelty, which will explain why numbers of very common species do not occur in the list. It is hoped that botanists resident in different parts of the county will contribute their aid towards forming a complete history of its mycology.
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THE Fifth Annual Meeting of the Somerset Archæological and Natural History Society was held at the Town Hall, Yeovil, on Tuesday, September 13th, 1853,—Wm. Pinney, Esq., m.p., in the Chair.

The meeting commenced as usual for the transaction of business, at eleven o’clock. The President, Vice-Presidents, and the District or Local Secretaries and Treasurers were severally re-appointed. Wm. Pinney, Esq., m.p., was elected a Vice-President; the Rev. W. A. Jones was elected one of the general Secretaries; J. Yates, Esq., and J. W. Salter, Esq., were elected Honorary Members; W. F. Elliot, Esq., W. E. Gillett, Esq., Wm. Kelly, Esq., m.d., W. Metford, Esq., m.d., T. Patton, Esq., W. P. Pinchard, Esq., and the Rev. T. A. Voules, were re-elected, and J. Batten, junr., Esq., the Rev. W. T. Rodfern, and F. W. Newton, Esq., were elected Members of the Committee.

The Chairman opened the proceedings with a short and
appropriate speech, in which, after referring to the objects of interest visited on former occasions, he mentioned many curious relics of antiquity in the district adjoining Yeovil, particularly calling the attention of the company to the remains of ancient domestic architecture which abound in the neighbourhood of that town.

The following Report of the Committee for the past year was then read by the Rev. F. Warre, one of the Secretaries of the Society:—

"On this occasion, being the Fifth Annual Meeting of our members, the Committee have great pleasure in being able to congratulate the Society upon a considerable increase in the number of subscribers. The last published list contained 420 names; that for the present year contains no less than 499, shewing an addition to the subscriptions of last year amounting to £25; which, while it indicates an increase of the Society, gives at the same time hopes of more extensive operations and increased usefulness.

"Nothing is perhaps more likely to conduce to the permanence of a society such as ours, than the possession of valuable property; and in addition to the Williams's Geological collection, the great value of which is undoubted, the Committee have the pleasure of congratulating the Society upon the acquisition of an extensive and very beautiful collection of Oriental Birds, presented by the Hon. E. A. Blundell. The arrangement and display of both these collections have been advanced, during the last year, as far as the funds of the Society would allow. In the arrangement and labelling of the Geological specimens, in addition to the invaluable services of Mr. Baker, the Committee have received great assistance from the kindness of Mr. Salter, one of the curators of the Museum of Practical
Geology, whom, as a slight token of their estimation of his services, they have appointed an honorary member of the Society. Owing to an unfortunate misunderstanding, as to what fossil specimens the Society felt itself justified in parting with, only a small sale of duplicates has as yet taken place; and the lamented illness of Mr. Baker, to whom the negotiation was committed, has hitherto prevented his making any report as to the probability of any farther sale being eventually effected.

"Many objects of archaeological interest have been deposited and presented since the last annual meeting. Among the most valuable are twelve of the curious Anglo-Saxon coins discovered in Wedmore churchyard. These coins were claimed by the Lords of the Treasury, and of course given up; but upon a memorial being presented to them, seven were restored, and those which were retained for the British Museum, have been replaced by others of equal rarity and value, of which there were duplicates in that collection. Considering the short time that has elapsed since the establishment of the Society, the rooms at Taunton contain a very respectable collection both of Antiquities and specimens of Natural History, as well as many miscellaneous objects of interest and rarity.

"The annual volume of Proceedings being now in the hands of the members, its contents must of course speak for themselves; but the Committee venture to hope that a considerable improvement will be observed in the quality of the illustrations; no pains, and, as far as the funds would permit, no expense having been spared, to render them worthy of the Society, both in correctness and artistic execution.

"A complaint having been made by some members, of
difficulty in obtaining their volumes, the Committee take this opportunity of repeating that booksellers have been appointed (as mentioned in the circular letter) in most of the considerable towns of this county, as well as in Bristol, from whom the volumes may be obtained on presentation of the enclosed form, signed by the member who requires the volume. It is particularly requested that members will present these forms, as they are the only vouchers the Publisher has to show that the books have been duly delivered.

"With regard to the payment of subscriptions, the Committee beg to remind the Society that they are payable in advance; and as the list of names affords the only data by which the Committee can calculate the income of the Society, and consequently the amount of liability they are justified in incurring, all subscriptions, of the withdrawal of which notice has not been given before they became due, must be considered as belonging to the Society.

"The Conversazione Meetings have been held as usual during the winter, and still appear to maintain the interest excited in previous years.

"On the whole the Committee feel that they may congratulate the Society on its prospects. Its members and its property are increasing, a fine and extensive field of operations is before it, and there seems to be no want either of ability or good will to work in it. Our funds are as yet equal to our expenditure, but it is much to be wished that some means could be devised of increasing them, as without a larger income we can hardly procure the plans, drawings, books, &c., needed to render us a body really and effectually beneficial to the interests of archaeological and natural science."
Mr. R. Badcock presented the Treasurer's Report, an abstract of which is subjoined, viz.:

The Treasurer in Account with the Somerset Archaeological and Natural History Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr.</th>
<th>1853.</th>
<th>£</th>
<th>s.</th>
<th>d.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33 18 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>316 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 18 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 18 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 17 9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 8 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44 7 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 16 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 8 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8 10 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 1 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 15 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 10 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

£ 354 18 0

R. G. Badcock, Treasurer.

Dr. Sheppard, of Frome, read a paper on the Connection between Archaeology and Natural Science.

Mr. H. G. Tomkins read a paper on Anglo-Saxon and German Romanesque Architecture.

The Rev. W. H. Turner read a paper upon the Churches of Normandy.

The Rev. W. A. Jones, Secretary to the Society, read a paper on the Battle of Llongborth, which is given in Part II.

The meeting then adjourned to the church, the architectural peculiarities of which, as well as of the ancient school-room attached, were ably pointed out by Mr. John Batten, jun.
The morning meeting was followed by the Ordinary, which was well attended.

The Evening Meeting.

Mr. James Yates, F.R.S., delivered an address upon the Botanical and other Natural Productions of Australia, which he illustrated with many specimens.

Mr. Charles Moore read a paper on Fossil Infusoria.

Mr. Street read a paper on Palaeography.

Mr. J. Batten read a paper on the manner in which Sequestrations were carried out by the Parliament during the Civil War, which is given in Part II.

The Rev. F. Warre, Secretary to the Society, read a paper upon Taunton Castle, which is given in Part II.

The company then adjourned to the ante-room, where refreshments had been provided by the Portreeve and other inhabitants of the town of Yeovil.

Second Day.

Wednesday, September 14th, 1853.

The proceedings were resumed shortly after nine o'clock, when the chair was taken by F. H. Dickinson, Esq., the High Sheriff of the county, in the absence of Mr. Pinney.

Mr. Walter, of Pyrcombe Hill, read a paper on Hamdon Hill, which is given in Part II.

After a few notes by Mr. Freeman, of the Architectural
Remains about to be visited, had been read by Mr. Warre, the company proceeded on an Excursion to the site of a Roman Villa, at Coker, where extensive excavations had been made for the occasion by W. Helyar, Esq., of Coker Court, and various interesting Roman remains brought to light. After this they visited Nash, Brympton (the history and architecture of which were explained by Mr. E. Batten), Odcome, Hamdon Hill, where Mr. Walter acted as leader, the Church of Stoke-sub-Hamdon, (an account of which, by Mr. Freeman, was read in the churchyard, by the Rev. F. Warre,) and the Priory and Church of Montacute. The company were afterwards hospitably entertained in the beautiful Elizabethan mansion, the residence of W. Phelips, Esq.

At the Evening Meeting a paper, by Mr. Freeman, on the Architecture of the Neighbourhood of Yeovil, was read by Mr. Warre, which is given in Part II.

After a short address on Roman Antiquities from Mr. Yates, and a few words on the discoveries at Worle Hill, from Mr. Warre, the meeting closed.

The Museum.

The Museum formed a very attractive feature at the meeting. A portion of the Hall having been divided off by a partition, the various articles contributed were arranged in excellent order by the Curator of the Society, Mr. Baker, assisted by several persons who very kindly volunteered their services. The circular issued by the Honorary Secretary of the Local Committee having been very cordially responded to by the ladies and
gentlemen resident in the town and neighbourhood, the Museum was well furnished. Among the most attractive and interesting objects contributed were the following by George Harbin, Esq., of Newton House:—A Deed of Grant out of the Exchequer, under the Broad Seal of England, by Charles II., of Annuities of £200 each for their respective lives, to Rachel and Frances Wyndham, daughters of Sir Francis Wyndham, of Trent, on the petition of his wife, Lady Anne Wyndham, and on the surrender of an annuity for her life of £400 granted by Charles II., to the said Lady Anne Wyndham in the 19th year of his reign, in consideration, as recited in the said Deed, of the good and faithful service performed by Lady Anne Wyndham, in being instrumental to his preservation after the battle of Worcester. Date of Deed 34th year of the reign of Charles II. A Cap worn, and a Knife used, by Charles II., whilst in concealment at Sir Francis Wyndham's, at Trent, in the county of Somerset, after the battle of Worcester, September 3rd, 1651. A Medal of Charles I., and Henrietta, his wife, belonging to the late Sir Thomas Wyndham, Bart., father of Sir Francis Wyndham, of Trent. A Portrait (in distemper) of Elizabeth Woodville, wife of Edward IV., Date 1461. A Drinking Cup, with part of a Buck's horn, found in a field at Stoford, in Barwick, belonging to Mr. Harbin, in 1826, in a stone vault hewn in the solid rock, and covered with a rough stone slab, three feet in width and four feet in depth, containing a human skeleton, placed in a sitting posture with the drinking cup on one side and the Deer's horn on the other, near which another vault was opened, containing the skeleton of a horse; at a little distance another very large vault was discovered, containing an immense quantity of human bones, mixed with earth and stones, the covers being broken by
the pressure of the superincumbent mass of earth, from five to six feet in thickness.—Mr. R. SHOUT contributed some fine Rubbings of Sepulchral Brasses from Westminster.—A curious Carved Oak Panel, representing Abraham offering up his son Isaac, and which for many years adorned the kitchen of the Old Angel Inn, Yeovil, was exhibited by Mr. H. M. WATTS.—Another very fine piece of carved work was contributed by the Rev. J. WILLIAMS.—Mr. DOWTY, of Bridgwater, sent a great variety of antique curiosities.—Mr. ALFRED A. CLARKE, artist, of Taunton, exhibited a Portfolio of Original Sketches in pencil outline, of Somersetshire subjects; and a Portfolio of Pencil Drawings of mediæval remains, ecclesiastical, and manorial.—The Society was also indebted to Mr. JAMES YATES, F.R.S., for the exhibition of some plants of the order Cycadeæ, &c., and of a Leopard's skin, which had been worn by one of the Grandees of Abyssinia, it still being the practice in that country to wear this costume at court and on the field of battle, a costume preserved in those ancient Greek sculptures, which represent Bacchanailian processions.—A small but valuable collection of Fossils was exhibited by Mr. C. MOORE, of Ilminster. Among others, a small Teleosaurus, found in the Lias, containing in its stomach a small fish; and a series of minute shells of the family Foraminifera, from the neighbourhood of Yeovil.—Dr. SYDENHAM, of Yeovil, exhibited a fine and very perfect, though not large, specimen of the Ichthyosaurus; and his father, the Rev. J. SYDENHAM, contributed a valuable collection of Manuscript Books, comprising: 1. A large Folio MS. written in Roman letter, the Homilies of St. Chrysostom, written at the cost of Christopher Urswyhe, for the Monastery of Hales, in Gloucestershire, in the 1853*, PART I.
ninth year of the reign of Henry VIII. It is stated to have been written "Arte Petri Maji Unoculi, Teutonis Natione, Brabantine." 2. A Psalter with interlinear Commentary, written in 1514, for the Monastery of Hayles. 3, 4, 5. "Books of Horæ," one of the use of Rouen, another of that of Poictiers—all being exquisitely illuminated with delicate borders of flowers, and large drawings of sacred subjects, saints, &c. The first contains numerous entries of the Deny family, dated from 1550 to 1600. 6. A curious MS. relating to ceremonies and discipline, with forms of excommunication for a great variety of offences. 7. A MS. collection of French Poems, 13th century, in its original oak boarding. 8. A beautiful MS. of the Vulgate, 14th century.—Among the curiosities contributed by J. M. Quantock, Esq., of Norton House, were the remains of a skull dug from Ham Hill, about 30 feet below the surface.—A sword found in Sedgemoor, and supposed to have belonged to an officer engaged in battle in that locality, was contributed by Mr. Cave.—A rich collection of ancient armour was contributed by Mr. Norris of South Petherton.—Mr. G. P. Slade contributed some very beautiful little sketches of the old George Inn, Yeovil, the oriel and door of the Abbey at Nash, the Font at Bradford Church, and drawings of the Ilchester Mace, with its inscription, "Jesu de Deu Crie neme Dun et Mie."—Mr. T. Manning contributed some Rubbings from the Sepulchral Brasses of Giles Pennie and his wife in Yeovil church, and the inscription on the lectern, which has puzzled antiquaries to decipher.—Mr. Custard, junr., contributed fifteen drawings on various subjects, which were greatly admired. He also contributed a sketch of Bradford Tower, Dorset, which he presented to the Society.—Mr. Highmore

* Now deposited in the Society's Museum, at Taunton.
exhibited some Roman Coins found on Ham Hill, and on the Roman Road which runs through his property at Preston.—Mr. Warry, of East Chinnock, contributed some beautiful specimens of Moorish Pottery, and a box of Moorish Coins.—Mr. Watts, of the Mermaid Hotel, sent an ancient Roman Vase, dug up at Ham Hill some years ago; it was in a perfect state of preservation, and when discovered was filled with copper coins, chiefly of the later Roman Emperors.—Mr. Babington, of Sherborne, contributed twenty Ammonites, illustrative of the Geology of Sherborne.—Mr. W. Stuckey contributed a local curiosity, in the shape of a venerable copper tea-kettle, which was the first ever used in the parish of Muchelney; and some antique silver spoons, date of 1673.—Rev. G. Fagan, Rector of Kingweston, exhibited some very rare and interesting curiosities; a Monumental Tablet from Thebes, in Egypt, of the date of the Ptolemies, representing the judgment of the deceased.—Mr. Britton, of Butleigh, exhibited the figure from a crucifix, and a death’s head, (both in Ivory), found in the old chapel of the Magdalen Alms House, at Glastonbury; an old glass, containing a crucifix in wood, and other figures, many years in the possession of a family at Kingsdon; and Fossils.—Mr. E. Batten contributed a curious sketch of Porter’s Tomb, Rampisham, with the bas-relief representing the murder of Thomas a’ Becket.—Mr. Alfred Gillett, a case of shells, &c.—Mr. Vining showed four fine specimens of conglomerated fossils, dug up in Marston Magna. Two of these were cut and beautifully polished. Also, a turtle stone (polished), found in the Backwater, at Weymouth.—Mr. W. Fooks, of Sherborne, contributed a carved stone cross, with eight carved figures, representing the Crucifixion, Virgin, &c. This is a very curious and interesting piece of sculpture,
and probably formed the top of a churchyard cross.—Mr. Arnold Coles contributed a very fine collection of medals; and other objects of interest.—Mr. J. Pyne, of Somerton, presented a very perfect figure of Hercules, found in the ruins of Corteia.—Mr. Rawlins showed a number of Ammonites, highly polished.—Mr. Swatridge contributed some very beautiful Mosaic slabs.—A Case of Birds from the neighbourhood of Yeovil, was sent by Mr. Seward.

In addition to the above, many other contributions were forwarded from the neighbourhood, and a considerable number of articles were sent up from the Society's Museum, at Taunton.

The following contributions to the Museum of the Society have been received during the year 1853:

A Bust of Abraham Reed.—Jonathan Toogood, Esq., M.D.

Sticcado Pastorale, a musical instrument, from Switzerland; Part of Deer's Horn, from Westhay Moor.—Rev. W. Phelps.

Twelve Saxon Coins, from Wedmore Churchyard.—Through R. P. Edwards, Esq.

A Painted Wooden Mask from New Zealand; A pair of Buffalo Horns from South Africa; Jaws of Ichthyosaurus.—C. H. Cornish, Esq.

A remarkably fine specimen of the Actiniformis, or Mushroom Coral.—Through Dr. Falconer.

Psalm Book, dated 1636; Stuffed specimen of Young Fawn; Specimen of Gorgonia Flabellum; Stuffed specimen of Raven.—Mr. C. Bluett.

Rubbing from Brass, at Weston-upon-Thames.—F. W. Newton, Esq.
Four Rubbings from Brasess, at Westminster.—R. H. Shout, Esq.

Impression of Luther's Seal.—Mr. W. C. Ball.

Pamphlet on the lowest Fossiliferous Beds of North Wales.—J. W. Salter, Esq.

Caffir Spear.—Rev. J. E. Lance.

Two pamphlets on the Classification of Celts.—Dr. Hugo.

New Zealand Rug.—Miss Grosvenor.

Barnes' Poems in the Dorset dialect.—Miss Pinney.

Two casts of Seals of the Haviland family, dated 1261, and 1370.—A. Haviland, Esq.

Casts of Celt moulds.—James Yates, Esq.

New Zealand Wrapper.—R. M. King, Esq.

Cannon Ball, from Sedgemoor.—R. Walter, Esq.

Description of Fossil Skull of an Ox, with a Geological Sketch of the River Avon, in which it was found. Lithographed Signatures of Members of British Association, met at Cambridge, 1833.—Mr. F. May.

Burmese Dresses, Sword, Manuscript, Poisoned Arrows, and Shoes; a Malay Crease, Antelope's Horns, Elephant's Tooth, &c.—The Misses Roberts.

Pilgrimages to St. Mary of Walsingham, and St. Thomas of Canterbury.—By Post. Donor unknown.

A Sikh Matchlock and Accoutrements; Flying Squirrel; Flying Fish; Antelope's Horns; Tinder Box, from Chinese Tartary; Burmese Idol.—Captain Nisbet.

Polished Slab, from Mendip.—Mr. Swatridge.

Head of Dorset Ram.—Mr. Verrier.

Pottery, Coins, &c., &c., from Worle Hill.—Rev. F. Warre.

Large Collection of Egyptian and other Antiquities; Books, Drawings, &c., &c.—T. Dawson, Esq.
The following have been deposited as Loans:
A collection of Australian Plants.—
Rev. W. P. Trevelyan.
Twelve Drawings of Roman Pavements.—
J. W. King, Esq.
A collection of Somersetshire Bats, &c.—
W. Baker, Esq.

Bibliotheca Somersetensis.

THE Committee are desirous of collecting materials for a complete List of all Books, Tracts, or Manuscript Documents relating to, or published in, Somersetshire, or written by natives of the county; and they would feel obliged if members or others would supply them with the following particulars of any they may know.

Name of the Author.

Title in full, with the publisher, date, and size.

Public or Private Library, where a copy exists.
Conversazione Meetings.

Third Season.

At the Conversazione Evening Meetings of the Society, held at the Museum, in Taunton, during the winter of 1852-53, Papers on the following subjects were read.

1852, November—1st Meeting.

On the Antiquities of Taunton; by the Rev. F. Warre.

A Phrenological description of a Skull; by Mr. R. Walter.

On the Camp on Worle Hill; by the Rev. F. Warre.

December—2nd Meeting.

On Electricity; by W. Metford, Esq., M.D.

On the Life of St. Thomas a' Beckett; by Mr. E. Batten.

On the Geology of Somerset; by the Rev. W. Phelps.

1853, January—3rd Meeting.

On the Formation of Peat Bogs and Turbaries; by the Rev. W. Phelps.

On Change; by Mr. Andrew Crosse.

On Clouds; by Mr. C. N. Welman.

On the Monastic Establishments of Taunton; by the Rev. F. Warre.
1853, *February*—4th Meeting.


On the Tides of the Bristol Channel; by the Rev. W. Phelps.

" *March*—5th Meeting.

On Ecclesiastical Architecture; by the Rev. T. L. Petit.

On Egyptian Hieroglyphics; by the Rev. W. R. Crotch.


" *April*—Extra Meeting.

On Electricity; by W. Metford, Esq., M.D.

On Tower Architecture; by Mr. C. E. Giles.

On Kingston Church; by the Rev. Eccles J. Carter.
I AM truly sorry that I am unable to attend this year, as I have had great pleasure in doing for two years past, at the Annual Meeting of the Somersetshire Archæological Society. I have indeed no doubt that my time will be as agreeably and as profitably spent at that of the Cambrian Association: I only regret that the arrangements of the two Societies should interfere with one another, or that I am not invested with the privilege of ubiquity, which would enable me to attend both. But though I believe the Brecknockshire Beacons are visible from some parts of the county of Somerset, yet the towns of Brecon and Yeovil are sufficiently distant from one another to render it impossible to read Papers at both on the same day, and
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not altogether convenient to do so even within the same week. So then, as Brecon was an engagement on my part of older standing, I am reluctantly compelled to absent myself entirely from your proceedings of this year, and to leave my annual contribution to your volume to be laid before you by a very efficient deputy.

In my two former Papers I have said nearly all I have to say about the Perpendicular of Somerset, passing but cursorily over the remains of the earlier styles. But as Yeovil possesses in its neighbourhood some of the best specimens of the latter class, a Yeovil meeting seemed a good opportunity for attempting a somewhat more attentive consideration of them. But I do not mean to confine myself very pedantically to the immediate neighbourhood of the town, though I will promise not to require you to follow me all the way to Bath at one end or to Minehead at the other. I may here mention that the very best architectural day's work which I ever remember to have done, was one which had Yeovil for its starting point. Montacute, Stoke Hameldon, Martock, Kingsbury, Muchelney and Huish, form a perhaps unparalleled succession of attractive objects, both ecclesiastical and domestic. Nor was my next day's work of Langport, Long Sutton, Somerton, and Huish again, at all contemptible, although hardly to be compared with the former. Many of the results of those two days I have already laid before the Society; others I have reserved for the present occasion. With numerous examples I have made acquaintance during the present month, under the auspices of Mr. Dickinson and Mr. Fagan, as I did with others two years ago under those of Mr. Warre and Mr. Giles.

The first thing that strikes the observer in the earlier
churches of Somerset, is the universal absence of aisles; the second is the frequent presence of transepts; the third is the octagonal form not uncommonly given to the towers. I have alluded to all of these in my previous papers; but I will now comment on them a little more at length. I travelled from Burnham to Kingweston, and made two considerable excursions thence, both in the direction of Yeovil, without seeing a single church with regular aisles, but cross churches of every variety I found in abundance. In fact I think I may safely say that the occurrence of aisles in a Somersetshire church earlier than the Perpendicular period is something quite exceptional, unless in the case of quite large buildings, like St. Cuthbert's at Wells. But transepts occur extensively, even when the tower is not central. Sometimes we find an original central tower, or a later one which evidently replaces an original one; sometimes a side tower forming one transept; often a grand Perpendicular tower has been added; sometimes the church has remained without a tower to this day. But under all these modifications, the cross form still remains the typical ground-plan of the district and period. The use of the octagonal tower, as was first pointed out to me by Mr. Giles, stretches over a long narrow line of country from about Taunton nearly to the eastern boundary of the county. As far as I have seen, I regard it as the distinctive Somersetshire steeple of early times, just as the grand western tower is of later. It has often been raised in Perpendicular times, it may occasionally be of Perpendicular erection from its foundation, but in all such cases it is evidently a mere retention of an earlier practice; it never catches the true Perpendicular character; it may have Perpendicular belfry windows, but it always remains in its essential conception, a work of an earlier period. Also its proper
position is only less regularly, either central or lateral, than that of the fully developed Perpendicular tower is invariably western. Even the square western tower was rarely used; the common alternatives seem to have been a central tower of either form, a lateral octagon, or no tower at all. We have seen how often the earlier type of Somersetshire influenced the later, but no two types can well be more opposite to one another, in the more fully developed specimens of each. And the earlier type of which I am speaking is not spread over the whole county. For instance, I do not call to mind an instance of it north of Mendip; that is, not of its most distinctive characters, for cross churches with central towers of course occasionally occur, as at Yatton and Whitchurch.

These Somersetshire octagons have a very peculiar character, and it may be worth while to compare them with those which occur in another region, where the octagonal form is also frequent, namely, Northamptonshire. Two marked differences strike at once; the Somersetshire octagon is a sign of early work; that of Northamptonshire is generally late; the Somersetshire octagon is the tower itself assuming the octagonal form; the Northamptonshire is an addition made to a square tower, which might exist without it, or at most an altered shape given to its upper portion. Stanwick is the only case which occurs to me of a tower at once of early date and octagonal from the base. The Somersetshire octagon again is, when most distinctive, central or lateral, while the Northamptonshire octagon is invariably western, and often supports a spire.

It may be worth while, as the examples in the two districts are not positively very numerous, to compare them a little in detail. I have said that in the Somersetshire
octagons, it is the tower itself which assumes the octagonal form, while in Northamptonshire the octagon is only part of the tower, or even distinctly an addition to it. This is true, although there is only one Somersetshire octagon which I have seen, that at Barton St. David's, which is octagonal from the ground, and that of course only on the side away from the church. The central octagons of North Curry and Stoke St. Gregory have indeed no square base appearing above the roof, and so may come under the same head; that at South Petherton I have not yet had the good luck to see. But the lateral octagons of Somerton and Bishop's Hull, and the western ones of Ilchester, and Puddimore Milton, all rise from a square base rising to about the height of the church, or nearly so. Yet every one would call these octagonal towers: even at Somerton, where the square base rises to a greater height than in the others, it is the octagonal form which determines the character of the tower. In short, in Somersetshire the square is a mere base to the octagon, while in Northamptonshire the octagon is a mere finish to the square. Thus at Irthlingborough, at Luffwick, and at Fotheringhamhay, the octagon is added to a square tower of considerable height, and rises from within the distinct parapet and pinnacles of such square tower. The square tower of Luffwick, rising two good stages above the roof, would be an amply sufficient steeple without the octagon; in the other two cases the square tower alone would be rather low, but still it is distinctly finished. At Fotheringhamhay this is still more marked than in the other cases, as it has not those enormous pinnacles, which at Luffwick receive the flying-buttresses of the octagon. At Wilby, where the octagon supports a spire, the former is indeed taken out of the height of the tower, of which it forms the
belfry-stage; but still the square portion rises a whole stage above the roof of the church, and has its own parapet, pinnacles, and flying-buttresses. At Nassington the belfry-stage itself suddenly becomes octagonal at about half its height. At Barnack, the octagon, an Early Gothic one, is added to the old Saxon tower, or possibly has supplanted its belfry-stage. Still the latter rises a stage above the church, and the octagon, as at Nassington, is merely a base for the spire. At Milton Malsor the spire and its octagonal base are such mere additions to the predominant square tower, that I had almost forgotten to include this example in my list. At Helpstone alone have I found a Northamptonshire tower on the Somersetshire model; here the square base is of the height of the church, where it turns into an octagon of two stages, very like Ilchester or Puddimore, save that it again supports within its parapet a dwarf octagon and spire. But even here, where the octagon is decidedly itself the tower, and not a mere finish to the square, I suspect that before the existing clerestory was added, the original roof abutted wholly against the square portion, whereas at Puddimore, and still more at Ilchester, it comes up against the octagon.

Of distinctive detail I have not observed much in these earlier churches, except an elegant practice, not indeed altogether distinctive of Somersetshire, though certainly far more common there than elsewhere, that of foliating the rear-arches of windows. I was glad to find that my friends who are rearing the graceful new church at Kingweston have introduced this beautiful local feature: I could wish they had also preferred the local coved ceiling to a form which, though good in itself, belongs to Sussex and not to Somerset.

I will now mention those churches of the district and
period on which I am engaged which struck me as most worthy of notice, adding some brief account of those domestic buildings in which this region is so singularly rich. I shall ask my hearers to accompany me on a somewhat long circuit,—an imaginary journey, in fact, which I have patched up out of four or five real ones. I will suppose you then to have diligently studied Yeovil church, with the criticisms which I offered on it last year in your hands, as they may be found in the Society's last published volume. I thence ask you to accompany me first to Brimpton. I do not quite know how to take you from Yeovil, as I myself reached the place from quite another direction; but I will suppose you somehow conveyed (with the Rector's leave, if it would involve a trespass) to the spot just in front of the parsonage. From that point, one of the most striking architectural groups I know will be seen lying in the hollow beneath. A large and stately mansion, a house of humbler pretensions, and the parish church, all lie close together, and all are worthy of attentive study. The church is small, and was originally a Decorated cross church, without aisles or tower. The south transept, with a beautiful Geometrical window to the south, and a foliated arch connecting it with the nave; the foliated south door, and a piscina in what was the north transept, are all pleasing examples of that style, and enable us to form a good notion of a Somersetshire church of the earlier period. But some benefactor of Perpendicular times, some inhabitant doubtless of the adjoining mansion, whose name and exact date some local antiquary will, I doubt not, be able to supply,* founded a chantry for three priests. He

* It appears, from Mr. Batten's account, that the architectural changes were all made about the same time, in the reign of Henry VII., by a benefactor of the name of Sydenham; but that the original foundation of the chantry was due to an earlier family, named D'Everey, temp. Edward I.
built for their dwelling-place the house which still remains on the north side of the churchyard, and modified the church to adapt it to his purpose. He made an eastern addition to the north transept, and altered the direction of its gable, so as to give it the external appearance of an aisle, while internally it makes two chapels, the south transept being doubtless the third. A stone roodscreen, that uncommon feature in a parish church, must date from the same period; so also must the western bell-cot of a very distinctive character, a wiser addition, I think, than either a meagre tower, which would have been of no beauty in itself, or a magnificent one, which would have destroyed the beauty of the rest of the church. I cannot speak with equal praise of the addition of a flat panelled ceiling, which, though very good in itself, cuts off the head of the beautiful south window. The chantry house is an oblong Perpendicular building of two stages, chiefly remarkable for the octagonal turret which gives access to the upper one, which is so large as to have quite the air of an oriel. A good open roof and some fine plaster ceilings of later date, will be found above. The great house, to which the chantry house now forms a horticultural appendage, presents a west front of great splendour, which is throughout essentially of good Perpendicular architecture, though extensive portions have been altered in later styles. The north-west portion is untouched, and presents a magnificent display of oriels, turrets, chimneys, and open battlements. The central part, containing the hall, has been altered in Elizabethan times, but it retains its original basement, and a curious kind of oriel, which, now at least, acts also as a porch.* The south part has been still more

* Mr. Batten says this oriel was added in 1722. I should like to look at it again; but, speaking without book, I should have thought this was rather the date when the door, which looks like an interpolation, was cut through.
recently altered in an Italianizing style, in which also a grand southern porch has been added, but the walls are original, as the chimney and some of the windows testify. These are the main features of the exterior; its internal arrangements I must leave to some more favoured visitor than myself to describe.

From Brimpton I must conduct my party up a hill to Odcombe, a church which forms a very prominent object in the landscape. It is a church with the tower placed as at Iffley, and the outline is very good. Its most important portions have been remodelled in Perpendicular, but a careful examination will soon show that it is a mere recasting of an Early English building. We now descend, and in a little time find ourselves in the village of Montacute, where a rich store of antiquities is gathered under the shadow of the hills. To the church I have already had occasion to allude, on account of the excellent Perpendicular tower which has been added to its west end. But the church itself is essentially one of the earlier type; indeed it contains earlier work than any we have seen, having a good, though plain, Norman chancel arch of three orders. The greater part of the church seems to belong to the turning point between Early English and Decorated; the south transept arch belongs rather to the former style, the north to the latter; the windows in both and also in the chancel are Geometrical. Probably all are parts of one renovation, between the accomplishment of whose several portions a good deal of time was allowed to elapse. Few villages, few towns even, are richer than Montacute in domestic architecture. Besides the well-known Elizabethan mansion, some excellent remains of the Priory exist near the church. These consist of a gateway and some adjoining domestic buildings. The very fine Perpendicular gateway,
with its oriel and bold staircase-turret, has rather a collegiate than a monastic look. Its general character and its position with regard to the other buildings reminded me much more of several gateways in Oxford than of any other conventual gateway I recollect. There are also scattered about the village streets several other houses, with orielts and the like, which seem to date from tolerable Perpendicular times.

We next come to the church of Stoke Hambdon, that temple of strange destinies, which, as local tradition asserts, "was built for the Roman Catholics, but was never occupied by them." The points of ecclesiastical history involved in this curious statement, I shall leave others to decide; I shall content myself with attempting to fix the age of the erection of its several parts, without striving to discover how far the authors of each of them held that the Bishop of Rome had or had not any jurisdiction in this realm of England. The original church was Norman, and probably consisted of a nave and chancel only; of this fabric we find remains of the north and south doorways, and also the extremely fine chancel arch. This last is profusely enriched, and there is a peculiarity in its soffit, to which is attached a heavy roll, running continuously round, with only a small band ranging with the neckmoulding of the shafts. The Early English period rebuilt or remodelled the chancel and added transepts. The northern one, as I mentioned in my last year's paper, forms the tower. It is a plain, bold, massive structure, with a belfry stage of exquisite masonry, with two lancets in each face. Within it exhibits a fine specimen of vaulting, rising from shafts with floriated capitals and octagonal abaci. The south transept is later, approaching the Decorated style; it has a noble range of trefoil lancets on each side, and similar ones occur
in the chancel. We must also remark the cinquefoiled piscinas, which are placed in an unusual, though not quite unique, way across the angle both of the chancel and transept. Of complete Decorated work we have the large vaulted porch, with an unusually large window in its parvise, and whose vault cuts through the original Norman doorway. There are some other insertions of windows of this date, two of which on the south side, including the south window of the transept, are designed in evident adaptation to the Early English ones in their immediate neighbourhood. In the porch, as was just mentioned, and at the west end, the architect did not consider himself thus bound by precedent, and employed the large traceried window, in this case of the Reticulated variety, more usual in his time. The Perpendicular age did little beyond lowering the roofs of the nave and south transept, and embattling the walls of the former. A few windows were inserted, including a large one in the south wall of the nave, which involved the destruction of the original entrance on that side, in lieu of which apparently a doorway was now inserted in the west front. I know of few churches, great or small, more interesting than this of Stoke Hameldon. In this one little building we find specimens of all the principal æras of our national architecture, of which the two earlier dates supply thoroughly good and typical examples. The Norman chancel arch, the tower, the ranges of lancets, are equal to anything of their respective classes with which I am acquainted, and the Decorated and Perpendicular insertions though not of equal merit, are by no means contemptible. Nor are the architectural attractions of the place confined to the church; there are the remains of a considerable mansion, to which however, I shall make but a sorry guide, as I have by me, nothing better than a general picturesque view of its exterior.
If my company are wiser or more fortunate than I was, they will now diverge to South Petherton, a place to which I can only act as a finger-post, and shall be happy to receive their report of the central octagon when they rejoin me at Martock. Here however I shall have no great occasion to linger; we have only to mark the beautiful eastern quintuplet, and to express a wish that this, as well as the east windows at Yeovil and Burnham, may all experience a speedy unblocking. We must however also cast a glance on the Decorated house, recently illustrated in Mr. Parker's beautiful volume on Domestic Architecture. At Muchelney we shall find the ruins of the Abbey, which I should very much like to inspect again more at leisure than I was able to do the only time I saw them. But I remember a beautiful Perpendicular cloister, and that the domestic buildings seemed to be built up against the west end of the church in an unusual manner.

Huish Episcopi I must put to a strange use. I feel half inclined, as I contemplate that glorious tower even in no better representation than my own drawing, to renew my old fight with Mr. Ruskin, to point to those gradually ascending buttresses—I beg pardon, crutches—those bands of foliation, those magnificent windows with their delicate screens of open work, and that imperial diadem of battlements and pinnacles, and ask of the reviler of England's noblest glories, whether this too is an "ugly church tower," a specimen of "savage Gothic" or "detestable Perpendicular." I am even tempted to break a lance with my respected friend Mr. Warre as to the "principle of spire-growth," only that I am somewhat mollified by finding that he agrees with myself in placing even glorious Huish after still more glorious Wrington. But my present business is not with this magnificent tower, but with the poor little church to which it forms so wonderful an excrescence. The
Architecture of Neighbourhood of Yeovil. 13

Church has been much altered by Perpendicular architects, but it is evident that it was previously one of the small cruciform churches of the district. A Norman doorway to the south marks the original foundation of the church; a Decorated window to the north, the probable addition of the transepts. But of these, the northern one alone retains its natural shape; its southern fellow has been enlarged into a sort of imperfect aisle, not only externally, as at Brimpton, but within also; so that this church now contains a pillar, a feature not generally found in churches of this type, and here due only to later alterations.

An exception to this last remark will be found in the next stage of our journey, namely, at Somerton. The church of this little town exhibits the type of which we have been treating developed to an unusual scale; besides the transepts, of which the southern one forms a tower, we find a nave with arcades and aisles of the Decorated period. We must confess that the grand attraction of Somerton, its magnificent tie-beam roof, is the addition of a later age, and that the Decorated arcades, with their plain octagonal pillars, are of little value or beauty; but the tower is an excellent study of the octagon of the district, slightly modified by the addition of a stair-turret to its whole height, and there are some good Decorated windows, especially a very elegant two-light Arch and Foil one in the north transept. At Charlton Mackrell is a cross church of very pleasing outline, with a central tower. The actual building is mostly Perpendicular, but the Decorated north transept, with its extremely fine north window of five lights, a Geometrical skeleton filled up with Flowing patterns, proves the existence of a cruciform church in earlier times. The trefoil doorway on the north side of the chancel should also be noticed.
I am not quite certain whither I ought now to direct your steps. You must not omit the grand Perpendicular house at Lytes Carey, with its Decorated chapel, retained from an earlier mansion, its noble hall, with its poor windows and fine open roof; its porch, its oriels, its state rooms with their rich ceilings and panelling of later date, and a small feature which attracted my attention in no slight degree, a door-screen enriched with linen pattern and a crest of Tudor flower. Compare the eastern and southern fronts of Lytes Carey; one a mass of gables and projections, the other a perfect flat, broken only by the central oriels; the chapel attached at one end; something so wholly distinct as in no wise to invade its uniformity. Here is a clear lesson that the picturesque effect of a Gothic building is not to be sought by a conscious striving after irregularity, by accumulating a gable here, a turret here, a chimney there, but by making each portion of the building serve its own purpose, and tell its own tale. A hall, a chapel, a porch,—a journey to Glastonbury might perhaps teach us to add, a kitchen,—must stand forth as distinct portions with distinct roofs; but mere ranges of ordinary rooms need not be gabled and gabled from a mere abstract love of gabling. If we are to pick holes, it might be deemed a fault at Lytes Carey that the hall does not tell its tale till we get within the quadrangle, and that in the south front, the magnificent parapet of the oriel seems to make something of the kind felt as lacking along the whole extent of the wall.

Lytes Carey must, undoubtedly, be seen, and yet I want to convey my party, though it is a long way from Yeovil and trenching on the jurisdiction of Glastonbury, to the newly restored church of Butleigh. This was a church of the same plan as Odcombe; transepts have recently been
added, which seems to me to be the most natural mode of enlargement, if enlargement were necessary. Now, as I want you to be on the whole pleased with this restoration, I must ask you not to look at the monuments in these same transepts, much less to read the very blank verse which is written upon one of them. Come into the chancel, and see a Somersetshire roof restored as it ought to be, the good old coved ceiling boarded, and its eastern bay richly painted; here we have the best of all substitutes for a vault, indeed it is a barrel vault in wood. Turn round then, and judge how far superior the genuine local ecclesiastical roof is to the hall roofs which have been allowed to intrude into the other parts of the church.

We may now turn our face slightly Yeovil-wards, and take in succession three octagonal towers, Barton St. David’s, Puddimore, and Ilchester. I have alluded to all of them before; Barton has its tower lateral and octagonal from the ground, the others are western, and set on square bases. Barton has also some good examples of the foliated rear arch, and is altogether a picturesque and pleasing little church. I would however suggest that the individual playing on a harp, depicted on the western gallery, seems to betoken a slight confusion between the Archbishop of Menevia, who, as I conceive, is the David from whom Barton takes its name, and the homonymous King of Israel. Get rid of the gallery, and the false hagiology will go with it. To return to architecture, the octagons at Ilchester and Puddimore do well to compare together, especially in the different ways in which they are connected with the square base. There is something ingenious about the Puddimore device, but the simpler arrangements at Ilchester better please the eye. I also prefer the more massive proportions of its untouched Early English tower,
to the superadded Perpendicular stage at Puddimore. I cannot say much for the two churches; neither have any original aisles or transepts; Ilchester, however, has a late chapel added to the north, which tries to be very fine, but hardly succeeds. The incipient Geometrical east window of Ilchester is the best thing in either of them. Chilthorne Dormer is a little church which took my fancy greatly, with its quaint bell-cot, like that at Brimpton somewhat enlarged. It has an east window, like Ilchester, and some other pretty details. Thorn Coffin is hardly worth stopping for, except because it has a bell-gable. These three are the only instances I have yet seen in Somerset, though there may doubtless be others. Numerous as are the cases in which the original church was towerless, in every other instance which has come within my knowledge, some subsequent benefactor has been found to supply the deficiency.

My long circuit is now accomplished, but I cannot help stepping beyond its limits to mention again a few churches to which I have already alluded, and a few that I have not mentioned. Trent has a noble example of a lateral tower and spire; it is balanced to the north by what I might call a transept, were it not gabled to the east. The cruciform church of Ditcheat retains in its chancel, modified as it is, a fine series of Decorated windows with the foliated rear-arch. North Curry and Stoke St. Gregory I cannot allude to too often as most instructive examples of the central octagon. At Woolavington may be seen the comparatively rare feature of lateral triplets in the chancel. This church had a western chapel, now destroyed, beyond its western tower. Bawdrip is a good specimen of a simple cross church with a central tower; Othery gives the old arrangement modi-
fied only by its Perpendicular tower; while Middlezoy retains as beautiful a series of Geometrical windows as is easily found in Somerset or elsewhere. My journey homewards, my revisitings of Glastonbury and Wells and Wrington and Yatton, my introduction to Chewton and Blagdon towers, to Harptree spire, and the Norman interior of Compton Martin, I must keep for another occasion, or at least not trouble you with at present.
At the commencement of the eighth century, about one hundred and fifty years had elapsed, since Cerdic, landing at Cerdicshore, probably on the coast of Hampshire, had laid the foundation of the West Saxon Kingdom. During this interval, under the rule of able and warlike Sovereigns that state had gradually increased in power and importance, and at the time of which we speak under the auspices of the brave and wise Ina, was rapidly progressing to that superiority over the other states of the Heptarchy, which enabled Egbert and his successors to assume the supreme government, and eventually to become sole monarchs of the Anglo-Saxon nation. The Saxons, at first a race of heathen savages, as fierce and barbarous as ever laid waste a Christian and civilized country, had evinced a wonderful aptitude for improvement and government. No longer heathens, their kings and chiefs had become, in most cases, zealous, though perhaps ignorant Christians; while the laws of Ina, still extant, furnish ample proof that while carrying on a desperate contest during two centuries, with the Romano-British inhabitants of the
island, this energetic and intellectual, though, undoubtedly, fierce and sensual race, had not neglected the improvements of domestic civilization or the science of civil government. But though thus powerful and increasing, the kingdom of Wessex, particularly on its western side, was anything but secure. On the heights of Quantock, Bleadon, and Brendon, as well as in the fastnesses of Exmoor, the Bretwallas, or British Welsh, as they are called by the Saxon historians, still held their own; while the whole of Devon and Cornwall was still inhabited by the descendants of those Danmonii, who had resisted the invasion of the men of Galedin, generally known as the Belge, and now improved by an admixture of Roman blood, and rendered formidable by the remains of Roman discipline, maintained an almost unceasing warfare against the usurping Saxons, under the command of their daring leader, Geraint, prince or regulus of Cornwall; and it was for the purpose of checking their inroads, and strengthening his western frontier, that Ina, in the year 702, determined to build a fortress on the site of the present Castle of Taunton.

In order fully to appreciate the advantages of this situation, it is necessary to consider the changes which a period of more than eleven hundred years has worked on the face of the country. To a person looking towards the south from Plais-street, the name of which implies that a road existed there in Roman times, the view presents a tract of highly cultivated and richly wooded land, extending in undulating beauty to the base of the Blackdown hills, which, with the bold height of Neroche, form on this side the outline of the picture, sinking with a gradual and easy slope from the high ground at Wilton, on the right, to the level plain, which extends towards Bridgwater, on the left; in the foreground stands the town of Taunton, conspicuous for
its beautiful towers, between which and the spectator the Thone winds its slow course towards the Parret, through a narrow level of fertile and verdant meadows. But at the beginning of the eighth century this beautiful vale must have been occupied in great measure by the primavval Forest of elm, on which the Saxon husbandman was only beginning to make impression. Here and there might be seen the ruins of earlier civilization, the broken walls of Roman villas, and spaces cleared for cultivation, by those whom the barbarous invaders had exterminated or reduced to slavery. The Thone untrammeled by lock or weir, was then a rapid and shallow stream, which, beginning to lose the speed with which it had hurried from the western hills, pursued a more winding and deeper course as it passed between the thickets of alder and willow, which then covered the western part of the marsh. At the confluence of a small stream, flowing from the south with the river, a little to the right of the spectator, was a small space of ground, slightly elevated above the marshy level, affording a dry and firm situation for the intended castle; protected on the north and west by the river and stream, and at a distance from the higher ground sufficient, in those days, to prevent its fortifications from being dangerously overlooked. Here it was that Ina built his castle, constructed, no doubt, like other Saxon strongholds, chiefly, if not entirely of wood, and consisting of little more than a strong palisade of wooden beams, surrounded by a moat, and containing the hall and other buildings which the simple habits of those days required to form a residence fit for a warlike monarch. Here he is said frequently to have resided; and here it is not improbable that he compiled that code of laws which has done more to render his name illustrious than either his wars or his pious liberality, though the
first greatly tended to the consolidation of the West Saxon Kingdom, while to the latter the Cathedral of Wells, and the English College, founded by him at Rome, bear ample testimony. But this Castle, in spite of its advantageous situation both for security and political purposes, was not destined to be of long duration, for having been occupied by Ealdbert, a rebel noble, it was besieged and taken by Queen Ethelburga, and its destruction is thus briefly recorded in the Saxon Chronicle, under date, A.D., 722. "This year, Queen Ethelburga destroyed Taunton, which Ina had formerly built. Ealdbert wandered a wretched exile, in Surrey and Sussex, and Ina fought against South Saxons."

But though the castle was thus destroyed, it is probable that the town continued to flourish, for in the next reign we find that the devout Queen Frethogitha prevailed upon Ethelard to bestow the town of Taunton, then a royal residence, on the Church of Winchester; nor must it be supposed that its fortifications were altogether destroyed, for in those days no place of importance could be totally destitute of fortifications without being exposed to the constant danger of being plundered either by outlaws or more legitimate assailants. Savage, indeed, says that a new Castle was built about the time of the Norman conquest, by one of the Bishops of Winchester; and it is certainly not improbable that Walkelyn, to whom and St. Peter, the Conqueror made a particular grant of lands in the neighbourhood, might have fortified the manorial residence, a precaution which the enmity of the conquered Saxons would undoubtedly render advisable to an usurping Norman prelate. But, however this may have been, I can find no positive mention of any Castle at Taunton, from the year 722, when, as above stated, that built by Ina was
destroyed by Ethelburga, until the reign of Henry I., when William Gifford, who, as Bishop of Winchester, at that time held the lordship of the town and manor of Taunton, built a strong Castle upon the site of the Saxon fortress. From the number of documents dated at Taunton Castle, it appears to have been frequently occupied by the Bishops of Winchester, and was enlarged and strengthened by them from time to time, as their convenience or security required. In the year 1490, Bishop Langton repaired the whole building. In the year 1496 the inhabitants of Cornwall being highly irritated by the oppressive manner in which the taxes, newly imposed by Henry VII., were levied upon them, rose in resistance of them, and having taken the Castle of Taunton, cruelly murdered the Provost of Penrhyn, who had sought refuge within its walls, and the next year, under the command of Perkin Warbeck, again occupied that fortress; but upon hearing that the King was in person leading a strong army against them, evacuated the place just in time to save it from the horrors of a siege.

In the year 1577, the Castle was again repaired and altered, by Bishop Horn. The last mention of Taunton, as a place of military importance, occurs in the reign of Charles I., when it was occupied by the Parliamentarian army, and, after a short investment, taken from them by the royal forces, under the Marquis of Hertford, and was again seized by Blake, whose occupation and defence of the town and Castle against a very powerful royalist army, under Goring, is, perhaps, one of the most remarkable instances of military daring and skill recorded in the history of that eventful time. Shortly after the restoration it was dismantled, by order of Charles II.; and though parts of it are still used for civil and domestic purposes,
its fortifications being no longer required for the purposes of defence, have gradually fallen into a state of complete ruin. Time, and the still more destructive inroads of modern utilitarianism, and still worse, the vandalism of modern improvement, have well nigh obliterated the remains of this venerable abode of episcopal and feudal power. Even since I have turned my attention to its ruins some of its most interesting features have past away for ever; and it is in the hope of recording what still remains, and of preserving some idea of its original features, before every trace of its plan has vanished, that I venture to lay before this meeting the results of my investigations among the neglected fragments of Taunton Castle.

The style of fortification prevalent in Saxon times, was, as might be expected in so early a stage of society, extremely simple, consisting of little more than a deep trench, the earth from which being thrown inwards formed a high bank or agger, which was further defended by a palisade of strong wooden beams, or in some few cases of great importance, by a wall built on the top. The shape of this enclosure was usually determined by the lie of the ground on which the fortification was constructed; and such buildings of wood as were required for the convenience of the garrison were erected within. Of these castles nothing probably remains, beyond the trench and mouldering agger. But after the invasion of the Normans, a people much farther advanced in all the arts of war and peace, a massive and substantial style of fortification was introduced, many noble specimens of which have come down to our days in a state of comparatively high preservation, forming the most stately and impressive features of many of our finest castles. The most important feature of this style, was the keep, in the plan of which a remarkable uniformity prevails
both in Normandy and in this country. It was usually square or oblong; one or two squares in height, having the common flat Norman buttresses rising from a plinth, and dying into the wall a little below its summit; those at the end of each side usually join at the angles, and being carried above the top of the wall, form square turrets at the angles of the building. The openings in the lower part of the keep are mere loops, those in the upper story which contained the principal apartments, are Norman windows of the usual form, sometimes double. Whether these keeps were finished with a battlement, or plain parapet, it is impossible to decide with any degree of certainty, as those which remain are probably later additions. The entrance to the keep was, in most cases, by an arched doorway upon the first floor, near one of the corners, the staircase leading to which is contained in a smaller square tower, placed against the side of the main building. Newcastle and Castle Rising, are very fine and perfect specimens of this style of fortifications. This keep, together with the walls of the enceinte, of which in some cases it formed a part, and within which the other buildings for the accommodation of the garrison were situated, was surrounded by a moat, either wet or dry, according to the circumstances of the locality, and together with a lofty artificial mound, constituted the usual fabric of a Norman Castle. During the thirteenth century, a more scientific style of fortification was gradually introduced, in which flanking towers, enabling the garrison to defend the intermediate curtain wall from salient points, superseded the massive structures, whose passive strength had been the chief dependence of the Norman engineers; and in the reign of Edward I., the second type of English castle, known as the Edwardian, or concentric, was fully developed. We now, in place of a
solid keep, find an open quadrangle, having its sides defended by flanking towers, and its entrances by embattled gate houses; and around the quadrangle two, or even three concentric lines of defence were drawn, containing between them the same number of courts or bailies, in the inner of which were situated the principal buildings, such as the hall, chapel, &c., while the offices, stabling, and frequently the mill, occupied the middle and outer courts. The entrances were defended by gate towers, with portcullises, and drawbridges; and barbicans, or têtes-du-pont, were erected, usually of wood, outside the counter scarp of the ditch. Of this type of Castle, which is said to have been introduced by an engineer of the name of Elrington, Caernarvon, built by Edward I., furnishes a magnificent example. These are the two great types of the English Castle, and though improvements were introduced by Wykeham and others in later times, we find in almost every case the main features of these types, either separate, or when additions had been made to an original Norman Castle, very commonly combined. This appears to have been the case in Taunton Castle,—the general plan of which I will now endeavour to point out, by the help of this very excellent ground plan which I have procured for the purpose.

The stream whose confluence with the Thone marks, as I before observed, the situation of King Ina's Castle, enters the outer moat at the south western corner, and is there divided into two channels, one of which proceeds towards the river in nearly a straight course, through the garden attached to the house occupied by Mr. Channon, and passing under the road through Stevens’s Nursery-garden, falls into the Thone at the north western extremity of the slight elevation on which the Castle was built. The
defences on this side, with the exception of a mass of masonry, evidently of ancient date, at the south western corner, and another small fragment at the north side of the western gate, through which the road now leading to Wilton runs, are totally destroyed and their situation marked only by the remains of the internal agger, which was probably crowned as at Castle Rising, Cardiff, and elsewhere, by a battlemented and looped wall of moderate elevation. At the bottom of the slope between the Winchester Arms Inn and Stevens's garden, the labourers employed a few years ago in constructing a deep drain, dug up part of several large beams; these were probably the remains of a wooden barbican situated as usual outside the moat, defending the approach to the western gate, and itself commanded by the interior defences of the gate, which probably consisted of a gate house with flanking towers, all vestiges of which have, however, totally disappeared. From the south-west angle, the moat extended towards the east nearly at right angles, to the course of the brook, between the school-house and the yard of the Old Angel Inn, as far as the present Market, where it turned to the north and proceeded in nearly a straight line under the stables of Pattison's Hotel, and at the back of the houses on the western side of North Street, and joined the river, or rather mill stream, a little above the town mills. Of the defences of this side of the Castle nothing remains until we come to the eastern gate, where, though sadly disfigured by modern additions, stand the very striking remains of a very strong and handsome gate-house, the erection of which has usually been ascribed to Bishop Langton, his arms being carved on a stone inserted in the western front of the building, but which I have no hesitation in referring to the Edwardian period. Not only
are the mouldings plain massive chamfers, quite dissimilar to those of the fifteenth century used in some parts of the Castle, but the arch and the whole character of the building, as well as the windows of the chamber north of gate (now destroyed, but well represented in some old drawings which have been shewn me), are decidedly such as to lead to the conclusion that the gate-house is not later than the time of Edward III., and probably earlier than even the reign of that monarch. At this point, outside the moat, were discovered, a short time since, the foundations of some strong stone fabric; either those of a barbican, which was sometimes, though rarely, constructed of masonry, or of walls leading to the drawbridge and confining the approach to a narrow passage commanded by the gate-house; an arrangement not uncommon in Castles of the Edwardian type. On the north side, the marshy ground, the river Thone, and the ancient mill stream passing nearly close to the Castle walls, rendered any other moat quite unnecessary. At a short distance below the junction of the brook with the mill stream, at the corner of Stevens’s garden, a second moat opens upon the water, and extending round the buildings now in use, joins the external moat at the back of the Castle Inn. Whether this moat was ever deeper than it now is admits of a doubt, as there appears to have been a sort of platform on the interior side of the great moat, leading to an outwork at the north-eastern extremity of the place, nearly on a level with the bottom of the interior moat, which may perhaps mark its original depth before the construction of the outer defences; but it probably was deeper, for Sir Benjamin Hammet is said to have expended a large sum in laying out the grounds and filling up the moat, which on the western and southern sides is now occupied by gardens.
Immediately within the moat the south-eastern corner of the enclosure is occupied by an elevated rectangular platform, the sides of which, in the garden attached to Mr. Dyer's house, were, within a very few months, marked by masses of masonry, which have now given place to raspberry bushes; while that towards the moat displayed a face of undoubted Norman masonry, which has also disappeared before the unsparing march of modern improvements. From this platform a high agger extends to the north-eastern corner, where stands a mount commanding the outwork before mentioned, and the approach to the ancient mills; while along the front, defended by the mill stream, masses of very solid masonry may still be seen, but in so mutilated a condition as to defy any attempt at accurate description.

At the distance of more than twelve hundred years it would be manifestly absurd to expect any remains of a building constructed at a time when getymbrian was the word used to express building of any kind, fortifications included; but it is probable that this interior moat marks the exact site of the Castle built by Ina, and destroyed soon after by his sister, as it follows the form of the ground, and encloses the highest part of the elevated spot at the confluence of the brook with the Thone. That the platform at the south-east angle was the site of the Norman rectangular keep, does not admit of a doubt; while the mount at the north-eastern extremity was probably one of those so often met with in Norman fortifications, though the arch in its northern side, leading into the outwork before mentioned, is evidently of later date. Whether the Norman Castle extended farther to the west than the masses of masonry, before mentioned, may be doubtful, but I am inclined to think that the base court occupied the whole area included
within the inner moat; and that much of the walls now remaining, are Norman, though modernized and adapted to the improved system of fortification introduced by Edward I. Immediately to the west of Mr. Dyer's premises, flanked by an enormous mass of ruined masonry, is a way leading at once to the mill stream, through a door-way having a segmental arch, which may perhaps be as early as the latter part of the thirteenth century, beyond which extends a wall of very great thickness, having flat buttresses of a very Norman-like appearance, which I believe to have been the original curtain surrounding the base court of the Norman Castle. This wall now forms the north side of the great hall which has been built against it inside, and has been cut through to give space for the insertion of the large square-headed windows of the sixteenth century, by which the hall is now lighted. This hall is generally supposed to have been built by Bishop Horne, in the latter part of the sixteenth century, his arms,* with the date, 1577, being carved on a stone built into the wall of the room now used by the grand jury. But this building is evidently an addition to it, and though the height of the hall, rising as it does considerably above the external defences, gives reason to suppose that it was constructed in comparatively peaceful times, yet the high pitch of the original roof, which is still to be seen against the square turret which rises at its western extremity, induces me to think that it is of considerably earlier date, and if not of the Edwardian period, together with the tower which contains a staircase of communication between the hall and the upper story of the western buildings of the inner bailey, more likely to be the work of Bishop Langton, in the fifteenth century,

* Partè per Pale, Winchester and Horne.
than of Bishop Horne, in the sixteenth. Whether
the building composing the western side of the inner bailey
of the Edwardian Castle be originally Norman, or no,
(which from the immense thickness of the walls, as well as
from a letter of Sir Benjamin Hammet, in which he says
he has converted a Saxon arch into an apartment, I am
inclined to believe it was) the ashler work of lancet windows
still apparent in both external and internal walls, which can
hardly be later than the end of the thirteenth or the begin-
ing of the fourteenth centuries, as well as the circular
towers at the angle, leave no doubt that if not built from
the ground they were modernized and adapted to the
system of fortification in use during that period. The
entrance into this inner bailey was through an embattled
gate-tower, which, from the inscription and arms upon two
stones in its south front, has been ascribed to Bishop
Langton. But this stone is clearly not in situ, and though
the mouldings of the internal arch of the gateway are
such as were commonly used in the fifteenth century, those
of the outer arch are plain bold chamfers. This, as well as
the shape of the arch itself, which may well be as early as the
thirteenth century, leads me to believe that the gate-tower
is an Edwardian addition to the base court of the Norman
edifice, which Langton probably repaired and faced on the
inside with ashler work, moulded according to the taste
prevalent during the period in which he lived.

If I be right in supposing this gate-house to be of early
English or early decorated date, there is at its western
junction with the other buildings of the south front, a piece
of construction which strongly corroborates my idea that
the walls of, at least, part of the inner bailey, are Norman.
I find that the buttress of the lower building, which is
flat and of very Norman-like construction is carried up
close to, and flush with, the front of the gate-house, which is evidently a later addition to the other buildings of the south front. To the east of the gate-house, a building, very similar in character to that on the west, extends nearly to the south-western angle of the platform on which I suppose the Norman keep to have stood. It is without buttresses, the masonry very coarse and irregular, being little better than rubble work, and decidedly unlike that of the round tower which flanked its eastern extremity, little more than the foundation of which now exists. Immediately within the wall stands the house occupied by Mr. Dyer, apparently a building of the fifteenth century, but probably containing portions of much earlier date. The school-house, also, founded by Bishop Fox in the year 1522, stands immediately within the southern ramparts of the Castle, and is a very excellent specimen of the domestic architecture of the beginning of the sixteenth century.

This is all that I have been able to trace of perhaps the most important of the nine castles which are known to have existed in this county; and I feel that I ought to apologize for having occupied your time with so meagre and unsatisfactory a series of conjectures; for in truth they are little more. It is now generally allowed by architectural antiquaries, that it is almost impossible accurately to determine the date, even of ecclesiastical edifices, merely by the style of the architecture, without the aid of documentary evidence; and if the difficulty be great when the strict rules of ecclesiastical architecture kept in order the exuberant fancies of the builder, it is very much increased in the case of domestic and castellated fabrics, where these rules were much relaxed and varied to suit the convenience of the inhabitants and the circumstances of the locality.
Of this aid I have been almost entirely destitute, my only guides having been the mutilated buildings which still remain, and the analogy of other Castles which have suffered less from modern utilitarianism and senseless want of taste. My conclusions, therefore, are little better than conjectures, but such as they are they may perhaps be the means of at least recording what still remains of a very important Castle, of which, as I mentioned at the beginning of my paper, many of the most interesting features are, even now in the act of passing away for ever.

August 31st, 1853.

REFERENCE TO THE PLAN.

I. Entrance to inner Bailey.
II. Interior of Gate repaired by Bp. Langton.
III. Way leading to Mill-stream.
IV. Stair-turret, between hall and upper floor of western buildings.
V. Mass of masonry in Mr. Channon's garden.
VI. Out-work, commanded by Mount.
VII. Eastern gate.
VIII. Western front of ditto.
The Church of St. Mary the Virgin, at Kingston.

BY THE REV. ECCLES JAMES CARTER.

THE subject which I propose to bring before the meeting this evening, is the Church of St. Mary the Virgin, at Kingston.

When I first undertook to read a Paper before this Society, I never had the privilege of attending any of its meetings, but having now been present at the last one, I feel that an apology is due from me for occupying the time of this assembly with so very meagre a production as constant parochial occupation enables me to present. Having heard the scientific and elaborate Paper on Steeples in general, read at the last meeting by my friend Mr. Petit, illustrated with a profusion of drawings in that style, for which, I think I may say without paying a bad compliment to any artist, that he stands unrivalled; and having heard, also, as much as time permitted me of that very learned discussion on (to my ignorance) the most mysterious of all subjects, the Egyptian Hieroglyphics, by a gentleman 1853*, PART II.
whose very name every lover of ancient music must hold in nothing short of veneration, I certainly felt that anything I could produce would be very unworthy the attention of an assembly accustomed to be entertained by such deeply edifying effusions as these. Still I have persevered in my intention of bringing the subject I proposed before this meeting, and I have done so because I conceive that such an association as the present, is as a vast river to receive the tributary streams, however insignificant in themselves, of the surrounding district, and to waft the general influence of these to places which otherwise would be unaffected by them.

I presume, also, that it is of great importance that a record of the actual state of any monument, at a given time, and especially of churches, should exist in some central depository, and be accessible to persons who may have an interest in such objects. And although the general and more scientific papers will be the most interesting, yet the dry detailed account of individual churches may, after all, prove the most valuable. From no little experience and observation on such subjects, I have good reason to know that the account of a church, or, indeed, of any public monument, requiring restoration, is seldom read without good effect, and I might add that it very rarely happens that any general interest can be excited in the cause without some detailed account being brought, in this manner, before the public.

I have, therefore, as I said, persevered in my intention to bring forward the subject of this Paper, though I confess most sincerely that I am almost ashamed to bring it forward in so superficial a manner as I am now compelled to do, from want of time to devote myself to this special object, which, to handle properly, requires the investigation of
many books and documents to which I have been unable to gain access.

The village of Kingston is situated about three miles north of Taunton, on the south side of the Quantock Hills. The Church, which is dedicated to St. Mary the Virgin, stands on a slight eminence on the right, about 150 yards from the high road to Bridgwater. Its tower is an object of attraction to most passers by, and the Church appears to be generally characterized as a beautiful one, from the impression, no doubt, that it is in keeping and accordance with the tower. Leaving the tower for the present, I cannot say that any part of the Church (saving, perhaps, the porch and bench ends) presents an appearance worthy the appellation of beautiful, but it contains some curious and many interesting features, and if rescued from the effects of modern barbarity, and restored to its pristine condition, might perhaps vie with most parish churches in this district. It is dedicated, as I have said, to the Blessed Virgin Mary, and contains a chancel 32 feet 2 inches long, by 17 feet 7 inches wide; a nave 40 feet 7 inches long, by 18 feet 4 inches wide; a chancel aisle, on the south side, running the whole length of the chancel; a north and south aisle to the nave, a porch on the south side, and a western tower.

Having no documents to refer to, I cannot profess to give dates, for the more one studies such subjects the more convinced one is that attempting to assign accurate dates from the style of the features in the buildings, without documents, is a very dangerous experiment. As to the point which has been so much mooted of late days, of architectural nomenclature, I shall content myself, on the present occasion, with using the old terms of Rickman, without meaning any disparagement to those which have been since invented.
The chancel, then, is clearly a Perpendicular erection. The east window is of that style of five lights, containing twelve small compartments in the tracery; on the north side are two windows of three lights each, and on the south one of the same character as the east one, and bearing that usual want of proportion to the chancel so commonly to be found in Perpendicular work, and which, in my humble opinion, renders every chancel containing it perfectly ugly. A barbarous oak panelled wainscoting runs round the whole walls above the altar steps, and prevents, at present, the discovery of any of the usual appurtenances of the altar, found in ancient Churches. The platform on which the altar stands, is raised two steps above the level of the chancel, and the chancel again one step above the floor of the nave.

The west end of the chancel, on the north side, is opened to the north aisle by a Perpendicular arch, and it is plain that the east end of the north aisle was used as a chapel. The whole pillar supporting the eastern side of this arch, and forming the respond, has been cut away, except the capital, which, with its corresponding cap, contains the ordinary Perpendicular embellishments of foliage and shields.

The South side opens by an arcade of three Perpendicular arches into the south aisle, which contains a small door, of the same style, in the north angle of the east wall, no doubt used by the chantry priest who performed the usual services in this chapel. There is in the south wall at the east end, a small aumbry, which clearly proves the former existence of an altar. There is some variation in the caps of these pillars, and also of the moulding of the pillars themselves. The two caps to the east are perfectly plain, but the next one consists of the body of a man bearing the capital on his shoulders (as far as my observa-
tion has gone, rather an unusual feature in Perpendicular work, in the position it is placed.) The other caps contain the usual pateræ of this style. The two pillars supporting the easternmost arch vary, there being a kind of swell chamfer between the three quarter columns, whereas the lower pillars contain hollows between the columns; and on the west side of the first pillar there is a bracket with foliage, which was either placed there to receive offerings, or, it may be, held an image or a light, but from its construction I incline to the former opinion. It is remarkable also, that the caps are not all on the same level.*

The grand feature of this aisle is a splendid tomb belonging to the family of the Warres, of Hestercombe. It is covered with a slab of Purbeck marble, 9 feet 6 inches long, by 4 feet 6 inches wide, moulded on the under edges. The sides of the tomb are composed of Ham-hill stone, divided into six compartments of shields, held between the cusps of flowing tracery. These shields have been emblazoned with the arms of the Warres, and their connections, the tinctures in some places being still visible. The height of the tomb is 3 feet 10 inches. From the style of this tomb I should be disposed to assign a date not later than the middle of the third Edward's reign; and if this be correct, then it is clear that is more ancient than the aisle in which it stands. Still the points of the cusps appear more Perpendicular than Decorated in style, and there may be just causes of doubt whether the tomb is as early as its general appearance leads one to suspect. The

* Since the above was written, the yellow wash has been removed from these pillars and arches, and it is plain that the easternmost arch has been added since the lower ones, which accounts for the variation observable. A solid wall, no doubt reached as far as the second pillar, the western half of which formed the respond, and it was most probably opened to the chancel to give a view of the great altar from the chapel.
position of this tomb is somewhat remarkable, being neither in the centre of the aisle nor under an arch; and it would appear that the aisle has been widened, which has thrown the tomb and the arch leading into the Tetton aisle, out of the centre.

There is a two-light Perpendicular window in this aisle, with a very Decorated cut about it, and placed in a position which appears something like a recess in the wall. The other window is the same as those on the north and south sides of the aisle,—large four-light windows, thoroughly debased, without any tracery or cusping whatever in the head.*

In descending from the chancel to the nave, we miss that grand feature, a nave arch, without which it seems hardly possible to imagine a correctly formed Church. The defect, we know, was supplied by the Perpendicular builders, by the substitution of those splendid screens of this style, many of which still remain; but this feature has, alas, been destroyed here, and a screen, probably of the date of George I., which did stand there, was removed some twenty years ago to the back of the gallery, where it now stands! The nave is divided from the aisles by an arcade of four arches of Early English date, supported by three cylindrical pillars, eighteen inches in diameter, and two responds. On examining the two eastern responds, where the Early English and Perpendicular work join, it appears that

* Since the above was written, the south aisle of the chancel, beyond all doubt originally a Chapel of the Warres, has been appropriated by faculty, with the consent of the rectors, to the occupiers of Hestercombe, for the use of themselves, their tenants, and dependants residing in the parish of Kingston. The hideous four-light window has been removed, and a two-light, after the pattern of the one existing, put in its place. Part of the wall has been rebuilt, and a new roof is in process of construction, the old one being thoroughly decayed and unsafe.
the Early English part was not the original termination of that part of the Church, as there is more than the half pillar, which leads to the supposition that the Early English nave extended farther east than it does at present, and, indeed, the whole arrangement of this part of the Church is more incomplete than Early English builders were wont to leave their work. The caps of these pillars vary in design, but contain nothing but plain mouldings. The bases contain a fine specimen of the "water mould." The material of these pillars and bases appears to be a very hard sand stone, of a greenish and reddish tint, but the taste of former days has covered them with a coating of paint, to imitate green and white marble, while those in the chancel, composed of Ham-hill stone, are covered with yellow ochre, as are all the windows. The whole of the walls are plastered and white-washed, and the whole masonry of the exterior is bedaubed with a coat of rough cast, which destroys the whole effect of the building, and of course, prevents the discovery of the date of the walls. The two westernmost bays of the south aisle are appropriated to, and kept in repair by, the owner of the Tetton property, and are commonly called the Tetton aisle, and are divided from the aisle by a Perpendicular arch running across it from north to south, the arch which was before mentioned as being thrown out of centre by the supposed widening of this aisle.

At the south side of the westernmost bay but one, is situated the porch, which is partly internal, and contains a beatiful specimen of fan tracery vaulting, springing from engaged columns in the four corners of the porch. The column in the north eastern angle is cut away and corbelled off, no doubt to admit of a stoop, which may still be concealed under the plaister. The internal doorway of this
porch has a depressed four centred arch, and over it is a niche, with a sort of coronet canopy, which, no doubt, contained the figure of the Saint of the dedication.

At the west end of the nave is a lofty gallery, arranged, as well as may be, to represent a scene at the back of the stage of a theatre, and this blocks up one of the boldest features in the Church, the tower arch, which opens into the tower, and, if open, would give a view of a fine Perpendicular western window. The mouldings of the arch are very bold, and, as near as can be ascertained, continuous.

The ground floor of the tower is covered with staircase to the gallery, clock case, and closet for rubbish of all descriptions, and gives the usual evidence of the moral evil of blocking off any part of the Church, to say nothing of the destruction of all proportion. The north aisle is filled with hideous high pews in which, at least one third of the accommodation which might be obtained for worshippers is lost, and we have some valuable specimens of these enormities in the Church, in the south aisle, as well as at the west-end of the nave.* The roofs also sadly disfigure the Church, being all of them plastered and white-washed. Though the nave and south aisle show the longitudinal and transverse ribs of the timber roof, but these are covered with blue paint. The roofs themselves appear to be of the cradle kind, so common in these parts. In the nave roof are four dormer windows of modern date, which are not only a sad desight, but are a great evil in

* A faculty has been obtained, since this account was drawn up, in accordance with the resolution of vestry, for the removal of all these pews, and the funds are alone wanting for carrying it into effect. Any contributions towards this good work, forwarded to the vicar, will be very thankfully received. The pews in the chancel have been removed since the appropriation of the south chancel aisle to the Hestercombe property.
construction from their weakening the roof, and exposing part of it unnecessarily to the weather.

There remains yet one feature to be noticed in the interior, though it would take a very long time to describe it, in the bench-ends and fronts of the stall work. The carving of these, is for the most part deep, and very elaborate, and some of the designs very chaste and beautiful. These have also suffered, though from good intention, by being varnished; whereby the whole artistic effect of the carving, in the play of light and shade is destroyed. If any one has any doubts about this fact, they may be convinced within an hour, by taking a survey of those in Kingston Church, and then going on to the adjoining Church at Broomfield, where the beautiful ends remain in their primitive integrity. There is one astounding difficulty, however, to be accounted for by surveying Kingston alone, and that is how the taste of any age could have so degenerated as to have induced or allowed persons to destroy a whole aisle of these costly relics, to put up those evidences of pride exclusiveness and bad taste yclept pews.

The Font of good Perpendicular design, stands at the west side of the last pillar in the north aisle, but it has been treated with no greater respect than the pillars.

I fear I have exhausted the patience of my hearers before coming to that feature which most persons would examine first, perhaps to the exclusion of all others. I must yet detain you one minute longer, to mention the only piece of masonry which has not suffered from the barbarism and mendacity of rough cast, the south porch. The front of this contains some fine sculpture in niches, alas empty, and in the pierced parapet of quatrefoils, and there are also some bold corbel figures at the angles of the cornice, after the manner of Gurgoyles.
To come at last, then, to the tower, I believe I must content myself on the present occasion with giving a general opinion, that it is one of the most correct and classical of its date in this neighbourhood, though I confess myself quite unequal to the task of drawing a comparison between it and other towers of this style. I believe, also, that detailed accounts of these towers, written by a much abler hand, have been read before this Society, and no doubt that of such a tower as Kingston has been included amongst them.

There is, as I imagine, rather a peculiar feature in its construction, inasmuch as its breadth from north to south exceeds its length from east to west. This plan was probably adopted to suit the width of the Early English nave.

It consists of three stages, the angles being supported by bold square buttresses, surmounted with pinnacles, which disengage themselves at the bottom of the blocking course of the gorgeous parapet which crowns the whole.

In the second stage there is one window on each face, with niches, supported by angel brackets on either side of them, except on the north side, where the window is plain, the space being occupied by the staircase turret which stands at the north east angle, and is terminated in a conical cap.

The third stage contains the bell chamber, where are six bells of good tone, one of them being a black letter bell. There are two windows on the east, south, and west sides of this stage and but one on the north, for the reason before assigned.

The parapet is turreted and battlemented and has a pinnacle at each angle, from which are bracketed out four flying pinnacles from the level of the cap moulding, and not from the base of the parapet, as is usually the case.
Tower of the Church of St. Mary, Kingston.
The whole arrangement gives that net work appearance to the parapet, which, I believe, may fairly be characterized as the "Gloucester battlement," the tower of Gloucester Cathedral forming, as I imagine, the type of all the Somersetshire towers of this character, and intended, doubtless, by their pious builders, to lead our minds upwards from these material and perishable structures to that Temple not made with hands, eternal in the heavens.
Langport, the Llangbornth of Llywarch Hen's Elegy, and the Site of an ancient British Town of the same name.

BY THE REV. WM. ARTHUR JONES, M.A.

In the "Elegy upon Geraint ab Erbyn,"* by the Prince-poet, Llywarch Hen, Geraint, the Prince of Dyvnaint, or Devon, is represented as having been slain in the battle of LLONGBORTH. It would appear from the elegy, that the poet was himself present at the engagement, and witnessed the death of his friend and fellow-warrior. The British forces were commanded by Arthur, under the title of Emperor. The name of the Saxon leader does not occur in the poem, but there is reason to believe it was Cerdic, whose progress was so vigorously opposed, on various occasions, by the British chieftain.

The engagement was fierce and bloody. This is evident from the following extracts; and the whole poem clearly

* The same Geraint ab Erbyn is the hero of one of the Mabinogion, edited by Lady Charlotte Guest. He was the cousin of King Arthur; his father, Erbyn, being the brother of Uther Pendragon.
implies that it was a drawn battle, in which all the forces of the contending parties were engaged:

“Yn Llongborth gwelais drydar,
Ac elorwr yn ngwyar,
A gwyr rhudd rhag rhuthr esgar.

“Yn Llongborth gwelais i vrithred
Gwyrr ynghyd, a gwaed ar draed;
‘A vo gwyrr i Eraint, brysied!’

“Yn Llongborth y llâs Geraint,
Gwr dewr o goettir Dyvnaint,
Wyntwy yn lladd gyd a’s lleddaint.

“Yn Llongborth llâs i Arthur
Gwr dewr, cymmynynt a dur;
Ammherawdyr, llywiawdyr, llavur.

“At Llongborth I witnessed the noisy tumult,
And biers with the dead drenched in gore,
And men blood-stained from the onset of the foe.

“At Llongborth I saw the hurried rush,
Of men with feet blood-stained,
(Crying) ‘Haste! ye that be Geraint’s men.’

“At Llongborth was Geraint slain,
The bold warrior of the Woodlands of Dyvnaint,
Slaughtering the foe as he fell.

“At Llongborth was slain to Arthur,
Emperor and conductor of the toil of war,
Valorous men, who with steel hewed down (their foes.)”

The site of this battle has been usually assigned to Portsmouth. Dr. Owen Pugh, who published the elegy with a translation, in 1792, represents Llongborth to be “some harbour on the south coast, probably Portsmouth.”
Mr. Turner, likewise, in his "History of the Anglo-Saxons," considers "this poem as describing the conflict at Portsmouth, when Porta landed."* M. de la Ville-marqué, the distinguished Breton antiquarian, advocates the same opinion.

With all due deference to these high authorities, I would submit that there are considerations of great weight derived from the physical characteristics of the locality; from incidents mentioned in the poem; and from the knowledge we have of the relative position sustained, about that time, by the Cymri and the Saxons, which go far to prove that the battle, celebrated by Llywarch Hên, was fought at Langport, in this county, and not at Portsmouth. If this conclusion prove to be well-founded, it follows that Langport occupies the site, and still bears the name of the ancient British town of Llongborth, and that it was a port of some importance, during, if not before, the time of the Roman occupation.

The Celtic Llongborth, is compounded of LLONG, a ship; and PORTH, a haven; and signifies a port or haven for ships. It is well known that Celtic names of places are, invariably, descriptive. Hence the necessity of ascertaining whether the site of the present town of Langport could ever have answered to the description involved in the word Llongborth. If it did, then the æstuary now confined (mainly by means of artificial embankments) to Bridgwater Bay, must at one time have extended towards Langport, making that place easily accessible to such vessels as the foreign traders and the inhabitants of the land at that time possessed. Similar, if not greater, changes have taken place in the coast-line of this country, even within the period of historic record.

* His. Ang. Sax., b. iii., c. 3.
The Greek Geographer, Claudius Ptolemaeus, deemed the mouth of the river Axe, at Seaton, of sufficient importance to be named in succession with the æstuaries of the Tamar, the Exe, and Portsmouth.* In the present day, the mouth of the river is hardly wider than the vessels which enter through it into the little harbour within. A great bank of shingle, which Leland says was beginning to form in his time, now stretches quite across what was the mouth of the æstuary, and rich pasture lands extend over the flats formerly covered by the sea.

From the Saxon chronicle, we learn that in the year 449, Hengist and Horsa “landed in Britain on the shore which is called Ypwinsfleet.” Ebbs-fleet, however, is now an inland spot, at some distance from the sea; and what was the æstuary of the Wanstum, dividing the Isle of Thanet from the main land of Kent, is a shallow brook, although it was once navigable for ships of large burden; and, even in Bede’s time, was three stadia broad, and fordable only in two places.†

With such examples of great physical changes elsewhere; and considering that Langport is still within reach of the tidal waters; it is not too much to assume, that it might, thirteen centuries ago, have been a haven for ships.

In Porth-Kery, on the other side of the Severn, and, almost opposite Bridgwater Bay, we have a similar instance of the elevation of the sea-bed. Porth-Kery was a sea-

---

* μετὰ τὸ Ὅκρινον ἄκρον,—Ταμαρον ποτ.; Ἰσάκα ποτ.; Ἀλαίνον ποτ. ἐκβολαῖ; Μεγας λιμήν: κ. τ. λ.


† Bedae Hist. Eccles., Lib. I., c. 25.

. . . . a continenti terra secernit fluvius Vantsumu, qui est latitudinis circiter trium stadiorum, et duobus tantum in locis transmeabilis: . .
port of the ancient district of Siluria, frequented by Keri, the Sovereign of that district, and a distinguished naval commander. It is now utterly inadequate for the accommodation of shipping. Evidence of the gradual rise of the bed of the estuary of the Severn, has likewise been afforded by excavations in the Bute Docks, Cardiff; and at Port Talbot, in Glamorganshire, "where ancient harbour conveniences were discovered at considerable depths below the present surfaces."*

The Geological features of the alluvial deposits in the extensive plains on either side of the Poldon hills; the various objects, natural and artificial, which have been found in different places, and at great depths, afford conclusive evidence of similar changes on the English coast of the Bristol Channel.

Thus, we find as far up as Weston Zoyland, Chedzoy, and Middlezoy, which stand upon red-marl prominences slightly raised above the level of the surrounding marshes, banks of sea-sand resting against these slightly elevated lands, in such a way as most clearly to show that they formed the margin of ancient sea-boundaries. In these sand banks, are found the shells of the very same molluscs, which are commonly picked up on our sea shores, and are now living on our coasts; proving the deposit to have belonged to a very recent period.† The same features present themselves at Huntspill, Pawlet, and at Chilton Trinity, near Bridgwater; which would indicate the boundaries of the estuary on either side. At Chilton, the sand containing the shells, lies at a depth of about two or three feet below the surface of the soil.

* Iolo MSS. note p. 345.
The bulk of the alluvial deposit in this district, consists of a bluish clay, and sea-silt. Those who have seen in how short a space of time the worked-out clay-pits about Bridgwater are filled up, by the subsidence of the muddy waters admitted from the river Parret, will not be at a loss to comprehend the character of the agencies which, in the course of centuries, would cause a considerable elevation in the surface of the plain throughout.

In a paper on the "formation of Marsh Peat" (read at the Conversazione of the Society at Taunton, January 24, 1853,) by the Rev. W. Phelps, it is stated that "on the banks of the Parret, near Crandon Bridge, the alluvial deposit is from eight to ten feet deep, over the peat; and in digging the foundation for the new bridge at Burrow Bridge, in 1828, the alluvial deposit was 16 feet, and the peat 14 feet in thickness, resting on a bed of marl." Half the rise in the level at Burrow Bridge, so clearly proved by the observations recorded by Mr. Phelps, would be quite enough to account for all the physical conditions which our hypothesis requires.

That these deposits have taken place to some extent, and for a considerable depth, within a comparatively recent period, and during the time that the surrounding country was inhabited by the human race, is very evident from the character of the various objects which have, from time to time, been discovered in the clay-pits and turf-moors.

Mr. Stradling in his valuable paper on the Turbaries,* gives an interesting account of the different antiques discovered by him, in what he styles the bottom of "the Lake," whose waters reached up to Glastonbury. Among those things, evidently of British origin, besides flint-spears, and Celts, he mentions three oars or paddles,

* Vide The Society's Report, for 1849-50, p. 50.
similar in form to those used in the present day by Welsh fishermen, in the management of the coracle; and, also a very large canoe formed from an immense oak, which had been preserved by the peculiar antiseptic properties of the peat, and which was known to the turf-cutters as "Squire Phippen's big ship."

These facts clearly point to a time when the eastern side of the Poldon hills was washed by the waters of a large navigable lake, if not an arm of the sea; and judging from the similarity of position, and the occurrence of somewhat similar remains, there can be no doubt but that a lake, or æstuary likewise existed, at that time, to the west of the Poldon hills, reaching towards Langport.

The trunks and branches of trees; the horns of the forest deer; the bones of the ox and horse, have been found at considerable depths in the clay-pits, in the neighbourhood of Bridgwater. At the old canal basin at Huntworth, animal remains, and even pottery were found mixed with sand, nearly 30 feet beneath the surface.*

In the collection of the late Mr. Baker, there is a curious brass bolt which was found in one of the clay-pits; and in the possession of John Browne, Esq., there is an interesting specimen of the gold ring-money, which was dug up at a considerable depth in the silt-deposit. These, with the remains of pottery, are a clear proof that the deposit of the alluvial flats in the district is comparatively recent; and that when the plains west of the Poldon hills constituted the bed of an æstuary, the adjoining shores were inhabited by a race of men not unacquainted with the arts, nor strangers to the use and value of the precious metals.

Those who had an opportunity of witnessing the great

* Proc. of Society, 1849-50, p. 137.
expanse of waters, which during the floods of last winter covered the flats for many miles, could easily realize to themselves the aspect of the country at the time to which we refer; and could not fail to recognize in the earthy matter brought down by inland floods, combined with drift-sand and the silt and mud deposited by the tidal waters of the Severn, causes fully adequate, in the course of centuries, to produce the elevation which has taken place.

Even the Saxon names of some of the places in the district are evidence of the same physical characteristics, and serve as a permanent record of the physical history of that part of the county. Thus we have Weston-Zoyland, Chedzoy, Middlezoy,* occupying those slightly elevated patches of marl before referred to, and all implying the presence of an expanse of water surrounding those localities at the time.

The few British names which have survived, afford a striking confirmation of the views we have advanced. Considering the Poldon as a promontory, standing out between two æstuaries, the one extending to Glastonbury, the other to Langport, no more descriptive name could be given to it than that it bears. *Moel-y-don, or y Voel-don, easily changed into Poldon. *Moel, in Celtic, signifies bare, bald; hence, applied, in Welsh, to high exposed points of land; and in Gaelic, to promontories. It forms an element in the name of many mountains in Wales; for example, Moel y Famau, Moel Hebog, y Voel Goch, y Voel Las, &c. In Scotland the same word appears in the Mull of Kanytre, the Mull of Galloway, &c. Here, in combination with *don, a wave, Voel-don, or Poldon, would signify the promontory, or elevated land among the waves. Thus were the Poldon-hills called by the ancient inhabitants of

* Pronounced, Chedzee, Middlezee.
the land; and during the greater part of last winter (1852-3), when the floods were out, the name they bear would not be deemed much less appropriate, or less descriptive.*

*Yuys Avalon, the name by which Glastonbury was known to the Cymri, is one which accords with the physical conditions obtaining in this district at the time when Langport, was in fact, as in name, Llongborth; a haven for ships. Yuys Avalon, signifies "the island abounding in apple trees;" a name peculiarly descriptive of the place, when the Turbaries were as yet in course of formation, and when the warrior's barge and the fisherman's canoe moved over what are now well-tilled fields, and where the golden harvest rises to reward the labours of the husbandman.

These considerations, I submit, remove all the difficulties which might arise from the present physical characteristics of the district, and prove it not only possible, but highly probable, that the situation of Langport, before the time of the Roman occupation, corresponded with the description involved in the name of Llongborth.

The historical question remains still to be answered:—Is Langport the Llongborth of which Llywarch Hên speaks, in the elegy?

Considering the great obscurity in which the historical events of that period are necessarily involved, the reasons which may be advanced in favour of the affirmative are, I conceive, if not altogether satisfactory, yet of great weight.

* Since this paper was read, the Rev. F. Warre has suggested that in Bawdrip we have a Romano-British name, still further confirmatory of the estuary theory. Bawdrip stands on the edge of the alluvial deposit, and would really be what its name denotes, a Bööl (British), dwelling place, on the Ripa (Latin), bank of the estuary.
The battle of Llongborth is not mentioned by name in any of the Saxon Chronicles, nor are the peculiar circumstances in connexion with any engagement recorded in the Chronicles, such as can be identified with those which Llywarch Hen describes. The only one which has ever been connected with this event, is that which stands recorded in the Saxon Chronicles for A.D. 501.

"This year Porta with his two sons, Baeda and Mela, came into Britain with two ships, at a place called Portsmouth. (Pojtep-muca.) They soon landed and slew on the spot a young Briton of very high rank."

Mr. Sharon Turner, assuming, probably, that Langport never could have been a "port" or "harbour for ships," concludes that Llongborth must have been some harbour on the south-coast; and connecting the death of Geraint with that of the young Briton of noble birth, slain at Portsmouth, he comes to the conclusion that the poem of Llywarch Hen, describes the conflict at Portsmouth when Porta landed.*

This conclusion, however, is not borne out by the circumstances of the case. The mere fact, as recorded, of their "slaying on the spot a young Briton of very high rank," falls far short of sufficient evidence, that this young Briton was Geraint ab Erbin. There can be no doubt that the life of many a British youth of highest rank was sacrificed, during that long and severe conflict.

Further, it does not appear possible, that the crew of two ships, (which is all that the Chronicle gives to Porta and his sons on their landing at Portsmouth,) could have offered the amount and kind of resistance, which the details of the battle in the Elegy imply. "They soon landed and slew on the spot"—is the description of a very different

* Turner's History of Angl. Saxons, vol. 1, b. iii. c. 3.
event from that which Llywarch Hên celebrates when he says;—

"Yn Llongborth gwelais drabludd
Ar fain, brain ar goludd,
Ac ar grân cynran man-rudd.

"Yn Llongborth gwelais i vygedorth,
A gwyrb yn godde ammorth,
A gorvod gwedi gorborth.

"Yn Llongborth gwelais i arvau
Gwyrb, a gwyar yn dineu,
A gwedi gawr garw adneu."

"At Llongborth I saw hard toiling
Amidst the stones, ravens on entrails feasting,
And a crimson gash on the chieftain’s brow.

"In Llongborth I saw the smoking pile,
And men enduring want,
And defeat after the feast of plenty.

"In Llongborth I saw the weapons,
Of heroes with gore fast dropping,
And after the war-shout, a fearful return."

The poem abounds in pictures of this character, which it is impossible to reconcile with the event hitherto associated with the battle of Llongborth. We are thus led to the conclusion that the battle of Llongborth is one of those many engagements which must have taken place between the Saxons and the Britons, but are not recorded in any of the Saxon Chronicles.

Nor will this conclusion, I conceive, be affected by the views and reasons advanced by M. de la Villemarqué, in his
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In the "argument" prefixed to the elegy, this celebrated Celtic scholar, after having referred to the coast of Cornwall as peculiarly favourable for the landing of the Saxon invaders, adds: "It was there, in A.D. 501, that two ships, under the command of Porta, landed; and the invaders, in honour of their leader, called the place Port's Mouth, i.e. the harbour of Porta."

He then gives it as his opinion, that Llongborth is the same with Portsmouth; and that the young noble, slain at the landing of Porta, was Geraint ab Erbin. In confirmation of this opinion, he imagines he finds in Llongborth, a literal translation of Portsmouth; taking Llong to be a form of Llwnc which he translates, "Mouth.""

We pass over the geographical error of placing Portsmouth in Cornwall, which evidently arose from the assumed connexion of the event with the Cornish Prince, and merely observe that in the elegy itself, Geraint is described as a "Warrior brave from the woodlands of Devon;" and it is well known that the Dyvnaunt of the British, as well as the Dumnonium of the Romans, included a large part of West Somerset.

According to the best Welsh authorities I have been able to consult, Llwnc, signifies the Swallow, the Gullet, and not the Mouth. But even though the etymology were ever so unobjectionable, the explanation of Llongborth,


† "Il y avait sur la côte, à la pointe de la Cornouaille, un endroit favorable aux descentes des Saxons." p. 1.

‡ "Je retrouve dans Longborth, ou plutôt Longport (comme on l'a primitivement écrit) la traduction exacte de Portes-Muthe." p. 2.
which this hypothesis involves, could not fail to prove unsatisfactory, inasmuch as it cannot, upon consideration, be thought at all probable, scarcely possible, that a British Prince, when singing the praises of his friend and companion in arms, would have adopted and translated the name which was given by their enemies to the place where he fell, to do honour to his foe.

Surely there must have been a British name for the harbour of Portsmouth before the landing of Porta; and that, whatever it might have been, would be used by Llywarch Hên on this occasion, and not the translation of a Saxon name. Portsmouth is undoubtedly the Μεγας λυμην of Claudius Ptolemaeus; the Portus Magnus of the Romans. The first part of the word is, evidently, connected with the harbour, and not with the name of the Saxon invader.

A strong argument in favour of Langport as the site of the ancient British town of Llongborth, is presented in the fact that there is no other place in the kingdom whose name so closely resembles it in sound.* And as we have seen that, at one time, it might have been a harbour for ships, the two names are identical, not only in sound, but likewise in signification.

Standing as it did, according to our hypothesis, on the extreme end of an æstuary, it would be at least within sight of the foaming waters of the rushing tides of the Severn, and its position would thus agree with the only other reference to the death of Geraint, in the literature of that period. It occurs towards the close of the

* In Domesday Book it occurs in the form of Lanporth, and on one of the coins of Athelstane, LONLPORT. Vide the Rev. T. F. Dymock's Paper on Somersetshire Coins, in the Pro. of the Soc., 1849-50. p. 16.
Gododin of Aneurin, another Welsh Bard of the sixth century.

"Gereint rac deheu gawr a dodet,
Lluch gwynn dwll ar ysgwyty,
Yor yspar llary yor."

"Geraint in the south* raised the shout of war,
At the foaming (or glistening) Loch was the buckler pierced,
Of the lord of the spear—the gentle lord.

Here Lluch would stand for the æstuary of the Parret, as Loch still does for some of the æstuaries opening out of the larger æstuary of the Clyde.

Another argument in favour of Langport as the site of the battle of Llongborth, may be drawn from its position on the confines of the district of Dyvnaint. Supposing the engagement to have taken place subsequently to that of Mynydd Badon, near Bath, this would have been a likely place for the conflict.

According to Mr. Sharon Turner, the battle of Badon Hill, the greatest and most celebrated achievement of Arthur, served only to check the progress of Cerdic. The Saxon was still permitted to retain his settlement in Wessex, and some of the chronicles quoted by Mr. Turner, shew that "after many severe conflicts, Arthur conceded to the Saxons, the counties of Southampton and Somerset; the latter, however, still contested."†

Hence it would seem probable that the engagement to which the elegy relates, was one of those in which they contended for the occupation of this part of Somerset; for

* Aneurin was a North Briton, to whom this part of the West of England would be known as the South.
† Turner's Hist. Ang. Sax., b. iii., c. iii., p. 269.
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although, according to the *Historia Britonum* of Nennius, the battle of Badon Hill stands as the last of the twelve great battles of Arthur, we find it described as the "*last, almost*," in the history of Gildas.*

The battle of Badon Hill, according to the Annales Cambriae,† took place, A.D. 516; the battle of Camlan, in which Arthur was mortally wounded, A.D. 537. This would give A.D. 520, or thereabouts, for the date of the battle of Llongborth.

This is the nearest approximation we are able to make to the date of the engagement to which the elegy relates. The fierce endurance and daring hardihood manifested, indicates a struggle for national existence. The actions recorded are those of men fighting almost at their own doors in defence of their households. Such would be the case with the men of Dyvnauint, at Langport; hence we find the battle-cry in the thick of the fray, was, "Haste! ye that be Geraint's men!"

The issue of the engagement was doubtful; we cannot regard it as a decided victory on either side. Judging, however, from such expressions as these—

"*In Llongborth I saw the smoking pile:*

and,

"*In Llongborth I saw the biers With the dead drenched in gore:*

we have reason to infer that the Britons were, at least, left in possession of the field, for such sights are witnessed only *after* a battle.

Such are the reasons which have appeared to me to justify our identifying Langport with the Llongborth

of Llywarch Hên. I now submit them, with the conclusion to which they have led us, to the impartial and careful investigation of the members of this Society; and while acknowledging my obligation to previous contributors, whose papers have already appeared in the Proceedings, it would not be out of place to call attention to the fact, that there are very few peculiar and characteristic features in any locality, whether physical or archaeological, which may not, some time or other, become available for the solution and illustration of historical problems, that would otherwise remain obscure.

Through the advancement of civilization and the elevating influences of the Christian Faith, great and happy changes have been brought about in the character and aspect of society since the period of which this paper treats. The descendants of the Celt and the Saxon, instead of waging deadly war against each other, are merged into one great people, enjoying in common the blessings of their common social and political privileges.

Much as we rejoice in these changes in the aspect of society, I confess, nevertheless, that while looking down from the heights, upon the plains through which the muddy Parret now flows, I have sometimes wished the aspect of the country had not changed; and that we could still stand upon the Voel-don, gazing on the expanding estuaries on either side, glistening in the sun-light beneath our feet, and watch the white sails gliding from their entrance at Combwich, to their ancient destination at Llongborth.

Yet we feel that in the changes of the world there is progress. The beautiful often gives way to the useful. Corn-fields rise with their golden harvest from the depths of the waters. We bow to a higher Power; we acknowledge and revere the Supreme Wisdom of Him, who overrules the affairs of men.
Somersetshire Sequestrations during the Civil War.

BY JOHN BATTEN, JUNR. *

Of all the measures adopted by the Parliament for strengthening their hands in their contest with the ill-fated Charles, none was more effectual than the ordinance of the 1st of April, 1643, declaring the property of those who openly espoused the King's cause, or, in the language of the day, "all delinquents and malignants," to be forfeited for the use of the State. It not only produced very large sums for maintaining the army raised by the Parliament, and meeting other pressing demands, but it deterred many, not actually committed to the King's cause, from taking an active part on his behalf, at the risk of their property and liberty.

* It is hardly necessary for me to premise that my sole object in this Paper is to present the Society with a detail of interesting facts, without reference to my own opinions or predilections. Respect for my ancestors should perhaps enlist my sympathies with Parliamentarians and Puritans; one of them, Sir William Batten, having been Vice-Admiral of the Parliament Fleet in the Civil War; and another, the Rev. Henry Butler, M.A., having been ejected, on the passing of the Act of Uniformity, from the Vicarage of Yeovil, and subjected to much persecution afterwards.
That ordinance expressly enacts, "That all the real and personal property as well of certain Bishops therein named, as of all such other persons, either ecclesiastical or civil, as raised arms against the Parliament or voluntarily contributed (not being under the power of the King's party), any money, horse, plate, arms, ammunition, or other aid towards the maintenance of any force against the Parliament, and also two parts in three of the property of all Papists," should be seized and sequestered into the hands of Committees and Sequestrators, named for the several counties throughout England, who were to remit all monies received for rents of estates, the sale of stock or goods, or otherwise, to certain officers in London, to be disposed of as the Parliament should direct.

By subsequent ordinances, the wives and children of delinquents were allowed one-fifth part of the property sequestered, for their maintenance; and (with certain exceptions) the delinquents themselves were permitted to compound for the forfeiture, by payment of a composition not exceeding two years value of the estates sequestered, and a Committee sat at Goldsmith's Hall to conduct the negotiations and assess the amounts to be paid.

The Sequestrators were remunerated by a per centage of 1s. in the pound on the monies remitted by them to the Treasury; and with a party not over scrupulous in the means employed, this was manifestly good policy, as it could not fail to excite activity and zeal in their officers, and to increase the number of delinquents and forfeitures. Accordingly, we find that both by their own exertions, as well as by the assistance of their agents and spies, they were most successful in "getting up" cases, scouring the country for intelligence, and setting a watch upon the
actions of all believed to be disaffected—especially if they were persons of property and consideration.

It must not be supposed, however, that the Parliament were enabled to carry out this measure without difficulty or resistance. Much depended on the fortunes of war, and for some time the continued reverses experienced by the Parliamentary forces, had so weakened the position of their party, that any attempt to enforce the ordinance with any effect, would have failed, especially in the west where the King had been so victorious.

But in the year 1646, which is the period to which our attention will be particularly directed, when the war may be said to have terminated in favour of the Parliament, and the power of the King virtually to have ceased, no such difficulties presented themselves, and the Parliament proceeded without hesitation to prosecute delinquents with unrelenting severity.

The county of Somerset, as well as the other western counties, have always been considered—and correctly so—as favourable to the King. The cause of this feeling probably was, that this part of the kingdom had but little connection with parties engaged in trade and commerce, who were generally disaffected towards the King, on account of his unconstitutional attempt to tax their property. Estates in the west were principally in the hands of noblemen and representatives of ancient families, all whose predilections and pursuits were in favour of the "Right Divine;" and the circumstances to which we have alluded no doubt swelled the list of Somersetshire delinquents.

The Sequestration Committees for the several counties divided them into districts, corresponding, mainly, with the different hundreds. To each hundred were allotted two or
more Sequestrators, and it was their duty, from time to time, to transmit to the Committees accounts of their proceedings, and of the monies derived from the estates under their charge.

One of these accounts accidentally came under my notice lately in examining some old papers.* A slight note of its contents, with some information (very imperfect I fear,) respecting the parties and transactions mentioned in it, may not be uninteresting to the Society.

The document is the account of Mr. Edward Curll, one of the Sequestrators for the Hundred of Catsash,† in this county, and extends from November, 1645, to October, 1647, divided into three periods; the first, from 20th November, 1645, to 24th July, 1646; the second, from 24th July, 1646, to 30th June, 1647; and the third, from 30th June, to the 22nd October, 1647.

It details the proceedings against forty-three persons, either resident or possessing property within the hundred, and in some cases, it should be observed, Mr. Curll extended his operations beyond the hundred. The gross receipts for the whole period of the account, not quite two years, amount to £1,455: 13s. 9d., out of which the sum of £99: 8s. 10d. was disbursed for various charges relating to the estates, and £63: 5s. retained by Mr. Curll for the expenses of himself and his two assistants, including their wages at 3s. 6d. a week each, and the keep of

* The original is the property of Wm. H. Helyar, Esq., of Coker Court, to whom I am indebted for the loan of it. Much valuable treasure of local and historical interest lies buried in many of our country mansions; and if the owners would kindly allow the musty contents of their old oak chests to be exhumed and examined, they would contribute very materially to the success of our Society.

† The Hundred of Catsash, or Catash, lies north of the Hundred of Stone, in which Yeovil is situated, and comprises many of the parishes lying between Yeovil and Castle Cary.
three horses; in addition to which, Mr. Curll submits a charge "for two years rent for a field to provide hay for my horses from Saturday night to Monday morning, at which time I usually lay at my own house."

The connection that subsisted between the parochial clergy and their patrons, most of them Royalists, and the influence which they possessed over their parishioners, added to the natural bias of their minds in favor of the discipline and formularies of the church, rendered them peculiarly obnoxious to the Parliament, who, under pretence of correcting abuses, spared no efforts to supplant them by ministers subservient to their own views.

As far back as the beginning of the year 1641, commissioners were ordered, by the House of Commons, to visit the various counties in England, and investigate certain alleged abuses and innovations. Amongst other complaints which reached the House, by means of these commissioners, was one of the refusal of many incumbents to preach a sermon themselves every Lord's day, or to admit another minister to their pulpits, although the parishioners were willing to maintain him. The House of Commons did not fail to seize this opportunity of declaring that the parishioners were justified, under such circumstances, in procuring a preacher, and a committee, called "The Committee of Preaching Ministers," was appointed to send ministers where they were required, and to provide for their maintenance.

So long however, as the incumbents retained their livings and authority, it was impossible entirely to counteract their influence; and petitions having been presented, and no doubt procured, from all parts of the country, complaining loudly of the idleness and lax manners of the country clergy, another committee of the House,
called "The Committee of Scandalous Ministers," was appointed, and authorized to eject all such reprobates, upon proof of their guilt—to sequester their estates, and to supply their places with godly and pious preachers.*

We shall not therefore be surprised to find our friend Mr. Curll particularly attentive to the different incumbents within his district.

To take the first in the list, I must admit that if half of the charges against the Rev. Hugh Collins, Rector of Compton Pauncefoot, were well founded, his punishment was not altogether unmerited. The charge against him is,

"That he is a lewd and scandalous minister.

"That he had within these four years a base child laid to his charge, which is found to be his own.

"That he was at Oxford (the King's head quarters) lately, and usually, since the beginning of these warres, did send and carry intelligence thither.

"That he made a bonfire upon top of a high hill, for joy of the overthrow of the Parliament forces, at Edgehill, as he reported." A stale offence this, as the battle took place in October, 1642, being the first decided engagement in the war. It was doubtful which party could claim the victory, probably neither; but the King made the most of it, ordering a day of solemn thanksgiving for his success, at the close of which no doubt, the obnoxious bonfire was kindled.

The next accusation is a very grave one.

"That on the 31st of October, 1645, there was one of the General's (Fairfax's that is) soldiers robbed at his house of his horse, arms, and money, and no newes since of the man, so that it is conceived he was slayne in the house."

* The Somersetshire Petition in 1642, prays, amongst other things, "that a sufficient remedy be provided against scandalous ministers."
Whether such a crime was actually committed, is not for us to say; suffice it, there is no proof; but following as there does immediately a charge, "That he set forth a man in armes against the Parliament," a suspicion is raised that the recruit may have sallied forth equipped with the murdered warrior's weapons.

I regret to say that the catalogue of Mr. Collins's crimes is not yet complete; neither his "faire parsonage house," or his "pigeon house," his glebe or his tithes, prevented him, if Mr. Curll is to be believed, from breaking the eighth commandment; for amongst the stock seized on his premises, were "four oxen, three kyne, two calves, and two swine, which had been stolen away out of Sir Edward Berkeley's ground at Hatherley, in Maperton parish, by the said Mr. Collins." His own stock seems to have been sold at ruinous prices; twelve sheep were driven to Wincanton fair, and fetched only £5: 4s., or 8s. 8d. each, and two ricks of hay brought £2. His library of books was valued at £16: 10s. 8d.

Mr. Wilkinson,* the rector of Weston Bampfylde, was ejected because he was a pluralist, holding Weston and Bradford together, contrary to the ordinance of Parliament, and the parsonage was bestowed, by the Standing Committee, on Mr. Brook, the curate.

The estates of Dr. Godwin, Rector of Kingweston, were sequestered because he was a known delinquent, and an idle and scandalous minister; no particular charges are specified against him, unless his idleness is to be inferred from keeping a curate. Respecting him Mr. Curll appends this note.—"The poor curate, Mr. Barber, is in much want, and his family all wanting clothes and other necessaries, and having but £12 a year allowed him by the committee,

* I have given the names as they are mentioned in the MS.
hath not that yet, I not having received so much from the parsonage."

Dr. Pierce, Bishop of Bath and Wells, holding in right of his see the improper parsonage of Castle Cary, it was of course sequestered with his other possessions. The Bishop was an old enemy of the Puritans, his high church opinions rendering him a zealous supporter of Laud. Soon after his translation to the see in 1632, he had been very active in carrying out the Archbishop's views respecting the Book of Sports and Pastimes, and produced much dissatisfaction in the county by his conduct. It was in consequence of the Bishop's report to Laud, of the order made by Chief Justice Richardson at the Somersetshire Assizes, on the petition of the leading men of the county, for putting down wakes, revels, and other parochial festivals, that the Chief Justice was summoned before the Privy Council, and severely reprimanded by the Archbishop, for his interference. He told a friend in his way out that he had been nearly choked by a pair of Archbishop's sleeves.

Bishop Pierce was one of the twelve Bishops who withdrew from the House of Lords, on the debate for excluding Bishops from seats in Parliament, and protested against any bill passed without their concurrence. He and his companions were immediately impeached for high treason, and imprisoned; but the King soon after giving his consent to the Exclusion Bill, the prosecution was dropped.

After his deprivation, Dr. Pierce resided on an estate of his own, at Cuddesden, in Oxfordshire. At the Restoration, he was reinstated in his see, and enjoyed it until his death. He was the father of William Pierce, Archdeacon of Taunton, and Vicar of Kingsbury Episcopi, whose living was also sequestered, and he himself subsequently
imprisoned, for giving the name of Charles to a child to whom he was godfather.

The Improprimate Parsonsages of Lymington, South Barrow, and Barton, were part of the temporalities of Dr. Walter Raleigh, Dean of Wells, and sequestered for his delinquency. The sufferings of Dr. Raleigh ended only with his death. He endured a long imprisonment, aggravated by constant removals from gaol to gaol, in one of which the plague had broken out, and at length, whilst in custody in his own house at Wells, was murdered by David Barrett, a constable, who had been appointed his keeper. No proper notice appears to have been taken by the authorities of this foul deed. His widow and son were frustrated in several attempts to bring Barrett to a trial, and the clergyman who performed the burial service at the unfortunate Dean's funeral, according to the Book of Common Prayer, was imprisoned for disobeying the ordinance forbidding its use.

Mr. Guy Clintom, minister of Alford, was deprived “for reading the Book of Common Prayer, and being very insufficient for the ministry and scandalous in his life; and his son also conceived to be maintained in arms by him against the Parliament.” And a further note is added, that “the son hath been very active since, in the tumult at Bruton.”

The abolition of the Book of Common Prayer was always a great object with the Puritans. The Assembly of Divines, a body which had been appointed by an ordinance of the 12th June, 1643, amongst other things, to confer and treat on matters concerning the liturgy submitted to them by Parliament, had framed in obedience to instructions, a new form of prayer called The Directory; and the House of Lords on the 3rd January, 1645, being
the day on which they passed the Bill for the attainder of Laud, voted another forbidding the use of the Book of Common Prayer, and establishing, as the ordinance states, "the said Directory for the public worship of God."

By a subsequent enactment, all ministers were enjoined, under a penalty, openly to read the Directory in their respective churches before the morning service, and all the Books of Common Prayer were to be collected by the churchwardens and constables, and disposed of as Parliament should direct.

Mr. William Hasket, the Rector of Maperton, was deprived "for rayling against the Parliament in his sermons, and stirring up the people to goe against the Parliament forces, and for being scandalous in his life." This case shews that it was not without reason that the Parliament were jealous of the practicess of the clergy, who both in their public ministrations and private life, had manifested such opposition to the Parliamentary cause. Upon Mr. Hasket's removal, the inhabitants immediately petitioned that Mr. Peter Bradford should officiate in his stead, and having procured a certificate of some able ministers of his ability and fitness, he was appointed to the parish.

The Rev. Anthony Richardson, Rector of West Camel, who next claims our attention, must have been a most determined Royalist. Mr. Curll's charge against him is:—

"That he read the Book of Common Prayer, contrary to the ordinance of Parliament and Directory, until Michaelmas last.

"That he read the Somersetshire Petition publicly in the church, and caused most of the inhabitants to subscribe their names unto it at his house; and because Wm. Jeans refused, he, the said Mr. Richardson, said it should be the
worse for him; further saying, 'wilt thou turn rebel against the King?'

"That he kept the Friday fast, and neglected the Wednesday fast; proved upon oath by W. Jeans and others.

"That he set forth a horse in the King's service, under the command of Colonel Edward Phelipps, as by a receipt under the said Colonel's hand doth appear, and that he did it voluntarily, appeared by the Colonel's letter to him.

"That he gave money towards the maintenance of the King's army, and also to the maintenance of a servant of his at Langport, as by a MS. of his own appeareth.

"That when he paid any money to the use of the Parliament, he set it down in his MS., 'paid so much, imposed—or imposed and assessed in the name of the Parliament, which shews his malignity.'"

His books, which were valued by the neighbouring minister of South Cadbury, at £10, were restored to him. Not so a certain box found in his study, containing £30: 17s. in money, nor a suspicious kind of "buffe coat," in the same sanctum, which had cost, according to Mr. Richardson, 13s. 4d.

Mr. Richardson appears to have been much liked in his parish. His plate and goods were removed unto safe keeping, and Curll was seduced to pay several persons money, who promised to discover these valuables, but forgot to do so.—Soldiers were called in by him to assist.—The inhabitants stoutly resisted his collecting the tithes, and the poor man asks in despair, of the committee, what he is to do? Mrs. Richardson received her fifth part, as provided by the ordinance, having at Ilchester taken the negative oath, which I presume in her case was—not to talk.

The Somersetshire Petition mentioned in the charge,
was one of those presented to Parliament from various parts of the kingdom, deprecating the sanguinary contests which were going on between the King and the Parliament, and praying for peaceable accommodation.

The Wednesday fast, which Mr. Richardson neglected in favour of that on Friday, as being more in accordance with the ancient discipline of the church, originated in the projected Irish massacre and conspiracy, in 1640,* as a memorial of which, the King, at the request of the Parliament, appointed a fast to be observed on the last Wednesday of every month, as long as the calamities of the nation should continue. After the commencement of the war, the two Houses of Parliament passed an ordinance for the more strict observance of the new fast, and all preachers were commanded to exhort their hearers to a solemn observance of it. Business and pleasure were completely put aside, and from nine in the morning until four in the afternoon the religious services continued, with little or no interruption.

Col. Edward Phelipps who is here mentioned, was the son of that Sir Robert Phelipps, of Montacute, or Mountague, as it was sometimes then called, who for his integrity and unflinching boldness in assisting the constitutional rights of the people in Parliament, was styled "the old Roman." In the reign of James, he had succeeded his father, Sir Edward Phelipps, M.P., the founder of Montacute House, as one of the members for this county. In Charles's reign he was again in the Parliament summoned in 1625, but rendered himself so obnoxious to the Crown, that he was excluded in the next, which met in February 1626, being pricked for Sheriff. He was, however, a third time returned member for the county in 1628, and was loud in

* Neal's History of the Puritans, p. 553.
his protestations against Popery; but dying in the year 1638, he saw none of the calamities which soon overspread the kingdom.

Of his son Edward Phelipps, little further is known, than that he espoused the Royal cause with as much zeal as his father had promised to favor the opposite party.

He was a member of the Long Parliament, that deserted Westminster for Oxford, where he sat in the assembly which even the King designated as the "Mongrel Parliament." He was at Exeter during its siege, by General Fairfax, and procured from him a passe (still extant) for permission to leave it. His estate being sequestered for delinquency, he presented a petition* to the Committee at Goldsmith's Hall, on the 16th June, 1646, wherein he states "that through his error, he did unhappily desert the Parliament, for which he is heartily sorry, and humbly craves the favor and mercy of Parliament, praying to be admitted to composition upon two years' value of his estate, according to the articles on the surrender of Exeter." All his personal estate, he says, had been seized and sold by the committee, and amongst his real estate, he includes the rents of the borough of Yeovil, amounting to £13: 6s. 8d., all of which, except 30s. were payable out of certain houses in the borough, which houses were lately burnt and "soe the rent is lost."

The last incumbent we have to notice, is the Rev. Amias Hext, Rector of Babcary. No particulars of the charge alleged against him are given by Mr. Curll, but he is very irate with Mrs. Hext, who, after the removal of her husband, kept possession of the glebe and tithes, and actually got one Mr. Yarrow to read Common Prayer, and by her contrivance, and the assistance of the inhabi-

* Original in State Paper Office.
tants, who he says "for the most part are very malignant," he could recover none of the Rector's goods, save four skillets and a brass pot. From other sources we learn that Mr. Hext's main offence was his refusal to take the oath of non-adherence, or the negative oath. For this he was thrown into prison and kept there upwards of a year, although he presented three petitions to the Committee for his release and composition, urging the wants of his wife and six children, who were wholly dependent upon him for sustenance. He lamented, during his imprisonment, that he was debarred of seven things:—1. The society of his wife; 2. The comfort of his children; 3. The conversation of his parishioners; 4. The benefit of his living; 5. The exercise of his function; 6. The enjoyment of his liberty; and 7. The use of his books. Ultimately he procured his release; but of his subsequent history I find no trace.

We now come to civilians; and the Marquis of Hertford, as holding the highest rank, claims our first attention, and with him our paper must close. He was Lord of the Manor of Castle Cary, held on lease by Mr. Edward Kirton, a member of the Long Parliament, and possessed also other estates in Castle Cary, Ansford, and Dimmer, all which were sequestered, because, as Mr. Curll states, the Marquis "directed the Parliament, and was one of the first that took arms against the Parliament." Upon the surrender of the city of Oxford, in September, 1646, after the King had fled, the Marquis was included in the Articles, and allowed to compound, which he subsequently did by payment of the large sum of £8,345.

William Seymour, Marquis of Hertford, was the third son of Edward Lord Beauchamp, (who had been created 1853*, Part II.)
a Baron under that title, in the life-time of his father Edward Seymour, Earl of Hertford,) by Honora, the daughter of Sir Richard Rogers, of Bryanstone, Dorset. In the year 1640, he was created Charles Marquis of Hertford; and on the breaking out of the war in 1642, he was placed at the head of the Commission of Array issued by the King, for raising forces in the west. Coming to the city of Bath, at the time of the assizes, he determined, after consulting some of the chief gentlemen of the county (the Judge and the Sheriff however being against him), to proclaim the Array in the city of Wells, and to make it his head-quarters. By the assistance of Sir John Stawell and others, a considerable body of horse and foot were enrolled; but Sir John Horner and Col. Alexander Popham, at the head of the militia, and reinforced by a detachment from Bristol, under Sir Edward Hungerford, so harrassed and surrounded the Marquis's little army, that after a few sharp skirmishes, he was fain to retreat to Sherborne Castle, then held by the Earl of Bristol. Here he was besieged by the Earl of Bedford, who, finding his force unequal to the attack, withdrew it to the town of Yeovil, expecting supplies. In the meantime, however, the Marquis being reinforced with 300 men, sent him by his cousin Mr. Rogers, High-Sheriff of Dorset, ordered Major Bampfield, with a strong detachment, to fall upon the Earl of Bedford's rear. An action on Babylon Hill, about a mile from Yeovil, was the result, and the Parliamentarians, claiming the victory, instantly dispatched a flaming account of it to London, which with your permission, I will read, especially as it is a fair specimen of the style of military dispatches in those days.*

* I indulge a hope that at some future meeting I may be permitted to conclude the subject of this Paper, as many interesting matters remain to be noticed.
"A Relation of the Actions of the Parliaments Forces, under the Command of the Earl of Bedford, Generall of the Horse, against those which came from Sherbourn unto Babell-hill, neer unto Yevvell, upon Wednesday, the 7th of this instant September, 1642.

"Which was extracted out of a letter sent to the Parliament, from Dorchester, the 10th of September, 1642.


"Upon Tuesday the sixt of September, the Parliaments forces did rise from before Sherbourn, and went that night unto Yevvell, a Town being four miles distant from Sherburn, where we lay on Wednesday to refresh ourselves, upon which day it pleased God to give us a great taste of His Goodnesse, to the great shame and losse of the enemie. About two of the clock in the afternoon there appeared a great body of their horse and foot upon a great hill within a little mile of the Town, called Babell Hill, and so was it truly to them a Babell of confusion. Upon which we presently put ourselves in array as well as we could, to make good all the outwayes, and guard the Magazine, which to do we found but very few men, and more pikemen than musquetiers, for the musquetiers found themselves to be most imployed upon all occasions, and therefore, the principally shifted away, and even sent out to their side, where the enemie appeared, three troops of horse and some musquetiers, they standing still at the top of the hill, braving of us and calling us rogues and roundheads. Our men went up to the hill to charge them, Captain Aiscogh one way, Captain Tomson another way, a little after him, and Captain Balfour a third,
and our musketiers after, as fast as we could. Aiscogh came up to them first, and charged one of the troops through and through, and charged the second, but then was glad to wheel about; by that time Tomson came into him, and upon the sight of him all the enemies horse began to shog a little, and our two troops coming to charge, they turned and ran away, disbanded and routed; and ours followed upon the execution, and killed many of them, they think about eighteen or twenty, some very well habited, who seemed to be persons of qualitie; and their foot, who had played upon our horse and foot coming up the hill, were left to our mercy, our foot and horse killing them, and they running away like dogs. He that commanded them was one Bamfield, a serjeant-major, who is taken prisoner, and with him about a score of his common soldiers. The rest that commanded the foot are believed to be slain; amongst them one Hussey, a captain, for his commission was found in his pocket, himself clad in plush. Balfoure's troop was forced to fetch a great compasse, for it is a very high hill and ill-way, who could not come in soon enough. If the night had not come on, and a very darke one, we had made a great execution amongst them, for their own strength of horse was there, five or six troops, and most of their foot. Sir Ralph Hopton was there with his troop, Captain Digby, and Sir Francis Hawley, with theirs, and the Lord Paulet, Sir John Paulet, Sir John Stowell, Sir Thomas Lunsford, Colonell Asburneham, Sir John Barkeley, Colonell Lawdy, and Lieutenant-Colonell Lunsford were there. We do verily believe that some of them are come short of home, for they came in the night before the moon rose, with lanthorns and candles, and fetched away the bodies of them of qualitie. We only found the next morning, twenty or thirty of our men; there were but five lost in all, and a
very few, not above three, hurt. All but one of the slain are of Captain Aiscogh's troop, who hath shewn himself a very valiant young man. We desire to know what must be done with the prisoners, whom, till we receive order, we will take care shall be safely kept. Thus it hath pleased the good God of Heaven, who is the great God of battles, to blesse us, whose name we cannot sufficiently magnifie for his goodness to us; bringing on the enemy in their great jollity and strength to be so shamefully defeated by a handful of us, and those who were tired out with extraordinary sufferance of watching and cold. Blessed be His name for it."
Hamdon Hill.

BY RICHARD WALTER.

THERE are probably few objects in this part of the country which possess more interest than that to which I am about to direct the attention of the Society. Whether we consider the imposing outline of its features, so conspicuous for miles around, its geological formation, its extensive quarries, the produce of which is seen in the buildings of every town and village, or its importance as a relic of antiquity, which must give it a superior interest with the Archæological Society;—I mean the spot so well known as Hamdon Hill; of which, being a resident in its vicinity, and having been requested by some too partial friends, I shall endeavour to give some account, although I am conscious that it will be very imperfect; and as many gentlemen may be present who are better informed than myself, I must crave their indulgence, if my remarks be considered too common, for the sake of some others who may not have had the same advantages.

The insulated position of Hamdon Hill, overlooking a flat country, from which it rises rather abruptly, gives it a bold character, and one is led to suppose its elevation
greater than it really is; its summit being only about 240 feet above the level of the village of Stoke, below, and just 426 feet above the level of high water mark at Weymouth. The prospect from its summit is very extensive and beautiful; looking westward over the richly wooded and fertile vallies that extend beyond the Tone, to the hills of Quantock and Williton; on the north the Mendip chain; on the east the Wiltshire hills, with the column called Alfred’s Tower; and from thence to the southward and westward, the undulating line of the Dorsetshire hills,—Pillesdon, Lewesdon, and Lambart’s Castle, White-down, Snowdon, and Castle Neroche; thus exhibiting a variety of prospect over the surrounding country, not often paralleled. From its loftiest point, a panoramic view of great distance is obtained, unbroken except by a small interruption of the plantations at Montacute Hill. One curious memorial of the olden time, is on the south-west side—a deep combe, now planted as an orchard and gardens, which was formerly the regular road from Taunton to Salisbury, and through which some of the old inhabitants of Stoke, now deceased, have informed me they have seen the old Taunton coach soberly creeping up, on its two or three days’ journey to London*; a not unpleasing contrast to the rocket-like speed with which we are now whisked away on the railroad, in only twice as many hours.

How often have I wished, when rambling over the venerable spot, that it were possible to draw aside the veil of antiquity, and bring to view some of the scenes of the past centuries. What an interesting tale might be told! Imagination presents to us the simple, unclothed, and untutored Britons, calmly tending their flocks on the down

* This is corroborated by an old mile-stone by the road side, from the hill towards Odcombe, bearing the inscription “127 miles to London.”
near their settlement, at Stroud's Hill; or engaged with their Druids in idolatrous worship of the heavenly bodies; next in fruitless contention with their Celtic and Belgic invaders; then roused to warlike, courageous, yet vain resistance, on their invasion by the better disciplined Roman armies. And who can tell the desperate struggles which have taken place for the occupation of this commanding position, which the Romans well knew the value of gaining; and retained it, most probably, during their stay in Britain, about 450 years; availing themselves of the already formed entrenchments, according to their regular plan of castrametation, which appears to have extended only to the quadrangular part of the hill, on the north-west; where the men working at the stone-quarries frequently bring to light some long hidden relic, which has lain imbedded in the rubble or chasms of the rock, of which I shall have to speak presently.

Geologically considered, the formation of Hamdon Hill is worth notice, consisting, not as generally supposed, of an immense rock of building stone, so well known, and erroneously stated in some late accounts as nearly inexhaustible: for the greater mass of the hill is sand, which largely extends to the eastward, in which boulder stones of a considerable size are imbedded in layers; and on this sand the masses of compact stone rest, varying in depth from about twenty to fifty feet, below which none has been found. So at no very distant period this valuable stone, from its extensive use, will become more scarce. I say valuable, notwithstanding the high and much respected authority of Professor Buckland has denounced it as a perishable material, and discountenanced its use for permanent building. This is a subject on which I would, under present circumstances, speak with delicacy; only
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remarking that the Rev. Professor was mistaken in stating that our ancestors had culled the best of the stone; for it is well known, and evidently to be proved, that formerly the rocks had been worked down to a certain depth only, and left covered up with rubble; below which the quarry-men are now cutting. And it is an experienced fact, that the most compact and durable stone is found at the greatest depth; and this, notwithstanding the denouncement I have mentioned, must still be esteemed a valuable material for massive or ornamental building. It has been classed as inferior Oolite. Its composition appears to be sea sand and minute fragments of broken shells, conglomerated and crystallized together, with carbonate of lime and iron; and occasionally entire shells of pectines, belemnites, and others are found, but usually at the bottom of the rock.

A well having been sunk from the top of the hill, to the depth of about 120 feet; with the assistance of a respected friend, a young engineer, I have been able to make a section,* from which we learn that about 40 feet of Ham Stone is penetrated from the top; next about 80 feet of what is termed Brim Sand, with strata of rounded boulder stones; next beneath is the Upper Lias; then Marl Stone. Between the masses of rock are many fissures, or chasms, called by the workmen Gullies, running across the hill, which appear to have been formed by a lift from beneath, rending asunder the rock. These are of various width and depth, and lined with stalactite; in them have frequently been found iron and bronze implements, coins and armorial and organic remains. A considerable quantity came to light some years since, of great interest, which will hereafter be mentioned.

* Now in the Museum.

1853*, PART II.
We have here a map of the hill, copied partly from that by a survey of my deceased friend, Crocker, in which I had the pleasure of assisting him. The whole brow of the hill, which is about three miles round, is entrenched, and that with no small labour and skill; a great part being in fine preservation. To whom, then, are these extensive earthworks to be attributed? To be enabled to answer this question, it will be necessary to take a retrospect of how this country has formerly been peopled, of which history gives but a very imperfect account; but we learn that the very early inhabitants of our island were little better than simple savages, unskilled in the art of warfare, and unlikely to have accomplished so great a work. We are informed that the Celtæ, from Gaul, invaded and conquered the country, and retained it until about 350 years before the Christian Era; and this was probably the age of Druidism, in which were erected those Megalithic structures at Stonehenge, Stanton Drew, Abury, and others of that character. Of these people are occasionally found interesting relics, called Celts—weapons, and utensils of bronze, and arrow-heads of flint, &c.

The Belgæ next invaded this country, i.e., about three centuries before the time of Julius Cæsar, and divided it into sixteen states; of which, not to mention others, the Belgæ held Hampshire, Wilts, and Somerset; and as it is stated by historians that they were often engaged in warfare with other states, i.e., with the Morini of Dorsetshire, and the Damonii of Devon, it is very probable that those extensive fortresses of Hamdon, Lambart’s Castle, Piddlesdon, Neroche, and many others, were then formed; which are quite of a different character from those of the Romans, in their irregular shape, following the outline of the elevated ground; whilst we know that the Roman plan of encamp-
ment was constantly quadrangular. But this warlike people in many instances availed themselves of the ready formed ramparts of their predecessors, as on the N.W. part of Hamdon Hill. On that eastern part of this hill called "Butcher Hill," was found, a few years since, a considerable number of iron weapons, long enough for swords, with a socket for a handle, or shaft rudely formed, apparently for spear-heads. These were probably Belgo-British; and here it was Sir R. Hoare's opinion that a considerable town had existed, and at the time the survey was made, the ground was, as I recollect, very irregular, with various excavations, now levelled by the plough. No Roman remains, that I have heard of, have been found in that quarter.

To this period may be attributed the formation of the various British roads and trackways through the country. One of which, afterwards the Roman fosse, and now the well-known turnpike, led from Bath, passing near Shepton Mallet, and through Ilchester to Petherton-bridge (then a ford), from whence it appears to have branched off in two directions; one through Stratton, to Dennington, White-down, Street, and Axminster; another to the right, through Watergore, Hurcott, Atherston, Broadway, and over the common to Neroche. This country was at that period in a state of hostility between the various tribes. The Morini being divided from the Damnonii by the vale of Honiton, appear to have defended themselves by a chain of forts, of which we may reckon Hawkesdown, Musbury, Membury, Lambart's Castle, Pillesdon, and Hamdon: and the frontier forts of the Damnonii appear to have been Woodbury, Sidbury, Hembury, and Neroche, from their strong entrenchments facing eastward—these being the opposing hills on each side of the Axe and the Yarty rivers.
Various other British roads or vicinal ways, may clearly be traced through the country; several having branched off from the British town on the hill, one in particular, eastward, towards the Montacute plantations; and another, as I think, from the valley on the hill, called “The Combe,” northward, passing near a spring of water, called Wambury Spring; which, no doubt, was resorted to by the inhabitants of the hill above. Also, one westward passing through the village of Stoke, to the trackway from Ilchester to Ilminster, and probably passing on through the eastern part of Martock, over a common called Beerly, or Badley, at which place is a remnant of an old road, which points in that direction.

We come now to the more interesting period of invasion by the Romans; first by Julius Cæsar, who does not appear to have interfered much with this part of the country; which was afterwards visited by Claudius in the year A.D. 43; who for some years remained in Britain, and with Vespasian conquered and retained great part of the S.W. of this island; and to this era, and the more peaceful sway of Ostorius, may be attributed the various Roman works still evident around us. Their well disciplined armies, it seems, did not so much depend for safety on the strength of their fortifications, as on their excellent discipline and mode of encampment: but where they found a commanding position already entrenched, like that of Hamdon Hill, they appear to have availed themselves of, and in this case to have occupied it, as far as consistent with their usual plan of encampment, which seems to have extended only as far as the quadrangular portion on the N.W. side;—but where the vallum has been obliterated by the quarry workers. Here exist some interesting remains; amongst which a circus or small ampitheatre, well-known to pic-nic parties,
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by the euphonious appellation of the "frying-pan," to which, by the way it bears no small resemblance on a hot summer's day; in which many a gay dance and scene of jollification are held: and some of my fair hearers may there have "tripped on the light fantastic toe." In front of its outlet (or the stem of the pan) is a level space of considerable length, probably a circus, where public games or courses were exhibited. Near this are some parallel rows of stones, extending to a considerable distance, the design of which is rather mysterious, and not easily to be accounted for; each of them projecting some few inches above the surface (which is here on a considerable declivity), is perforated with an aperture of about two inches in a square or mortice shape. The rows and the distances of the stones which are in rather irregular lines, seven or eight in number, are about twenty feet asunder. Sir R. Hoare, in his paper in the Archæologia*, dismissed this subject too hastily, and very unsatisfactorily, jumping to the conclusion that they were used to tie up the cavalry. This I consider quite improbable. The situation, exposed to the cold N.E. wind, would render it dangerous to the health of the horses; and the ground, sloping in some parts as much as at an angle of thirty degrees, would be inconvenient for that purpose; also the distances between the rows of stones, are much more than would be required. It is also well known that in the Roman mode of encampment, the cavalry were generally in the centre of the camp, surrounded by other troops. Another suggestion is, that these stones were for barriers or seats for spectators to view the games or contests in the amphi-theatre. This is open to the objection that several of the rows are so far distant, that the circus itself could not be perfectly seen by persons

* Archæologia, vol. xxi.
so placed, nor would the seats be so far asunder for that purpose. Were the stones, then, placed for fastening the cords of the tents? This is more feasible; but so very sloping a surface would scarcely be desirable as a domicile for the soldiers. And then, why are the mortice holes square, when the most obvious mode of making a perforation is by a round aperture? There appears to me a choice of difficulties in deciding this point, and I have as yet heard no satisfactory reason given for these stones, nor is it in my power to account for them. I shall be most happy to hear the opinion of our friends who are about to visit the hill.

I have mentioned that about thirty-five years since some very interesting remains were found in a chasm between the masses of rock on the hill, which were noticed by Sir R. Hoare, in the Archæologia, amongst which were the fragments of a light car or chariot; the periphery of the wheel was formed by a single piece of wood, apparently ash, bent into a circle, and bonded with an entire ring of iron, like our modern carriage wheels; the wood part was fossilized or petrified. Various spear-heads of iron and bronze, and parts of harness and military dress were also found; such as spurs of a peculiar make, with a chain attached thereto, bits of bridles, &c. There were also some curious articles of bronze, which had been gilded, that were supposed to be lamps; but on referring to some drawings of Roman harness, I think it pretty certain that such were placed on the front of the saddle as ornamental studs, where we fasten our bearing rein. With these relics were several human skulls and other bones, all partially covered with stalactite; they were mostly taken to Montacute House, and it is sadly to be regretted that they are not now to be found. But the hill still retains in its bosom treasures, (not of gold,) which, as I have mentioned, are
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occasionally exhumed by the quarry-men. A fissure or chasm was laid open a year or two since, in which were found many bones of animals and some human skulls; one of which was taken out by a party of our Society in their excursion on the hill, and is now deposited in our Museum at Taunton. It is the opinion of Dr. Thurnam, an eminent ethnologist, to whom it was sent with a fragment of pottery, that it was either a Roman or an auxiliary in their army. In the same fissure, which is still open, within the last few months, a considerable number of animal and human bones were found; of the latter, portions of no less than five distinct human bodies lay in a mingled mass; they were of various ages, one of a child about two years old, and another of about the age of twelve, the others adults. With these were some remains of a horse, many of canine species, the frontal bone of a goat, and some others.

One of those human skulls is curious as a surgical specimen; there being on its left side the mark of a blow, indenting the outer bone, or tabula dura, with a circular fracture, and driving in the inner or brittle table on the surface of the brain, which no doubt was the cause of death.

It would seem that the chasm having been open at that period, though now closed in at the top, served as a depository for dead bodies and rubbish, thrown in without care, as the bodies lay in various directions.

The rock here, as indeed in most parts of the hill, had been quarried, but not to any great depth, and the rubble of fresh quarries had been thrown thereon. Roman coins are frequently found in the neighbourhood. About forty years since, a large earthen vase was turned up and broken to pieces by the plough, at some distance south from the hill, which contained a large number of such coins, some of which I possess. They are small, mostly of copper,
some of a base white metal, probably tin and copper. A few are well executed, but generally they bear very coarse impressions of the heads of the latter Roman Emperors, i.e., Gallus, Tetricus, Philippus, Posthumus, Valerian, Gallienus, Claudius, Quintillus, Probus, Victorinus, Maximinian, Constantine, and a few others. These coins appear to have been little worn by circulation, having probably been coined, and some cast in moulds, for paying the troops in this country; and had been deposited not long before the evacuation of the country by the Romans. There are also frequently found deposits of smooth pebble-stones, such as are seen on the sea coast, which no doubt were used for slinging, and must have been dangerous projectiles to have come in contact with a human cranium.

There are various outworks, which I think may be clearly traced in the country around. On the south side of the fosse, now the turnpike road, near Vein Bridge, and not far from the section of the new railway, are two parallel lines of entrenchment, in good preservation, about seventy yards in length, not far from a field called Stanchester, which was probably an out-station of the Romans, although there are no remains visible, except stones burnt by fire, turned up by the plough; but tradition speaks of there having been buildings thereon. In a field above Brimpton, and near a spot called Camp, appear some raised lines of earth, much like ramparts, which I think worthy of being more carefully examined. These are near the road leading eastward from Hamdon Hill towards East Coker; near which was found, some years since, the beautiful fragment of tesselated pavement, lately kindly presented to our Society by W. Helyar, Esq., which is now in our Taunton Museum. I must here mention that in the same field from whence it was exhumed, bearing the name of "Chesils,"
or "Chedzils," still remain, at least one, and probably more pavements.

A part of this interesting spot I am happy to announce as having been just laid open by our Yeovil friends, within the last week, and will be one of the subjects of this morning's examination. No doubt this spot was the site of an officer's villa or country residence. Of such, many have been discovered in this country, and are usually at some few miles distant from the military camp, affording a pleasant retreat to their possessors from the din of war. Of the Roman roads in the neighbourhood of the hill, I am sorry not to be able to give a very luminous account. I have mentioned the British trackway, afterwards the Roman fosse, which led through Ischalis (Ilchester), westward, and is now the turnpike-road. At Ilchester, which is said to have been an extensive station of the Romans, other roads met; one from Dorchester (Durnovaria), another from Glastonbury, within a few miles of which the beautiful villa at Pitney was found. That to Dorchester may, I think, be clearly traced for many miles; i.e., to Vagg Hill, where it crosses the turnpike and leads to Preston; then near Furzy Nap, on the Yeovil and Crewkerne road, which it crosses and goes on through Barwick, &c. At Ilchester, some years since, was found a massive and valuable gold ring, bearing a fine head of the Emperor Severus, I believe; it is now in the possession of J. Moore, Esq. Several others have there been dug up, and many coins of Antoninus Pius, Constantine, and others: indeed, the town is still rich in Roman remains, and worthy of antiquarian research. Portions of a causeway crossing the ford are still visible in the bed of the river, a few yards below the bridge. I think it questionable if many truly military roads were formed by the Romans in this part of 1853*, PART II.
the country, though they largely adopted and used the British trackways already formed, which suited their purpose.

On contemplating those remains, the work of men whose bones for many centuries have mingled with the dust, and on witnessing those vestiges of military skill, which was requisite when this country was in a state of warfare, the mind is led into an awful yet pleasing feeling of veneration. This classic spot, Hamdon Hill, which once resounded with the clang of arms, and the clamour of sanguinary strife, is now the retreat of rural quiet, and silence, unbroken, except by the tinkling of the sheep-bell, the simple strains of the shepherd boy attending his flock, or occasionally by the merry music of a pic-nic party. It is a matter of grateful felicitation that we are now living in an era when the progress of civilization, the march of intellect, and, above all, the benign influence of Christianity, have rendered such fortresses no longer necessary; for in this favored country, once the scene of idolatrous superstition and savage life; and since that of civil warfare and intestine commotion; we are now allowed to sit each "under his own vine and fig-tree," and enjoy the bountiful gifts of a kind Providence in peace and safety.
On the formation of Peat Bogs and Turbaries, which extend from the Bristol Channel into the central parts of Somersetshire.

BY REV. W. PHELPS, F.S.A.

THE various changes apparent on the surface of the earth since the first creation, have attracted the attention of the philosopher from an early period of the history of the world; whilst the more recent discoveries of the geologist, have developed the great disorganisation and derangement of the component parts of the outward crust of the habitable globe.

The effects of the general deluge are visible both on the surface of the earth and beneath it; and when we penetrate its superficial crust, or descend into the deepest mines, we find evident traces of the awful catastrophe consequent upon the breaking up of the "fountains of the great deep"; and the present state of the earth's surface is not such as could have been the result of its original formation, for it
bears everywhere the traces of great derangement and revolution. The lapse of time since the first constitution of our earth, must have occasioned great changes; the effects of climate, heat and frosts, being everywhere discoverable in rounding and smoothing the rugged character of the surface, and reducing the more elevated points.

Since the period of the universal deluge, these ever acting causes have been in operation; but there are others aiding and assisting in the same great changes, which are apparent on the earth's surface. The ocean, which received the Almighty fiat, "Hitherto shalt thou come, and no further, nor turn again to cover the earth," by its constant agitation, and the flowing and ebbing of its tides, has also produced considerable changes on the shores exposed to its influence. On the eastern coast of Kent its inroads have overwhelmed immense tracts of land, which are now called the Goodwin Sands, from the name of their possessor, the Earl of Kent, and are now extensive shoals, dangerous to mariners.

In these changes we may observe something like a balanced and compensating effect between destruction and renovation by the powerful agency of the sea; headlands and promontories, whose component parts are of a soft and friable nature, yield to the constant action of the waves, and are washed away; but the soil thus torn from the heights is annually thrown back, and according to its quality forms extensive tracts of marsh land along the less exposed parts of the coast, or is accumulated in the sea, becoming shoals and sand-banks along the shore.

2. The extended marshes which border on the Bristol Channel, in Somersetshire, bear evident traces of having been, at an early period, æstuaries of the sea, and their boundaries may be easily traced along the base of the
elevated lands which surround them, at the level of high water. The tides of the Bristol Channel are remarkable for the great height to which they rise, compared with those on the south coast of Devon, arising from the peculiar form of the shores of that estuary, being funnel-formed, and exposed to the full force and action of the tidal wave of the Atlantic ocean. The spring tides rise at the Holmes to the height of 40 feet or more, and to this cause we attribute the vast extent of marsh land on the borders of the Bristol Channel, whilst on the coast of the English Channel at Lyme, the rise is only 17 feet. The silty bottom is sometimes in the form of sand, as at Westhay in Meare; at others, deposits of marine exuviae, which have been driven up into banks in the interior of these marshes, and have also formed lines of a former shore, easily to be traced along many parts of the border of King's Sedgemoor, at Sutton-Mallet, Compton-Dundon, under Ham-hill, Othery, Middlezoy, and Weston-zoyland. The etymology of the latter villages indicates their situation in the "Sowey," or "Zoyland"—that is sea-land.

The subjacent clay does not contain shells, but the alluvial deposit abounds with both marine and fresh-water shells. At the present time the sea would overflow these moors, if it were not excluded by banks and tide sluices in the rivers; as the ordinary level of spring tides is above the surface of the adjoining lands near Glastonbury, and the moors around Meare, Wedmore, Axbridge, and on the borders of the great Brent Marsh. There is a record in the church of St. Benedict, Glastonbury, of the height to which the water of an inundation of the sea, rose.

The substratum of these marshes is red marl, which occasionally rises up into ridges of moderate elevation,
running parallel with the Mendip hills, and is overlaid with beds of blue and white lias rock. This indurated marl is seen on the sides of the deep channels of the rivers Axe and Parret, near their exit into the sea.

3. The filling up of these marshes next claims our attention, and here we find natural causes operating in a variety of ways to effect it. The waters of the Bristol Channel are remarkable for their muddy appearance. This arises from the beds of clay over which they flow, situated at the entrance and along the bed of the river Severn, and other streams which flow into it from the clay soils of Somersetshire. The waters thus saturated with clay being kept in constant agitation by the tides, which here flow with great rapidity, and rise to the height of from forty to fifty feet perpendicular, necessarily leave a great deposit of alluvial matter, whenever its current becomes impeded, or its motion retarded and stopped. This sediment being exposed to the influence of the sun and wind, during the interval between the ebb and flow of the tide, becomes in a certain degree hardened, and receives the deposit of the next tide; and so by repeated accumulations the whole becomes consolidated and in time fit for the purposes of vegetation. It may further be remarked, that the difference in the height of the spring and neap tides leaves a considerable space of its shore dry for several days. During this interval, aquatic plants and grasses grow up, and on the return of the next spring tides become a receptacle for the subsequent deposits, which increase so rapidly as soon to form banks above the level of the ordinary tides; which in the course of time become the barrier against itself, so that the highest tides only pass over it. The consequence of this barrier was, it converted the low lands of the interior into a lake, or morass, covered during the
winter months with fresh water; and formed a lake of considerable extent, having a small outlet into the sea at the points, no doubt where the rivers Parret, Brue, and Axe, now discharge their waters. In this way we may readily conclude, the great barrier and ridge of sand banks running from Brean Down to Burnham and Huntspill were formed, the river Brue being the outlet on the south-west at Highbridge, and the Axe of the district lying at the foot of the Mendip range.*

4. We find the former estuary blocked up by the barrier noticed above, converted into a lake, covering the extent of district previously overflowed by the tide, though now occasionally affected by its entering the level by the outlets or rivers which carried off the flood waters. At this period, we may presume the labours of man commenced; when by sluices erected at the mouths of rivers, and by banks thrown up along their course, the influx of the tide was prevented from inundating the low lands. In consequence of these works, the lands becoming partially dry in the summer, vegetation soon began and spread rapidly over the district, and was the origin of the rich pastures now to be seen in these situations. In the more morassy parts, aquatic plants soon covered the waters, and became the incipient ingredients in the formation of the Peat bogs.

It has been observed that no stream, whatever its size,

* The following observations made by Mr. De Luc, a foreign geologist who visited England some years since, are striking, and show the rapid accumulation of alluvial marine deposits. "When," says he, "the tide rises towards the coast, the whole moves together forward, and exercises its action on the bottom, agitating and raising the mud which it carries towards the shore, and there deposits it during the interval between the flowing and ebbing of the tide; but when the water retreats it flows back from the surface only, beginning from the shore, and exercising scarcely any action on the bottom."—De Luc's Travels in England.
from the smallest brook to the Mississippi or Ganges, flows onwards for any considerable distance in the direct line of its descent. Its bias continually oscillates from one side to the other, in proportion to the inequalities of the sides of its channel. From this oscillatory mode of advancing forwards, all streams have a tendency to wear themselves a channel in a serpentine form. Where the stratum is of a uniform character and density, the curves of the river are generally alternate on each side, and correspond with almost geometrical exactness; the angle of incidence equalling the angle of reflection.

It may not be irrelevant to our present subject, to notice in this place, the singular curvatures of the channels of the rivers Parret, Axe, and Brue, at a short distance before they enter the Bristol Channel. On casting the eye to the map of the county of Somerset, we discover each of these streams to make a curve of considerable extent near their embouchure, almost encompassing a large tract of alluvial land.

5. The filling up of these morasses, next claims our attention, and here we find two causes in operation at the same time; the one, the growth of aquatic vegetables, subsequently converted into peat; and the accumulation of alluvial matter brought down by the rivers in floods, and deposited on the lands within reach of their influence.

The action of running water is a powerful agent in carrying away the finer particles of the earth's surface, when rendered soft and friable by the effects of frosts, and by the descent of rain in sudden showers and storms, sweeping away a considerable portion of the softer strata. And we also find a volume of water rushing down an inclined plane, with a force increased by being confined between high banks, or contracted between projecting
rocks on points on each side of its course, carries with it large portions of coarser matter, as stones, gravel, and sands into the lower parts of a valley, and there deposits the larger bodies first, then the smaller, and lastly the finer, according to their respective densities, till a stratum of alluvial matter is spread over the surface of the adjoining land which in time becomes fertilized in so high a degree as to be almost inexhaustible; and to this cause also is to be attributed the level appearance of all these marshes, as nothing but the action of water could have caused their present level character.

The rapid accumulation of alluvial deposit in any situation open to the influx of the tides of the Bristol Channel, and not affected by a counter current, is demonstrated by the filling up of the original bed of the river Brue, at Highbridge. The old channel was abandoned in consequence of a new outlet being made, about fifty years since, to improve the drainage of the marsh above. This cavity was filled up in about 25 years, to a depth of nearly twenty feet, with the deposit of the tide, and became cultivated land, producing fine crops of corn. It was excavated again, and now forms the entrance to the Glastonbury Canal navigation.

The time required to effect these changes is as nothing in the calendar of nature, when measured by the standard of human calculation. Our own recollection is sufficient to have witnessed the great change which has taken place in these marshes in less than half a century. When, therefore, we refer these considerations to a period of two or three thousand years only, we see there has been ample time for effecting these changes on the earth's surface.

Our ancestors, aware of the vast importance of protecting this district from inundation by sea or land water,
which would fill the marsh low lands, obtained a Royal Commission (33 Edward I., 1304) to inquire into these important matters, when Robert de Clare, Earl of Gloucester, Gilbert de Bere, and John Gereberd, were appointed inspectors. Afterwards we find similar commissions issued to the possessors of lands, manors, and lordships bordering on these marshes, among whom are the names of Sir Matthew de Furneaux, John de Merriet, Richard de Rodenay, John de Godelce, Dean of Wells, John de Clevedon, Sir John St. Loe, and many other influential persons in the reigns of Edward II., Edward III., and subsequent sovereigns.

ON THE FORMATION OF MARSH PEAT.

1. Peat is a vegetable formation, which overspreads certain extensive tracts of land in various parts of the earth's surface. In Ireland, Scotland, and England we find it to a considerable extent; in the former portion of the united kingdom a vast portion of its surface is covered by this formation, even now almost in a state of nature, and unproductive to the use of man.

   Peat is of two kinds, viz.,

   I. Mountain-peat, a black mould, with numerous grains of quartz sand intermixed with it, and found on the top of mountains; and

   II. Bog-peat, which is the subject of our investigation.

   In Somersetshire we find extensive tracts of land provincially called Turbaries, filled with peat, and a short account of its natural history forms a necessary appendage to the observations which we have already addressed to your notice.
"Peat," according to the definition given by Mr. Parkinson, "is a congeries of various sorts of vegetables collected in water, which to the last degree of their decomposition, retain their combustible property, and may be deemed a secondary fossil."

"Peat," says Professor Brande, "is a superficial stratum of vegetable matter, which at different depths is undergoing, or has undergone various stages of change and decomposition. Its superficial appearance is that of a mass of half-decayed mosses, rushes, heath, and grass. The roots having successively died away, though the upper part of the plant continued to vegetate. The mass is ligneous, and imbued with humus and humic acid, among other products of slow decay; and the abundance of moisture pervading the bog reflects the character at once of the peat and of the district."

"The upper layers of the bog are usually loose and fibrous, and of a pale brown colour. Beneath the surface the density is found to increase, sometimes to a great extent. At length, the distinct characters of the vegetables cease to be discernible, and the mass appears nearly homogeneous, and of a dark brown or blackish colour. Trunks of trees, and some geological phenomena occasionally present themselves."

Peat may be rendered valuable either from the charcoal which may be obtained from it, and by the various products derivable from what is called its destructive distillation. The elements of peat are essentially those of wood and coal, viz., carbon, nitrogen, hydrogen, and oxygen; and when distilled in close vessels, the products obtained would, as might be expected, resemble the products of a similar operation on wood and coal. The efficiency of this charcoal in the manufacture of iron, in
consequence of the small quantity of sulphur it contains, is proved, and its deodorising and purifying qualities are extremely valuable.

In drying, peat fully decomposed loses one-third of its weight; the lighter surface turf, one-half. Four tons of dried peat will give about one ton of charcoal, and its products on distillation are:—1. Sulphate of ammonia. 2. Acetate of lime. 3. Pyroxylic spirit. 4. Naptha. 5. Heavy fixed oil. 6. Paraffine, a material to be used in making candles.*

The situation in which it is generally found has been either lakes of moderate depth, or hollows on the surface of the ground capable of retaining water at all seasons of the year; and the progress and growth of peat is thus described by an intelligent observer:—"Reeds constantly precede the other vegetables in lakes, because they are able to raise themselves above the surface of the water from a greater depth than most other aquatic plants; thus they advance forward in proportion as the bottom attains a sufficient elevation in their front; as soon as they gain a certain height, other aquatic plants begin to grow, and rise between their stalks, till they become so thick as, at the last, to occupy the place of the reeds before them. The reeds advance in front; the conservae thicken the mass, which is soon overspread by the sphagnum tribe, lichens, rushes, grasses, and a variety of plants natural to such situations. These plants become so thickset that they consolidate on the surface on which they grow, and sink with it into the water. The mosses and conservae rise again the next year, and cover the surface on which they grow, producing a new race of plants; these in turn decay, and sink from the surface, and at length reach the bottom.

* Athenæum for February, 1852, p 221.
of the water, and by the pressure of the annually accumulating mass, become consolidated, whilst those on the surface also grow, decay, and drop in their turn; so that in the course of years a spongy mass is gradually elevated above the circumjacent waters, and finally becomes so solid that heaths, willows, and other ligneous plants grow up and cover the surface with their beautiful foliage and flowers."

2. It seems requisite to the formation of peat that the waters of the morass should be stagnant and not exposed to the admixture with other water or currents, as the vegetable particles which compose peat being macerated in the water, the water wherein they grow becomes highly astringent and antiseptic, and congenial to accelerate the growth of peat vegetables. If, however, a current of water passes through it, the astringent juice is washed away, and the chemical agent for converting vegetable matter into peat is then lost.

This mass or congeries of plants, by the alternations of growth and decay, forms the bed of peat, and continues to increase rapidly, if undisturbed, soon rising above the adjoining lands, being kept in a buoyant state in the winter and summer by the water contained in the spongy matter. The mass of peat also rises in the winter, and in the summer, in proportion to the quantity of water accumulated in the basin on which it rests. This curious circumstance is noticed by the inhabitants living on the borders of these Turbaries, who see objects across the bog in the summer, which are intercepted by the elevation of the surface of the peat in winter.

The general thickness of the vegetable mass in the centre of the bog is from fifteen to eighteen feet. A common opinion prevails that the pits cut for fuel grow up
again in a few years, which is an error. The cause of these pits becoming filled with turfy matter is that the pressure on the particular spot having been removed by the excavation of the peat, the substratum being in a semi-fluid state is forced up into the pit, by the pressure of the surrounding mass. The surface by its buoyancy thus keeps out of the reach of floods, which would otherwise stop the further growth of the peat bog plants, and its alluvial deposit on the surface, would cause an almost immediate growth of pasture grasses.

This mass of decomposed vegetable matter becomes, at the depth of about three or four feet, an homogeneous semi-fluid and dark-coloured substance, and undergoes a fermentation, which develops the bituminous and inflammable property. In this state, when dug and dried for fuel, it affords a highly combustible substance, and produces, when in a state of ignition, hydrogen gas, ammoniacal liquor, and coal-tar, and seems to corroborate the opinion that coal owes its origin to vegetable matter.

The coffee-coloured water always found in the pits dug out for fuel, has an astringent taste, and is so highly antiseptic, that animal matter immersed in it may be preserved a great length of time, undecomposed. An attempt was made some years since, to apply it to the purposes of tanning leather. The tanning principle was however found to be too weak to effect any beneficial purpose. Dr. Rutty, in his Essay on the Mineral waters of the kingdom, observes, "Moss water is possessed of an antiseptic and embalming property, and not only remains pure and free from putrescency, but it retards the putrid fermentation both of vegetable and animal matter immersed in it; that the ligneous shrubs, trees and parts of animals are found in a state of unusual preservation, as is seen in the oak
and other trees, constantly found embedded in it in a perfect state; and," he adds, "the air of peat bogs is more salubrious in consequence."

Peat is impervious to water in a high degree, and retains it like clay. When dry it becomes a hard, tough, and ponderous mass, and is one of the most insoluble substances, and least liable to decay. In Holland it is frequently used to lay under the foundations of their houses, where it remains unchanged for ages, and when the building has been totally decayed by time, the peat remained entire. Peat contains, in 100 parts, from 60 to 80 parts of matter destructible by fire, and the residuum consists of earth, usually the same kind as the substratum of soil on which it rests, together with a portion of the oxide of iron. Kirwan, states "that a piece of dried peat was put into the boiler of a steam engine for three months, yet though exposed to heat greater than boiling water, it remained unchanged. The only appearance it exhibited, was that the surface of it was covered over with a kind of powder of iron which attracted the magnet; the centre and all but the surface remained unchanged."

3. The accumulation of alluvial clay and other earthy matter over the peat formation is visibly ascertained in the excavations made in forming new channels for the draining of these marshes, and in digging foundations for bridges, as at Highbridge, in 1804. At the depth of seven feet in the alluvial deposit, the workmen came to a stratum of indurated peat,* lying beneath it, and on it a heap of Roman pottery in fragments, with pieces of small bricks, such as are used to separate vessels in the kiln when they are burnt; also, moulds for casting coins, we presume of zinc,

* This peat so compressed is called pill-coal, being nearly as ponderous as coal.
procured from the Mendip Hills, which much resemble silver, and formed the spurious coins. All these circumstances prove that the surface of the peat was at that time dry, when occupied by the Romans. The late Mr. Anstice, of Bridgwater, who superintended the building of the sluices of Highbridge, found a considerable collection of Roman fragments. He also discovered the traces of the Roman road across Brent-Marsh, (coming from "Trajectus," Portbury, by Banwell, and "Bomium," Cross,) six feet below the present surface of the land, shewing how much the marsh has been elevated since the time of the Romans.

The surface of the peat bogs in Somersetshire is generally covered with varieties of heath, willows, bog-myrtle, and numerous other ligneous plants; lichens, sphagnum, and mosses, all interesting to the botanist.

Since it has been drained, and subdivided by ditches and watercourses, the whole has become more consolidated, and large plantations of forest trees, fir, birch, alder, &c., have been made. The oak is still found in some parts, growing luxuriantly, and to a considerable size in the peat. When the surface of the bog has been broken by digging, a decomposition of the peat takes place, and in a little time becomes a black light vegetable mould, capable of producing grass, corn, potatoes, and turnips. To give it a proper stimulus, however, the application of lime and heavy earth of a tenacious quality, is necessary to consolidate its particles; when it becomes capable of bearing wheat and other white crops; and when laid down to grass affords excellent pasture, for the feeding of cows particularly. Large tracts have been dug up, and brought into cultivation.

The following vegetables enter into the formation of
peat—Schoenus maricus, schoenus conglomeratus, arundo phragmites, juncus squarrosus, juncus articulatus, potamogeton of different kinds, myriophyllum, ceratophyllum, lemma, byssus, equisetum, eriophorum and polystachio-vaginatum, sphagnum, lichens. We find on the surface myrica gale, andromeda polifolia, nartliecium ossifragum, drosera latifolia and rotundifolia.

In these peat bogs we also find oak and other forest trees lying prostrate, with their roots decayed, embedded in the peat, about two feet beneath the present surface. They are in such numbers as to leave no doubt but that a wood heretofore covered the bog. By what change of circumstances they became destroyed is a matter of speculation. Oak, and other trees of the kind we find there, do not grow in water; and at the period when these trees flourished on the spot, no water overspread the surface. Now, the tract of land on which they grew is below the level of the tide at high water; it therefore follows, that some barrier must have been erected at the mouth of the river to keep back the influx of the tide water.

The following is an attempt to account for this phenomenon. We learn from ancient documents, the whole of this tract of country was an extensive morass, and held by the Abbots of Glastonbury. At a period which we cannot precisely fix, a sluice was erected at the mouth of the river Brue, to keep back the tide from entering the river; but most probably when the Abbot of Glaston made a new channel for the river Brue, from Northover, to form a navigable communication with the Bristol Channel, a collateral channel was then dug to communicate with the Axe, called Pilrow-cut, of which the traces now remain, secured also by a tide sluice, against the influx of the tide at "New-bay," the point to which the Axe was at that
time navigable, and was the canal and port of the Abbot of Glastonbury. This produced a considerable effect in relieving the country from its waters, and it is probable during this period, and before the dissolution of the monastery, in 1545, the trees occupied the soil, and continued to grow there. On the dissolution of that monastery an interval elapsed, during which the property of the Abbot fell into the hands of the crown, and that attention to the canal and outlets for the water (which had been so carefully cleansed, under the positive injunctions of the Abbots to their tenants, and formed a part of the covenants under which they held their land), became neglected and choked up with weeds, so as to impede the course of the water into the Axe and Brue. The result of this negligence was, that the low lands became again covered with water, surrounding the trees which grew on the surface, which soon decayed at their roots, and were thrown down by storms. The plants which compose peat were again called into activity, and soon covered these prostrate trees to the depth of two or three feet, where they now lie, preserved from decay by the antiseptic quality of the peat.

On a subsequent drainage taking place, this marsh was reduced to a lake about 500 acres in extent, called Meare Pool, and in this state it continued till the year 1800, when an Act of Parliament was obtained for perfecting the drainage; the effect of which has been to convert the lake and morass into fine pasture land during the summer, and it is annually enriched by the alluvial deposits brought down by the river Brue, which during the winter months is suffered to overflow the district.

By draining and dividing this bog into fields, by ditches, a consolidation has taken place, and the surface rendered capable of agricultural operations, and from the improve-
ments made during the last forty years, we may predict that before the end of the present century scarcely a vestige of the peat bog in this district will be discoverable, and the future botanist will seek in vain for those rare indigenous plants which now flourish in this district.

Such are some of the changes the surface of the earth is now undergoing, from natural as well as artificial causes.

The survey of the river Parrett and its tributary streams, with observations on King's Sedgemoor, and the extensive marshes bordering on the rivers Parrett, Tone, Ivel, and Ile, will form the subject of a future paper.
Woodspring Priory.

BY REV. F. WARRE.

The rise of the celebrated Thomas a' Becket, otherwise St. Thomas of Canterbury, to almost unlimited power under King Henry II.; his contest with that monarch on the subject of Papal jurisdiction and the rights of the church; and his bloody murder at the very foot of the altar, in the year 1170; are historical facts known to everybody: and whether we consider him according to the bias of our religious and political opinions, a turbulent traitor, a patriotic assertor of the rights of the commons, a champion of the oppressed Saxon against his Norman tyrant, a hot-headed zealot, or a martyr to the Church of Christ, we can hardly deny him the credit of having been a sincere, honest, fearless, and single-minded man. But though these are facts generally known and now almost as generally admitted, there are perhaps, even among the present company, some who may not be aware that of the four fierce Barons who in consequence of a hasty speech of their King, perpetrated the atrocious murder of an Archbishop at the altar of his own cathedral, three at least, if not all, were west countrymen, and two undoubtedly residents in this county. Fitzurse, of Williton; Brito, of Sandford Bret; Tracy, of Morthoe, near
Ilfracombe, and Morville, who I believe was also a landowner in Devonshire, were the actors in a tragedy which caused a general feeling of horror through the whole Christian world. That powerful monarch, Henry II., proud Plantagenet as he was, submitted to a degrading penance, having been publicly scourged before the high altar by the monks of Canterbury, while a' Becket, canonized by the Romish church, was even to the time of the Reformation held in veneration as a saint and martyr, and miracles were said to have been worked at his shrine, which the gifts of persons of all ranks and nations soon rendered one of the richest in Europe.

Under these circumstances we can easily suppose that the descendants and relations of these unhappy men would have been most anxious to testify their regret, and according to the custom of the day to endeavour by gifts to the church to expiate the crime of his murder. It was to this feeling that the Priory of Woodspring,* or Worspring, owed its foundation. We find preserved in the Cottonian Library an autograph letter from William de Courteney, who was nearly related to the Tracy family, to the Bishop of Bath, signifying and submitting to him his intention of founding a conventual house at Worspring, for the good of the souls of his father, Robert, there buried, of his mother, himself, his wife, and those of his ancestors and descendants; and we find that in the year 1210 the same William de Courteney removed the house of canons regular of St. Augustine, dedicated originally to the blessed Virgin and St. Thomas a' Becket, from Dodelyn, to his manor of Woodspring, and endowed it with considerable property. At this removal it was dedicated to the Holy Trinity, St.

* Woodspring is situated in the parish of Kewstoke, 8 miles N.W. of Axbridge, Somerset.
Mary the Virgin, and St. Thomas the Martyr. The accounts of this Priory are unfortunately very meagre. The canons probably led a contemplative and unambitious life, and we may hope a religious and useful one also; for they enjoyed a good repute with their neighbours, as may be inferred from a charter of the 18th of Edward II., which recites and confirms the grant to this Priory of lands in Somerset, Wilts, and Dorset, by William de Courteney, Galfrid Gilbewyn, Hugo de Nyweton, Henry Engayne, Robert Offre, John de Eston, Alicia Offre, Henry de Pendeny, Henry Limechest, and Richard de Hordwell, many of them names still in existence in this part of England. At the valuation of its estate in the 26th of Henry VIII., its annual income amounted to £87: 2s. 11\(\frac{1}{2}\)d., and the head is styled Abbot, though it was undoubtedly a Priory of canons regular of St. Augustine, following the rule of St. Victor, and connected with the great Abbey of St. Augustine, founded at Bristol by Fitzharding of Berkeley. A very imperfect impression of the common seal of this Priory is extant in the Chapter House of Westminster, appended to the acknowledgement of supremacy, A.D. 1534. It represents an ancient church, situated over an arch, underneath which is the gigantic head of a man; the impression is in red wax.* This is all that I have been able to collect of the history of the society which for so many years inhabited these conventual buildings; and though it might be

* From Rutter's Somerset, page 59. "The name of the earliest recorded Prior was John, in 1266, 50th of Henry III. Reginald Prior, in 1317, 10th Edward II. Thomas lived in 1383, 6th of Richard II. Thomas de Banwell died in 1414, 2nd of Henry V. Peter Loviare was elected in the same year. William Lustre died in 1457, 35th of Henry VI. John Turman was elected in the presence of six canons, in 1458, 36th of Henry VI. Richard Sprung was Prior in 1498, 13th of Henry VII., and resigned in 1525, 16th of Henry VIII. The last Prior was Roger Tormenton, elected 24th September, 1525, but on 21st day of August, 1534, subscribed to King Henry's supremacy."
more interesting had they taken a more active part in the affairs of their times, it certainly can be no cause of blame to a body of churchmen that they followed, as we will charitably hope they did, the duties of their profession according to the light they possessed, and abstained from interference in temporal matters.

I will now proceed briefly to point out the most remarkable features of the venerable remains of this once beautiful structure. The first point to which I would draw attention is the entrance, which consists of a large gateway. There is a smaller door or wicket on the north side. The arches in both cases are segmental or small segments of large circles, a form not uncommon in buildings of the 14th century, to which date their construction may safely be referred. The weather moulding of the large gateway, is a very fine specimen of the style, being composed of the scroll moulding, with a bead under it, which is rarely met with except in buildings of that period. The projections on each side of the road ornamented with escutcheons, on one of which is blazoned the fine stigmata or wounds of our Saviour; on the other, a chevron between three bugles; are probably of comparatively modern construction. We now find ourselves in a small court, bounded on the north by a range of domestic buildings, which I should be inclined to suppose of Post-reformation date, though some parts, particularly the string course, may be older; and on the west by the wall of the cloisters, which retain some fine gurgoils. Immediately before us is the west front of the church. This, when perfect, must have presented to the beholder a very fine composition of late Perpendicular character, though now sadly mutilated by the insertion of modern windows. The large west window, now built up, occupied nearly the whole of this front, rising from a bold
string course which extends from buttress to buttress; these are in the form of octagonal turrets. The cornice moulding of the building, is peculiarly bold and good, and passes round these turrets, which are raised above it and terminate with an embattled parapet, under which is a course of quatrefoils, each side of the octagon being occupied by one quatrefoil within a square; that part of the turret which is above the cornice moulding, projects slightly beyond the lower walls. On each side of the window was a canopied niche, and there appears to have been a similar one above; this and that on the south have been totally obliterated; in that on the north an episcopal figure may still be traced. We now enter the cloister; the entrance to it from the church is now the door of the farm house, into which the whole nave and north aisle, as far as the tower, have been converted. The nave, with the central tower, a fine Perpendicular structure of somewhat earlier date than the rest of the church, consists of three bays having large windows, (now built up) of a character similar to that in the west front. On the south side of the tower is a staircase turret, terminating in a pyramidal pinnacle, with a finial and parapet of Tudor flowers, an arrangement very common in this neighbourhood. On the north side is an aisle of three bays, (having an entrance to the church in that at the western extremity,) extending as far as the eastern side of the tower, into which it opened by a splendid arch, the effect of which, together with that opening to the nave, must have been very fine. The whole of the remaining part of the church, with the exception of the tower is occupied by the present dwelling-house. I am unable to say anything as to the piers and arcade between the nave and aisle. The fan tracery of the tower is very beautiful. The chancel or
choir, which, as in all conventual buildings, was long, no
doubt extended much to the east of the tower, and took
away from the apparent height of the church. It is now
totally destroyed, though the chancel arch remains. I wish
to call your attention to the fact that the lower part of the
south-west buttress of the tower is composed of part of
the jamb of a window, similar to that in the tower, which
would lead us to suppose that it had been built up after the
destruction of the chancel, perhaps to supply the support
the tower was deprived of by the demolition of the chancel
walls. There is also on the north side of the tower,
at the height of the roof of the aisle, a mass of masonry,
for which I am totally at a loss to account. The remains
of the cloisters, which are of the 14th century, occupy the
space on the south of the nave and tower, the west wall of
the enclosure standing flush with the west front of the
church; the entrance from them to the church, is, as I
have before mentioned, the door of the modern farmhouse,
above which the pitch of the cloister roof is distinctly
marked upon the wall. All vestiges of the interior of
these cloisters have vanished, with the exception of what
appears to be the remains of a corbel table, and the entrance
to a small turret at the south-west corner. Opposite to this
there was a passage to the refectory, and in the east wall
of the enclosure are two arches, now built up, and the
mutilated remains of a doorway, the arch of which is of
Decorated character, and must, with its elaborate cusps,
have been exceedingly beautiful. The domestic buildings
of the Priory, including the Prior’s lodging, occupied the
greater part of the orchard on the east of the church, as
is evident from the marks of foundations, extending nearly
over its whole extent. All these, however, are gone, with
the exception of the refectory, which is now used as a
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waggon-house. This is a very beautifully proportioned room of early Perpendicular character, 45 feet long, and 19 wide; the eastern part has suffered from the ravages of time and violence, but the rest is nearly perfect; on the north side it was lighted by two very beautiful windows, the traces of which still remain; they are of two lights, and are divided by a transom. Two doorways give access to this noble hall, one at the west end, over which is a small window of two lights; the other at the east end of the north side, the very elaborate mouldings of which are still in fine preservation. A staircase turret may be observed in ruins, on the south side, but I can find no traces of any fire-place. These, with the exception of a very fine monastic barn, which stands in a perfect state on the north side of the Priory, are all that remain of the magnificent foundation of William de Courteney.

I cannot, however, leave the subject without mentioning the discovery of a very curious reliquary in Kewstoke Church, as it is probably connected with the dedication of Woodspring Priory to St. Thomas of Canterbury. The weight of the clerestory having forced out the north wall, which was of fourteenth century work, it was recently pulled down, and a mutilated piece of carved work, built into it, on being removed, was discovered to be a reliquary. In the front is carved a figure in an arched niche, having shafts of Early English character. This figure, the face of which seems to have been purposely mutilated, holds something, probably a heart, in its hands, but it is so defaced that it is now quite impossible to decide what it is. At the back was discovered an arched recess, having within a small wooden cup, containing what is supposed to be the residuum of human blood. This reliquary is manifestly of earlier date
than the wall into which it was built, and appears from the capitals of its shafts nearly to correspond in style with that in use about the time of the dedication of Woodspring. The opinion of the Archaeological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland, to which it was submitted, was, that it probably contained the most valuable relique possessed by the Priory,—some of the blood of Thomas a' Becket, and that the monks, foreseeing the desecration of their conventual church, had deposited it in the parish church of Kewstoke, hoping by that means to preserve from profanation a relique, in their eyes, of the greatest sanctity, being no less than the blood of their murdered patron, St. Thomas of Canterbury.

This invaluable reliquary is, by the kindness of the Rev. R. C. Hathway, the Vicar of Kewstoke, at present in the Museum of the Society at Taunton, and is perhaps the most curious discovery of the kind that has been made in England for many years.
Observations on the Marine Flora of Somerset.

BY ISABELLA GIFFORD,
Author of the "Marine Botanist."

IN examining the chief characteristics of the Marine Flora of Somerset, I shall at first enumerate those kinds which are indigenous on the coast, commencing with the Melanosperms or olive-green division of the Algae. We find four species of Fuci growing luxuriantly throughout the district, viz. :—Fucus vesiculosus, F. serratus, F. nodosus, and F. canaliculatus. Not so any examples of the Laminariaceae, nor of the Sporochnus, Dictyota and Chordaria tribes. In the Ectocarpaceae, we have Cladostephus spongiosus, growing occasionally on stones near low-water mark below Minehead Pier,—and the beaded or moniliform fruited variety of Ectocarpus littoralis, I find on wood-work near high-water mark at Minehead. This is the kind described in the first and second editions of "Harvey's Manual of the British Algae," but is not that figured in the "Phycologia," t. 198. This last has oblong striated fruit, and appears to vegetate at a greater depth than the former. Professor Walker Arnott observes, that
in my Minehead plants the ordinary cells are slightly constricted at the dissepiments, a peculiarity which he had not before remarked, and proving to him that Cladophora Gattyae, figured at t. 355, b. of the "Phycologia," is an Ectocarpus, and he believes this very plant in a young state. Ectocarpus tomentosus has the same habitat as E. littoralis, and often grows intermixed with it. By the naked eye E. tomentosus may be distinguished from the latter by its much finer filaments, resembling in size those of E. siliculosus,* but usually more intertwined and twisted together than in this plant. The fruit when viewed through the microscope is seen to be very different from that on E. littoralis. It consists of obtuse and linear oblong silicules, supported on little pedicels. In E. siliculosus the silicules are drawn out and very acute at the tips.

Proceeding to the Red Series in the first tribe (Rhodomeniaceae), two species of Polysiphonia claim our notice; the one, P. nigrescens, grows commonly in tide pools along the coast; and the other, P. fastigiata, abounds on its usual habitat, the old fronds of Fucus nodosus. In the next tribe (Laurenciae), we have only Laurencia pinnatifida, rarely found at Minehead, but common in the pools on Bossington beach. Corallina officinalis, belonging to that curious tribe of algae whose tissues are firmly encased in a coating of carbonate of lime, is extremely abundant in all pools along the shore. In the Rhodymeniaceae we cannot reckon with certainty, more than Gracilaria conservoides,

* In salt-water ditches, near Shirehampton, Gloucestershire, Mr. Thwaites discovered a species (Ectocarpus amphibius, Harv.) with fruit intermediate in character between that of E. littoralis and E. siliculosus. It does not appear to have been observed in Somerset. In the salt-water ditches on the Norfolk coast it is noted as "not unfrequent." I have looked in vain for it in the tide ditches at Minehead. E. siliculosus I sometimes see growing with E. littoralis. It appears, however, in such a poor condition and so rarely that it seems hardly to deserve notice.
which is frequent in sandy pools, to the west of Minehead Pier. Several species of the Cryptonemiaceae occur on the coast, the rarest of which is Grateloupia filicina, growing in pools on the Warren beach at Minehead, and I believe, with the exception of Aberystwith, this is the northernmost station that has yet been recorded for the plant. Gelidium corneum μ, clavatum, covers the rocks at Clevedon in dense patches, barely half an inch in height, and at Minehead it grows on wood work near high-water mark. Catenella opuntia occurs in the same habitats as this species, both at Clevedon and Minehead. I have also seen it vegetating in crevices of the large boulders, under Greenaleigh-hill, at Minehead. Gigartina mammilosa is found in pools on the Warren beach, at Minehead, and is frequent at Bos- sington. Chondrus crispus abounds in all the pools along the coasts, but nearly ceases to vegetate at Clevedon, where I only observed one small plant of it. Polyides rotundus is common, growing in pools near low-water mark, on the Warren beach, at Minehead; and Dumontia filiformis is likewise found there in pools nearer high-water mark, and on Bossington beach. Among the tribe of the Ceramiaceae, three species of the genus Ceramium are frequent; the common C. rubrum is abundant on stones and on other algæ in tide pools. I remark at Minehead a pretty slender corymbose variety of this plant, never more than four or five inches in height. When immersed in fresh water it decomposes much more rapidly than the larger and coarser forms of the species. The ramuli are much incurved, and though it cannot be considered as a distinct species from C. rubrum, it is so distinct and well marked in its general aspect from the many varieties of C. rubrum usually met with, that I have deemed it right not to pass it over unnoticed in the present paper. Its habitat, I have observed,
is almost exclusively on the fronds of Chondus crispus. Ceramium flabelligerum, I find growing in pools at Blue Anchor, and on wood-work at Minehead. Though marked as "rare" in the works of Dr. Harvey, I believe, from the number of specimens which I have received from correspondents in different localities, that it is a species generally distributed on the shores of the British Isles, but probably, from its near resemblance to C. rubrum, often overlooked by the collector. It may not be amiss for the guidance of such, to observe, that the colour is very like that of Polysiphonia fastigiata, nor is the ramification very unlike, but the filaments are finer than in the latter; microscopically it may be known by the unilateral spines, which arm the outer edge of the branches. These, however, are frequently absent on Somerset specimens, only appearing near the tips of the ramuli. In such cases the proportionate larger size of the cellules and cylindrical articulations afford the best characters by which it may be discriminated from C. rubrum. The opaque articulations are at once sufficient to distinguish it from C. acanthonotum, a British species armed with a row of microscopic spines in the same manner. Ceramium Deslongchampii is found in the pools at Blue Anchor, Minehead, and Bossington beach; when well grown it forms handsome tufts of a very dark purple colour, the articulations are transparent, very short in the ramuli, and not easily seen excepting in the main stems. Of the beautiful genus, Callithamnion, we have two examples, C. Borreri and C. Rothii, diminutive, though well fruited specimens of the former grow with the latter on the rocks at Clevedon. When mounted in Canada Balsam they form very pretty and interesting objects for the microscope. On the mud-covered rocks at Blue Anchor, C. Borreri grows in tufts of three or more inches in height,
and at Minehead it vegetates on wood-work, but though more fully colored than the Blue Anchor plants, it is never more than an inch high. C. Rothii, I have not seen elsewhere than at Clevedon; excepting once, when I found it in very small quantities on wood-work, eastward of the Warren beach, at Minehead. We now come to the concluding series, the Grass-green, or Chlorosperms. It was not until October of last year (1853) that I ever observed Bryopsis plumosa on this coast. I then gathered three plants of it in a deep pool on Minehead beach. It belongs to the tribe Siphonaceæ. Of the Marine Confervaceæ, we have Cladophora rupestris, common all along the coast, and Cladophora lætevirens grows in pools on the Blue Anchor and Minehead beaches. Conferva ærea, and Conferva melagonium, grow on Minehead beach; the former in pools near high-water mark, and the latter in those close to low-water mark. Enteromorpha intestinalis occurs at the mouth of the Hone river, and at Bossington; I have not seen it in the tide pools. E. compressa is extremely common, covering the stones, &c., near high-water mark, all along, as are likewise Ulva latissima and Porphyra laciniata. The four latter species belong to the Ulvaceæ, the last tribe calling for our remarks in this paper. Having given an account of the species actually found growing on the Somerset coast, I shall conclude with a brief notice of those algæ, mostly inhabitants of deep water, which come ashore in such a state of preservation as to manifest that their habitats, if not exactly on the coast, cannot be at any great distance off. Laminaria digitata and bulbosa are thrown upon Minehead beach after westerly gales. I suspect both kinds grow in deep water off Porlock. Haliseris polypodioides, Dictyota dichotoma, Taonia atomaria, and Sphacelaria filicina are all drifted ashore during
the summer months, at Minehead. It is, however, amongst the Rhodosperms that the rarest algæ are observed. On my first visit to Minehead beach, in 1848, I found specimens of the beautiful and rare Nitophyllum versicolor, which had previously only two other stations,—Ilfracombe, where it had long been known, and at Youghal, on the south coast of Ireland; at neither of these localities has it been seen growing, and it appears unknown to continental botanists. The time for collecting this species is from June to the end of August. In the beginning of the season the plants are small, and without any appearance of the hardened substance that arises at a later period at the apex of the stem and the ends of the fronds. These, when mature, are found to contain minute grains; no fructification, except these bodies be such, has yet been detected. From Nitophyllum Bonnemaison, another uncommon kind which I find on Minehead beach, it may be known by the entire absence of any veining, and under the microscope by the larger size of the cells. It is also very remarkable from its rapid change of color when placed in fresh water, becoming in a very short time bright orange; when recent the color is rose-red, resembling that of N. Bonnemaison. This last is often found with fruit, and its habitat is on the old stems of Laminaria digitata. N. versicolor, I suspect, vegetates on corallines and shells in deep water, beyond tide-marks. Two other species of this genus are not uncommon at Minehead,—N. Gmelini and N. laceratum. In the beginning of August, 1848, I was so fortunate as to meet with the Stenogramme interrupta, one of our rarest British sea-weeds, which had first been discovered in November of the previous year, on the shores near Plymouth, by the Rev. W. S. Hore, and Dr. J. Cocks; its only other known station then, was at Cadiz.
Lately, however, specimens of it have been received from New Zealand. The primary fruit is contained in a raised nerve-like line, which traverses the centre of each division of the frond; and when this is present, the plant may easily be recognised. The secondary fruit, tetraspores, occur in round sori on the frond. These I first discovered on Minehead specimens; and plants with this description of fruit have not been found at Plymouth, nor does it appear an abundant species there.

On Minehead beach the young plants are to be met with in June; in the following November and December they attain their full growth. One single plant with tetraspores was obtained by the dredge in Cork Harbour, by Mr. Carroll, in 1851; and in June of last year (1853), a young plant with incipient fruit (linear) was picked up by a relative of mine, on the beach at Lynmouth, North Devon. I have no doubt that were the dredge employed, it would be found to grow in deep water off that coast, and the adjoining part of Somerset. In all, I have noted about forty species drifted ashore at different times on Minehead beach. It is not, I believe, important to give their names here, for in this notice my aim is rather to show what field the Algologist has to work on in this district, and to point out those species that may always be met with in their proper seasons, than to detail a list of kinds only occasionally met with, and not ascertained natives of the coast. My own opportunities of visiting localities between Clevedon and Minehead have been very few; but I conclude that in favorable situations, the several species growing at the former place may likewise be met with at intermediate spots.

The portion of the Bristol Channel which comes within our notice, ranges from about Portishead, on the
east, to a little below Porlock on the west. The upper and greater half of the Channel can scarcely be characterised otherwise than an æstuary, whose waters contain a great admixture of fresh water from numerous rivers, and a quantity of mud and detrital matter, brought into it by the same means; doubtless to the growth of the majority of submerged sea-plants, these conditions are peculiarly inimical, but there are some, as the beautiful Callithamnii, which delight in muddy situations, so also does the Stenogramme, which seems confined to harbours and æstuaries. Grateloupia filicina, Enteromorpha compressa, are observed to flourish better in spots where fresh water streams run over the beach, than elsewhere. After Blue Anchor, the water is much clearer, and the algae become better colored. Bossington beach affords remarkably fine specimens of these which I have noted in this paper as growing there. Unfortunately the beach below that is of such a nature as to preclude the growth of inter-tidal vegetation, but probably if it be possible to use the dredge off that part of the coast, it may prove to be the best spot in the district for the growth of deep water algae.
Appendix to the paper on Warle Camp,

*In the Proceedings of the Somersetshire Archaeological and Natural History Society, 1851, p. 64.*

The results of my investigations on Warle Hill during the year 1852 have been, to my own mind, highly confirmatory of my original theory:—That the place was destroyed by Ostorius in the reign of the Emperor Claudius, and deserted during the period of the Roman occupation; that the black earth and burnt wood which are usually found a few inches above the solid rock, in most of the hut circles, are the remains of the roofs destroyed at that time; and the burnt corn and other objects found below the layer of black earth, are leavings of the inhabitants of the place at the time of Ostorius's attack; and that the pottery is almost all of British manufacture, some of extreme antiquity, some probably Belgic, the work of the last two or three centuries before the Roman invasion. That at the time of the West Saxon irruption, under Ceawlin, in the year 577, some of the Romanized Britons took refuge within these ramparts, and that the skeletons, and the iron weapons found with them, are to be referred to the desperate hand to hand contest which took place after the Saxons had stormed the defenders of the fortress.
In the month of May I was particularly successful in my discoveries of pottery, of which three vessels, now in the Museum of the Society, have been satisfactorily restored by Drs. Tomkins and Pring. Besides pottery, we found many skeletons, several of them bearing marks of great violence; two very good iron spear-heads; several flint flakes, prepared for arrow-heads; a quantity of bones of animals and water-fowl; corn, more completely burnt at the top than below, shewing that the fire came from above; a piece of the horn of some animal, fashioned apparently into the mouth-piece of a musical instrument, and ornamented with a rude pattern; a piece of burnt wood, with holes drilled through it; iron spikes, similar to the one found piercing one of the skeletons, which were probably the heads of very rude javelins; fragments of bronze and wooden ornaments; three kinds of burnt grain, wheat, barley, and some sort of pulse; and parts of two concentric circles of iron, which were lying one within the other, and had much the appearance of having formed part of a shield.*

In the autumn, my discoveries were very similar in character to those made in the spring, with the exception of some bones of oxen, which appear to be those of the Bos-longifrons, a species which became extinct in these islands at a very early date, though certainly existing here during the British period. One discovery was made, which at first sight seemed to militate against my theory, but which, on closer consideration, I think rather confirms it than otherwise. Having finished the excavation of one hole, we were walking over the hill to another, when a workman struck his pick-axe into the ground by chance, and brought up a small piece of pottery, which I at once

* Several of these are figured in the Proceedings for 1852, p. 12.
recognised as coarse Roman ware. I of course began to dig upon the spot, and within an area the breadth of which was not more than five or six yards, we found similar fragments of pottery, enough to fill several baskets; upwards of 200 coins of the later empire; a great many glass beads, and fragments of bronze ornaments.

Now had these Roman remains been found at the bottom of one of the holes, or had the pottery been scattered over the whole area of the fortress, as is the case with that of British manufacture, I own my theory would have been much shaken; but they were quite at the surface—so much so, that when the turf was taken up, coins and beads were hanging in the roots of the grass; and the coins were such as there is reason to suppose were in circulation some centuries after the Romans had left the island; and I see no reason for doubting that they were the property of some Romanized Briton, who had sought refuge within the ramparts at the time of Ceawlin's irruption.

But perhaps the most interesting discovery of the year remains to be mentioned. In Mr. Atkins's plan* of the fort and its outworks, many triangular platforms are marked, which he supposes were used for slingers; and I confess that when he first mentioned them to me, I thought there was a great deal of imagination in his idea; but upon clearing away some of the rubble from the face of the rampart on the west side of the main entrance, I discovered a peculiarity in its construction which certainly confirms Mr. Atkins's opinion in a great degree. Instead of being, as I expected it to prove, a plain battering wall of dry masonry, I find that the whole face of the rampart is composed of a series of platforms, about three

* Proceedings for 1851, p. 64.
feet in depth, and about four feet above each other, not placed regularly one over the other, but almost like scales, the whole finishing with a parapet, which acts as a breast-work to an internal platform. The outer face of the rampart is of piled masonry, sloping inwards very considerably, so that in fact the very steep side of the natural hill, and that of the artificial rampart, which is not very much steeper, are fortified on nearly the same plan. This would certainly enable the Britons, who were celebrated as slingers, to use their weapons with great effect against an attacking force; the immense number of pebbles well calculated for sling-stones, which have been found immediately within this rampart, were no doubt intended for this mode of defence.

F. WARRE.
The following Section, made by the late Mr. Baker, during the excavation of the old Canal Basin, at Huntworth, near Bridgwater, is inserted here as illustrative of the view of the physical history of the plain lying between Langport and the Severn, advocated in the Paper on Llongborth, p. 48.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer Description</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- - Surface of the Level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Firm Silt, 16 feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Peat in beds of irregular thickness;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bones, horns, shells, and wood, 1 foot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Soft Silt, 9 feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Gravel, bones, shells, and pottery, 1 foot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Firm Blue Clay, 2 feet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- - Red Marl; depth unknown.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

W. A. Jones.
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Sedgwick, Rev. A. F.R.S., F.G.S. Prof. of Mineralogy, Cambridge.
Smith, C. R. Esq. F.S.A.
Turner, Dawson, Esq. Yarmouth.
Willis, Rev. R. F.R.S., F.G.S. Jacksonian Professor, Cambridge.
Wilson, Daniel, Esq. Sec. of Society of Antiquaries of Scotland.
Warner, Rev. R. Great Chalfield, Wilts.
Yarrell, W. Esq. Ryder Street, St. James’s, London.
Societies in Correspondence

with the

Somersetshire Archæological and Natural History Society.

THE ARCHAEOLOGICAL INSTITUTE OF GREAT BRITAIN.
THE ECCLESIOLOGICAL SOCIETY.
THE BRISTOL AND WEST OF ENGLAND ARCHITECTURAL SOCIETY.
THE ARCHITECTURAL SOCIETY OF THE ARCHDEACONRY OF NORTHAMPTON.
THE SUSSEX ARCHæOLOGICAL SOCIETY.

RULES.

THIS Society shall be denominated "THE SOMERSETSHIRE ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND NATURAL HISTORY SOCIETY;" and its objects shall be, the cultivation of, and collecting information on, Archæology and Natural History, in their various branches, but more particularly in connection with the County of Somerset.

II. The Society shall consist of a Patron, elected for life; a President, elected for three years; Vice-Presidents; General, and District or Local Secretaries; and a Treasurer, elected at each Anniversary Meeting; with a Committee of twelve, six of whom shall go out annually by rotation, but may be re-elected.—No person shall be elected on the Committee until he shall have been six months a Member of the Society.

III. Anniversary General Meetings shall be held for the purpose of electing the Officers, of receiving the Report of the Committee for the past year, and of transacting all other necessary business, at such time and place as the Committee shall appoint; of which Meetings three weeks notice shall be given to the Members.

IV. There shall also be a General Meeting fixed by the Committee, for the purpose of receiving Reports, reading Papers, and transacting business.—All Members shall have the privilege of introducing one friend to the Anniversary and General Meetings.

V. The Committee is empowered to call Special Meetings of the Society, upon receiving a requisition signed by
ten Members.—Three weeks notice of such Special Meeting, and its object, shall be given to each Member.

VI. The affairs of the Society shall be directed by the Committee, (of which the officers of the Society shall be ex-officio Members) which shall hold Monthly Meetings for receiving Reports from the Secretaries and Sub-committees, and for transacting other necessary business; five of the Committee shall be a quorum.—Members may attend the Monthly Committee Meetings, after the official business has been transacted.

VII. The Chairman, at Meetings of the Society, shall have a casting vote in addition to his vote as a Member.

VIII. One (at least) of the Secretaries shall attend each Meeting, and shall keep a record of its proceedings.—All Manuscripts and Communications, and the other property of the Society, shall be under the charge of the Secretaries.

IX. Candidates for admission as Members shall be proposed by two Members at any of the General or Committee Meetings, and the election shall be determined by ballot at the next Committee or General Meeting; three-fourths of the Members present balloting, shall elect. The rules of the Society shall be subscribed by every person becoming a Member.

X. Ladies shall be eligible as Members of the Society without ballot, being proposed by two Members, and approved by the majority of the Meeting.

XI. Each Member shall pay ten shillings on admission to the Society, and ten shillings as an Annual Subscription, which shall become due on the first of January in each year, and shall be paid in advance.

XII. Donors of Ten Guineas or upwards, shall be Members for life.

XIII. At General Meetings of the Society the Committee may recommend persons to be ballotted for as Honorary or Corresponding Members.

XIV. When any office shall become vacant, or any new appointment shall be requisite, the Committee shall
have power to fill up the same; such appointments shall remain in force only till the next General Meeting, when they shall be either confirmed or annulled.

XV. The Treasurer shall receive all Subscriptions and Donations made to the Society, and shall pay all accounts passed by the Committee; he shall keep a book of receipts and payments, which he shall produce whenever the Committee shall require it; the Accounts shall be audited previously to the Anniversary Meeting by two Members of the Committee, chosen for that purpose; and an abstract of them shall be read at the Meeting.

XVI. No change shall be made in the Laws of the Society, except at a General or Special Meeting, at which twelve Members at least shall be present.—Of the proposed change a month's notice shall be given to the Secretaries, who shall communicate the same to each Member three weeks before the Meeting.

XVII. Papers read at Meetings of the Society, and considered by the Committee of sufficient interest for publication shall be forwarded (with the Author's consent) to such Periodical as shall be determined by the Committee to be the best for the purpose, with a request that a number of such papers may be printed separately, for distribution to the Members of the Society, either gratuitously or for such payment as may be agreed on.

XVIII. No religious or Political discussions shall be permitted at Meetings of the Society.

XIX. That any person contributing Books or Specimens to the Museum shall be at liberty to resume possession of them in the event of the property of the Society ever being sold or transferred to any other county. Also persons shall have liberty to deposit Books or Specimens for a specific time only.

N.B. One of the objects of the Society shall be to collect by donation or purchase, a Library and Museum, more particularly illustrating the History, Natural, Civil, and Ecclesiastical, of the County of Somerset.

* * * It is requested that Contributions to the Museum or Library, be sent to the Curator, at the Society's rooms, Taunton.
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Abraham, T. Dunster
Acland, Sir P. P. Bart. Fairfield House
Acland, Sir T. D. Bart. Killerton Park, Devon
Acland, T. D.
5 Acres, Rev. J. Clevedon
Adair, A. Heatherton Park
Adair, A. W. " "
Addington, H. J. Langford
Ainslie, Rev. A. C. Lowlands, Taunton
10 Alford, H. Taunton
Allen, J. R. Lyngford House
Allen, Rev. C. J. Stocklinch, near Ilminster
Allen, B. T. Burnham
Anstice, Rev. J. B. Kilton
15 Anstice, Richard, Bridgwater
Anstice, Mrs. J. " 
Axford, Richard, "
Badcock, Miss H. Taunton
Badcock, H. "
20 Badcock, R. G. The Elms, Taunton
Badham, J. B. Bristol
Bagehot, Walter
Bagshawe, E. L. Bath
Bailward, J. Horsington, Blandford, Dorset
25 Baker, W. Bridgwater (deceased)
Baker, John, Weston-super-Mare
Ball, Wm. Chapple, Taunton
Bally, W. F. Sion Hill, Bath
Barnard, Rev. Canon, Wells
30 Barnard, Rev. H. J. Yatton
Barrett, W. Moreden House, North Curry
Barrowforth, J. Cheddon Fitzpaine
Barry, Rev. H. Brockley
Bartrum, J. J. 41, Gay-street, Bath
35 Bateman, Hugh, Marlborough Buildings, Bath
Bath and Wells, the Lord Bishop of, The Palace, Wells
Batten, J. jun. Yeovil
Batten, E. Thorn Faulcon, and Lincolns Inn, London
Baynes, T. S. Rumhill, Taunton
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40 Beadon, Rev. Canon, North Stoneham, Hants
Beadon, W. jun. Otterhead, Honiton
Beadon, Edwards, Highlands, Taunton
Bearcroft, Rev. E. C. K. Cannington
Bernard, H. Wells

45 Berryman, W. C. jun. Wells
Bewes, Rev. T. A. Lydeard St. Lawrence
Blackwell, Rev. W. Mells
Blair, H. M. Farley Castle
Blake, W. Bishops Hull

50 Blake, Downing, Holway
Bluett, C. Taunton
Bond, Rev. J. Weston, Bath
Bouverie, Hon. P. P. Brymore House
Bouverie, P. P. jun.

55 Bowen, John, Bridgwater (deceased)
Bown, Miss, Taunton
Boyd, R. M.D. Wells
Boyle, Hon. and Rev. Richard, Marston, Frome
Brace, W. H. 25, Gay-street, Bath

60 Breton, Lieut. r.n. Camden Place, ,, Briscoe, Miss, Bathford 
Bridges, H. Bridgewater
Broadmead, P. Milverton
Broadley, J. 2, Gascoyne Place, Bath

65 Broderip, Rev. J. S. Cossington
Browne, William, Bridgwater
Broome, C. E. Elmhurst, Batheaston
Bruford, T. Nailsea
Bryant, Rev. W. F. Taunton

70 Bucknell, G. Crowcombe (deceased)
Buckle, Rev. G. Twerton
Bullock, George, East Coker
Bunn, Thomas, Frome (deceased)
Bush, W. 7, Circus, Bath

75 Carew, T. G. Warrington, Crowcombe Court
Carrow, J. Monson, Stoberry House, Wells (deceased)
Castle, T. Worle
Carver, R. Haines Hill, Taunton
Cavan, the Earl of, Barford House

80 Cave, G. Norton Fitzwarren
Cave, T. Yeovil
Chamberlain, G. Castle Cary
Chapman, Capt. Royal Institution, Bath
Chilcott, Rev. W. F. Monksilver

85 Chowne, Rev. J. Taunton
Clarke, Rev. J. B. B. Bagborough
Clarke, T. E. Tremlett House
Clarke, A. A. Taunton
Clerk, Rev. D. M. Kingston Deveril

90 Clerk, Robert, Westholme House, Pilton, Shepton Mallet
Clerk, Major F. J. " " "
Clerk, E. H. " " "
Cliffe, Rev. L. A. Uphill
Clutterbuck, Rev. Henry, Buckland Dinham

95 Coffin, Com. Genl. Sir E. P. Claremont Villa, Mount Radford, Exeter
Coker, W. Worthington, Haydon House, Taunton
Colebrooke, Sir T. E. Bart, Abington, Scotland
Coles, Rev. J. S. Shepton Beauchamp
Collings, Rev. W. T. Wells

100 Collins, H. P. Hatch Park
Cooper, Lady, Leversdown House
Cordwent, G. Taunton
Cornish, C. H. "
Cox, W. Brockley, near Yatton

105 Crosse, Andrew, Broomfield
Crotch, Rev. W. R. Stoke Court, Taunton
Custard, H. M. Yeovil

Dance, Lady, Bishops Hull
Dance, Rev. G. Swainswick, Bath

110 Daubeney, Major General, " (deceased)
Davies, Edmund, Wells
Davies, Henry, Weston-super-Mare
Davis Horatio, " " "
Davis, C. E. Bath

115 Davis, W. W. Oakhill, Taunton
Davis, H. Taunton
Dawe, Rev. Hill, Ditcheat
Dawson, T. Gatchell House
De Haviland, Rev. C. R. Downside, Bath
120 Dickinson, F. H. *Kingweston House*
   Dickinson, E. H. "
   Doveton, Capt. *Haines Hill, Taunton*
   Down, E. *Bridgwater*
   Dowty, F. G. "

125 Easton, R. *Taunton*
   Edgar, J. *Weston-super-Mare (deceased)*
   Edmonds, E. jun. *Bradford, Wilts*
   Edwards, R. P. *Wedmore*
   Egremont, Countess of, *Orchard Wyndham*

130 Elliot, Miss, *Osborne House, Taunton*
   Elliot, W. F. "
   Elliott, Rev. J. *Pitminster*
   Ellison, Rev. N. T. *Huntspill*
   Else, R. C. *Bridgwater*

135 Elwell, J. *Weston-super-Mare*
   Elwell, W. O. "
   Escott, Bickham, *Hartrow House (deceased)*
   Esdaile, W. C. D. *Barley Park, Ringwood*
   Eskersall, Miss, *Darlington Place, Bath*

140 Estlin, J. P. *Taunton*
   Everard, Rev. Edward, D.D. *Bishops Hull*

Fagan, Rev. G. H. *Kingweston*
Falconer, R. W. M.D. *Bath*
Falkner, Francis, "

145 Falkner, Frederick, "
Falkner, F. H. "
Field, F. "
Fisher, T. *Taunton*
Fiske, H. "

150 Foley, Rev. R. *North Cadbury*
Follett, Spencer Brent, M.P. 15, *Cambridge-sq., London*
Foster, W. J. S. *Wells*
Fox, C. M.D. *Brislington*
Fox, E. F. "

155 Fuller, T. *Springfield Place, Bath*

Gandy, G. *The Chain, Preston*
Gandy, Rev. J. H. *Old Cleeve*
Garrod, James, *Wells*
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Giles, W. Taunton
160 Giles, C. E. 
Giles, Capt. Dinder
Gill, J. E. Bath
Gillet, W. E. Taunton
Girardot, Rev. W. Hinton Charterhouse

165 Gordon, James, Weston-super-Mare
Goodridge, J. F. 5, Henrietta-street, Bath
Goodford, Rev. C. O. D. D. Head Master of Eton
Goodford, Henry, Chilton Cantelo
Grenville, Hon. and Very Rev. G. Neville, Dean of Windsor

170 Hagley, E. Oxford
Hall, Rev. C. R.
Hamilton, Rev. L. R. Castle Cary
Hancock, W. Wiveliscombe

175 Harbin, G. Newton House, Yeovil
Hardy, Admiral, Bath
Hardy, Lieut., R.N. 
Harper, Major, E. 12, Hyde Park Gate, South Kensington
Harris, Charles, Ilchester

180 Harrison, Rev. O. S. Thorn Faulcon
Harrison, T. S. M. D. Frome
Hathway, Rev. R. C. Kewstoke
Haviland, Alfred, Bridgwater
Hawkins, Rev. H. C. H. Chilton-super-Polden

Hayward, S. Abbey Churchyard, Bath
Helyar, C. J. Poundisford Lodge
Helyar, W. Coker Court, Yeovil
Henderson, J. Taunton

190 Hennett, G. Teignmouth
Henslowe, Rev. E. Huish Episcopi
Hewett, W. Norton Fitzwarren (deceased)
Higgs, Richard, Haines Hill, Taunton
Highmore, J. N. Preston, near Yeovil

195 Hitchcock, W. R. Taunton
Hill, Rev. R. Timsbury, Bath
Hill, Miss, Rock House, Bath
Hill, Wm. John, Langport
Hobhouse, Right Hon. H. Hadspen House, (deceased)
200 Hood, Sir A. A. Bart. St. Audries
Hooper, James
Horner, Rev. J. S. H. Mells Park, Frome
Hoskins, T. Hazelbury
Hunt, E. Bath
205 Hutchings, H. Sandford Orcas

Ilchester, the Earl of, Melbury, near Sherborne
Isaacs, G. Taunton
Impey, Robert, Street

Jacobson, W. Taunton
210 Jeboult, J.
Jeffrey, James, Orange Grove, Bath
Jenkyns, Very Rev. D.D. Dean of Wells, (deceased)
Johnson, J. George Street, Bath
Johnson, Rev. F. C. Whitelackington
215 Jones, Rev. W. A. Taunton

Kelly, W. M. M.D. Taunton
Kemmis, Mrs. Arthur, Croham Hurst, Croydon, Surrey
Kidgell, G. Wellington
Kilvert, Rev. F. Cleerton Lodge, Bath
220 King, Rev. C. Stoke St. Gregory
King, J. W. Chilton-super-Polden
King, R. M. Pyrland Hall,
Kinglake, R. A. Weston-super-Marc
Kinglake, H. M.D. Taunton
225 Knatchbull, W. F. M.P. Babington House
Knowles, C. Bridgewater
Knyfton, T. T. Uphill

* Labouchere, Right Hon. H. M.P. Stoke Park, Slough
Lambert, W. C. Knowle House, Wimborne, Dorset
230 Lance, Rev. J. E. Buckland St. Mary
Langton, W. H. P. G. M.P. Newton Park
Langton, W. H. G. M.P. Clifton
Law, Worshipful Chancellor, J. T. Banwell
Lawson, Henry, Lansdown Crescent, Bath
235 Leigh, Henry, 1, Elm Court, Temple, London
Lethbridge, A. G. Trull
Leversedge, John, Taunton
Liddon, H.
Littlehales, Rev. W. Compton Bishop

240 Livett, H. W. Wells
Lock, E. Halcon Lodge, Taunton
Lockey, Rev. F. Swainswick, Bath
Long, W. Lansdown Place
Lovelace, the Earl, Ashley Combe, Porlock

245 Luke, Rev. H. V. Thurlbear

Mansell, J. C. Shaftesbury
Marder, J. Burliscombe
Manners, G. P. Bath
Markland, J. H.

250 Marshall, W. Taunton
Mate, Rev. R. P. Cambridge
May, Frederick, Taunton
Mayow, Rev. P. W. Euston
Meade, Rev. R. J. Castle Cary

255 Mears, W. Exeter
Metford, W. M.D. Flook House, Taunton
Michell, Rev. R. B.D. Magdalen Hall, Oxford
Mildmay, Paulet St. John, Haselbury, Wincanton
Miles, W. M.P. Leigh Court

260 Mogg, J. R. High Lyttleton House
Mogg, J. F. Y. Midsomer Norton
Moody, C. A. M.P. Kingsdon, Somerton
Moor, Rev. R. W. Stoke St. Gregory
Moore, C. Cambridge Place, Bath

265 Moore, Capt. F.
Morgan, W. Claremont, Weston-super-Mare
Morle, Thomas, Cannington Park
Morris, J. Bath
Moysey, H. G. Bathealton Court

270 Munckton, W. W. Curry Rivel
Murch, E. Bridgwater
Murch, Rev. Jerom, Bath
Murley, G. B. Langport

Naish, B. Stone Easton

275 Neal, Miss
Neat, Rev. J. Standerwick Court
Neville, Ralph, *Glastonbury*
Newton, F. W. *Barton Grange*
Nicholetts, J. *South Petherton*

280 Nichols, Rev. W. L. 2, *West Wing, Lansdown Crescent, Bath*
Norman, G. 1, *Circus, Bath*
Norman, W. *Ashfield, Taunton*
Norman, G. L. *Eglesfield, Yatton*
Norman, A. M. *Christ Church, Oxford*

285 Norman, J. *Taunton*
Norman, J. F. *Claverham, near Yatton*
Norris, J. *Thorncombe*
Nutting, Rev. H. *Chedzoy*

Ormond, J. *Circus, Bath*

290 Paget, J. M. *Cranmore Hall, Shepton Mallet*
Paget, Arthur J. E. """"
Palairret, Rev. R. *Norton St. Philip*
Parr, Rev. H. *Taunton*
Parfitt, Rev. C. C. *Midford Castle, Bath*

295 Paul, Rev. C. S. *Wellow, ""
Patton, T. *Bishops Hull*
Payne, J. H. I, *Brunswick Square, Bristol*
Pearless, Richard, *Northtown, Taunton*
Pearson, J. *Exeter*

300 Penny, Rev. C. d. d. *Crewkerne*
Perceval, Capt. *Chapel Cleeve*
Perry, Rev. G. G. *Warrington Rectory, near Lincoln*
Phelps, Rev. W. *Bicknoller*
Philipps, Dan, *Bridgwater*

305 Pigott, J. H. Smyth, *Weston-super-Mare (deceased)*
Pigott, Rev. G. O. S. """
Pigott, A. S. """
Pigott, H. T. C. S. *Brockley Court*
Pigott, E. F. S. *Lincolns Inn*

310 Pyke, J. *Paddington*
Pinchard, W. P. *Taunton*
Pinder, Rev. Professor, *Wells*
Pinney, W. m. p. *Somerton Erleigh*
Pinney, Miss, """

315 Plowman, T. *North Curry*
Pollard, G. *Taunton*
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Poole, G. S. Bridgewater
Poole, J. R.
Poole, Rev. J. Enmore

320 Popham, F. Bagborough
Porch, T. P. Edgarley
*Portman Lord, Bryanston House, Dorset
Portman, Rev. F. B. Staple Fitzpaine
Powell, H. Wells

325 Prance, V. Nether Stowey
Frankerd, John, Langport
Pring, J. D. Taunton
Pring, J. H. M.D. Weston-super-Mare
Pulman, Rev. W. W. Wellington

330 Pulteney, Rev. R. T. Ashley, Northamptonshire
Pyne, Rev W. Charlton, Somerton

Quantock, J. M. Norton-sub-Hamdon
Quekett, E. Langport

Raban, R. B. Hatch Beauchamp

335 Raban, Major
Redfern, Rev. W. T. Taunton
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Richards, W.

340 Robbins, G. Bath
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Roblynn, T. M.D. Weston-super-Mare
Rooke, Mrs. S.
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350 Sanford, E. A. Nynchead Court
Sanford, W. A.
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Searth, Rev. H. M. Bathwick
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Sotheby, Rev. T. H. Milverton
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Sweet, Rev. C. Sampford Arundel
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Turner, A. Staplegrove

420 Turner, C. J.
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*Tynte, Col. C. K. K. Halswell
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Uttermare, T. B. Langport

Vane, Rev. J. Burrington, Wrington
Vibart, James, Chilliswood
Vining, Charles, Yeovil

430 Voules, Rev. T. A. Beer Crocombe

Walter, L. King’s Road, Gray’s Inn, London
Walter, W. Oldbury Lodge
Walter, R. Stoke-sub-Hamdon
Walters, G. Frome

435 Ward, Rev. J. W. Tickenham, near Bristol
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Whiting, Rev. H. B. Writhlington, Bath
Whitmarsh, E. Taunton
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